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Message: 1  
Date: Sun, 13 Dec 2020 22:23:36 -0800  
From: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Duopoly Can't Stop California Green  
Candidates  
Message-ID: <4bab8a96-7cef-72d4-9168-b2d4de949f97@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252; format=flowed  
  
Audrey,  
  
> how come Robin finished last in his 2020 race for  
> Beverly Hills Unified School District?  
  
Since you ask, I think it's mostly my fault.  
  
Biggest issue may have been my lack of any prior engagement with the  
schools. In my City Council race, people knew me and I knew some of  
them. I'd been four years roaming the halls of City Hall serving on the  
Technology Committee.  
  
In the School Board race, people wondered, who is Robin Rowe and why is  
he running? I didn't know ANYBODY. I had not planned to run for School  
Board, was asked by the former mayor. I've never been to a School Board  
meeting. Couldn't meet anyone due to COVID-19. All in-person meetings  
cancelled. Am not a former student or teacher in the district. Never had  
a child in the school. Never been to a PTA meeting. The Teachers Union  
had never heard of me.  
  
While I wish I hadn't come in last place in my School Board race,  
blaming the duopoly would be a big cop out. In my first School Board  
election, being a total unknown, using zero budget, and no face-to-face  
due to a COVID-19 lockdown, I got 8% of the vote of the 18% I needed to  
win a seat. I'm not sure that's not good under the circumstances. In any  
case, it was my first experience running for School Board.  
  
I'm grateful to have had many exciting opportunities in life. I've won  
at many of them and in some cases I didn't expect I would. When I lose  
at something, I learn from it and change my strategy.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 2  
Date: Sun, 13 Dec 2020 22:40:34 -0800  
From: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Standing Rock  
Message-ID: <64c9420c-c4a9-5753-c6b6-53ba13879d15@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed  
  
Mike,  
  
> I spent several weeks in standing rock, living in a tent  
> in negative temperatures in the dead of winter. And have continued  
> to go back to standing rock several times since.  
  
I only know about Standing Rock from what I've read. If it wouldn't be  
an imposition, as someone who was there, will you share with us what  
positive results were accomplished at Standing Rock, what protest  
strategies were used that were effective, and anything thought of that  
wasn't tried but might have been effective?  
  
Thank you,  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 3  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 07:20:08 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>,  Audrey Clement  
<aclement65@hotmail.com>, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>,  Mike Gamms  
<gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID: <84290179.365842.1607930408825@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
  
"According to former Ecoaction Co-Chair LuAnne Kozma, she and her husband spent weeks at Standing Rock, and her husband, a lawyer, represented the Sioux tribe. Committee members should remember this because she and I had a back and forth circa 2017 about violence at the protest site."  
  
When I read these words I interpreted them as a nonjudgmental?statement.? It really didn't sound like Audrey voiced blame or?even stated who?was committing the violence, only stated it existed.? Tony, then Mike made it sound like she was pointing fingers, so everyone else jumped in the brawl.?  
Robin,?you've been nitpicking at Audrey for a while and I'm wondering how you plan to work together should she be reelected co-chair and you secretary.? And that's sad, because you have so much experience and so many talents that could benefit this committee.? I remember last election thinking that neither of the candidates for secretary might have time for such an important position.?Mike I asked you?a couple days ago, how?your year as secretary has been; perhaps you missed that.  
Anyways, this year I'm wondering who has the?temperament.? A secretary should be willing to serve the needs of the co-chairs and committee, not determine them.? The co-chairs and secretary have to be able to work together harmoniously.?  
Robin, are you able to do that or is it going to be an ongoing power struggle?  
Mary L. SandersHartford, CT  
?By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Sunday, December 13, 2020, 11:21:27 PM EST, stephen verchinski via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:    
  
Went to Standing Rock with a group of us Greens from NM?  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.?  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,? and it is in dire straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
???    
  
 On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 7:30 PM, Lizzie Adams<lizziemariadams@gmail.com> wrote:   \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/e3eae471/attachment-0001.html>>  
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Message: 4  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 07:38:13 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] Bio for Co-chair Candidate DawnMarie  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB58213C740BC874C304F0A5B6E6C70@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"  
  
DawnMarie Cronen, also known as DawnMarie Green, is a proud advocate for solar and wind energy. My family and I have lived off-grid several times in the past decade and we are definitely looking forward to being more self sufficient as we explore passive solar water heater systems this winter. I know how to build, design and install solar energy systems.  
I opened and closed our new consulting business location in 2020 when Covid made it impossible for us to be open, and then a tornado damaged the historic building that I was leasing. I am a teacher & artist, and metal, wood and reclaimed building materials are a favorite as we rebuild our barn and farmhouse after the winds of climate change have caused our area to be hit by numerous tornados and heavy winds during the past 10 years.  
  
Architecture and structural engineering is a hobby. I am also an author, publisher, and graphic designer. I have taught jewelry design classes since 1992, and until last year I was a traveling artist, participating in various art shows and offering classes for beginner to intermediate students. I have been the CEO of several companies that I also designed and built websites for, and I designed jewelry for a local catalog company that employs local artists to recreate those designs and believe that being connected to the area you live and work in is important.  
  
I love the environment I live in, which is what prompted me to become more involved with the Green Party as the deliberate pollution of our local water supplies and rivers has surfaced in the past years. Michigan is my home, the highly polluted Plainwell and Kalamazoo River areas are very close to me, and we live near a wetland area with endangered species.  
  
I have organized artist collectives at the South Haven Center of Arts, taught classes there and elsewhere on Eco-friendly laundry and soap options, and am a gourmet cook who sold gluten free foods at local farmers markets for several years. I am active with the local Gem and Minerals Rock clubs, offering free demonstrations of jewelry making at the events. Teaching our children ways to work with the earth and all about organic farming and sustainable living is a passion of mine. I have been active with Allegan HeadStart since 2003, and believe that early education for our children is important.  
  
There is a local nuclear power plant that is/was supposed to be decommissioned, which I am very happy about, especially since it is located so close to Lake Michigan. I oppose fracking and believe that it is one of the causes for the new sinkholes that are occurring all over our nation. We have plenty of renewable energy sources that are not nuclear or so potentially dangerous that should be encouraged, and the Green Party EcoAction Committee is where I hope to be active as I offer this biography for the Female Co-Chair position.  
  
I was born a Green, and officially joined the Michigan Green Party last summer. I was elected interim Lavender Caucus Secretary December 2019 and I am currently Secretary of the Women?s Caucus. I am active in the Diversity Committee, Merchandise Committee, the ANM Committee and Media. I am a Michigan Delegate.  
I am good at organizing and community outreach and look forward to serving as Co-Chair if elected.  
  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 5  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 00:13:54 -0800  
From: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>,  
"ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe  
<robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate - Robin Rowe  
Message-ID: <84f98dc6-f37b-b5ea-5ad5-a063db5f0d4e@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed  
  
Tony,  
  
> I would respectfully personally prefer to hear questions about  
> EcoAction Committee and any vision for the next year.  
  
Yes, me too. What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Questions? Anything else members would like that won't negate the above?  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 6  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 08:21:43 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Ecoaction List <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Cc: mary sanders <msanders\_hartfordgreens@yahoo.com>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] change of address  
Message-ID: <1118552711.378700.1607934103661@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
My committee mail is getting lost in the?deluge of holiday solicitations.? Please update email to my campaign address.  
Thanks!  
Mary L. SandersHartford, CTmsanders\_hartfordgreens@yahoo.com  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 7  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 00:34:00 -0800  
From: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
To: Denise Brush <denise.brush111@gmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Denise Brush  
Message-ID: <4c04ea81-e527-d4b4-b120-f188e4b0e91d@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed  
  
Denise,  
  
> Robin, I am sick of you attacking Audrey!  
  
I am sincerely sorry to have to shine a harsh light on the Female  
Co-chair's political record, and by doing so distress her loyal friends.  
I am not attacking anyone personally.  
  
In attacking me personally you are committing a 7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 8  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 00:35:10 -0800  
From: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Lizzie Adams  
Message-ID: <9bcd8ee5-d16e-e868-4b6f-bf388a855e73@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed  
  
Lizzie,  
  
> It is unfortunate that you can reread all those members responses to  
> your actions and then your own without introspection and...  
  
Stop saying on this forum you know what I'm thinking and making catty  
personal remarks about me. It is unprofessional. This is your third  
7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 9  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 04:26:29 -0500  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<CAJjta-ZA5qn\_J-EF6XbW1Z9LTLhT7nLdNz6odLwN3JaZ559JNw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee  
secretary.  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
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Message: 10  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 09:37:27 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Denise Brush <denise.brush111@gmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Denise Brush  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23510472DF3EC740D64BB75AD5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
The jurisdiction where I ran went 80% for Biden. It delivers the state to the Dems every year. Blue Wave voters get their sample ballots hand delivered to the door. They come to the polls clutching these sample ballots as though they were tickets to freedom rather than more of the same old same old.  
  
Voters routinely wave off alternative ballots. There's so little interest in democracy here that the GOP has stopped running candidates in my district. Repeat. The GOP has stopped running candidates in my district.  
  
That a Green is still running indicates one of two things: 1) the Green is a lunatic 2) there is indeed a climate emergency. Rest assured the voters won't compute that until they get a blue sample ballot during the tipping year election that says so.  
  
Based on Robin's lackluster performance at the polls my guess is that Beverly Hills isn't much different.  
  
In fact surveys indicate that one party [read totalitarian] districts are the norm across the U.S.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:34:00 AM  
To: Denise Brush <denise.brush111@gmail.com>; ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Denise Brush  
  
Denise,  
  
> Robin, I am sick of you attacking Audrey!  
  
I am sincerely sorry to have to shine a harsh light on the Female  
Co-chair's political record, and by doing so distress her loyal friends.  
I am not attacking anyone personally.  
  
In attacking me personally you are committing a 7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
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Message: 11  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 13:07:56 +0000 (UTC)  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>,  "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Lizzie Adams  
Message-ID: <261430350.306324.1607951277006@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Robin, you are getting very heavy handed in your attempt to moderate the list.? You're replies are as bad as the persons who criticize you.? Not all criticism is personal attack.? If you're going to moderate the list you need to lighten up.? You'll likely kill the list if you continue on this path.  
Tim WillardMaryland  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:35:18 AM EST, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
Lizzie,  
  
> It is unfortunate that you can reread all those members responses to  
> your actions and then your own without introspection and...  
  
Stop saying on this forum you know what I'm thinking and making catty  
personal remarks about me. It is unprofessional. This is your third  
7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
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Message: 12  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 14:05:04 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23517A69A48FBBD8B7924435D5C90@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
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Message: 13  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 14:06:33 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23515037A6C68CBB226DBBCCD5C90@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 14  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 09:40:14 -0500  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<CAJjta-YJ6DVEzx5q0sbPv9bMu0M1G6Tz+oZcKsSAYPp55ZcRZA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an  
option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet  
10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair  
that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:

Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the  
2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a  
single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/779dbffd/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 15  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 14:48:32 +0000 (UTC)  
From: dunleamark@aol.com  
To: "gamms4ny@gmail.com" <gamms4ny@gmail.com>,  
"aclement65@hotmail.com" <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <206997305.466300.1607957312961@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.?  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
The nominees are as follows;Male Co-Chair:Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
Female Co-Chair:Audrey ClementDawn Cronen  
Secretary:Mike GammsRobin Rowe  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
Audrey ClementEcoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/8eec8538/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 16  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 09:07:44 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: gamms4ny@gmail.com, aclement65@hotmail.com, ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <D2EA4490-7D2F-4E07-BFBC-60B13D74F237@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/1b332285/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 17  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:15:17 +0000 (UTC)  
From: dunleamark@aol.com  
To: "christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com"  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: "gamms4ny@gmail.com" <gamms4ny@gmail.com>,  
"aclement65@hotmail.com" <aclement65@hotmail.com>,  "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <1397025283.464234.1607958917677@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
  
  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.?  
  
No. If "no candidate" wins, then no candidate is elected. A new election would need to be held or the position remains vacant.  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: gamms4ny@gmail.com; aclement65@hotmail.com; ecoaction@gp.org  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 10:07 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.?  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
  
  
?I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.?  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
The nominees are as follows;Male Co-Chair:Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
Female Co-Chair:Audrey ClementDawn Cronen  
Secretary:Mike GammsRobin Rowe  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
Audrey ClementEcoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/c221bd99/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 18  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:18:03 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB28828387A69397CD2FE97580ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 19  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 10:21:03 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
dunleamark <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <1cae4a3b-0d16-42b2-beaf-e024b9c0bb48@edison>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
   
   
   
These kinds of questions are why we desperately need a DISCUSSION period. This election feels very rushed. In fact I've never seen a committee with all such a short period for nomination and elections. No wonder there have been so few nominees in recent years.    
   
  
   
This needs to change.    
   
  
   
   
Tony Ndege Cochair GPUS Steering Committee Cochair NC Green Party 336-577-1421 (can text)    
  
   
   
   
   
 

On Dec 14, 2020 at 10:18 AM, Steve Newman  <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  wrote:  
   
   
 I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.    
  
Aloha    
Steve Newman    
GPFL    
  
"Trees can save humanity."    
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_    
From: Ecoaction  <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>  on behalf of Christopher Stella  <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>    
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM    
To: dunleamark@aol.com    
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org    
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION    
  
All,    
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.    
  
-Chris Stella    
  
Sent from my iPhone    
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction  <ecoaction@gp.org>  wrote:    
  
?    
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option    
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.    
  
  
-----Original Message-----    
From: Mike Gamms  <gamms4ny@gmail.com>    
To: Audrey Clement  <aclement65@hotmail.com>    
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction  <ecoaction@gp.org>    
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am    
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION    
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.    
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement  <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  wrote:    
Dear Ecoaction Members:    
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.    
  
The nominees are as follows;    
Male Co-Chair:    
Mark Dunlea    
Stephen Verchinski    
  
Female Co-Chair:    
Audrey Clement    
Dawn Cronen    
  
Secretary:    
Mike Gamms    
Robin Rowe    
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.    
  
Thank you.    
  
  
Audrey Clement    
Ecoaction Co-Chair    
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_    
Ecoaction mailing list    
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>    
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>    
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_    
Ecoaction mailing list    
Ecoaction@gp.org    
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>    
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/24842e49/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 20  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:22:43 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, "dunleamark@aol.com"  
<dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882C79D37AEAF32EF8DCF80ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Additionally, how was this timeline determined? I feel that this is all too rushed. Tony said the same, as I was typing this. I would like to extend the nomination period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:18 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 21  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 09:24:44 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>  
Cc: dunleamark <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <0338E9CF-A1D4-4A4D-A6D1-EB4176499B26@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Second Opavote use.  
  
And no, majority abstention would render the election null and spark another vote. A ?no candidate? victory would essentially mean the position would go unfilled by majority consent. This isn?t a distinction without difference. If you?re insisting on drawing a distinction, that is.

On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:21 AM, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
These kinds of questions are why we desperately need a DISCUSSION period. This election feels very rushed. In fact I've never seen a committee with all such a short period for nomination and elections. No wonder there have been so few nominees in recent years.  
  
This needs to change.  
  
Tony Ndege  
Cochair GPUS Steering Committee  
Cochair NC Green Party  
336-577-1421 (can text)

On Dec 14, 2020 at 10:18 AM, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
  
  
Aloha  
  
Steve Newman  
  
GPFL  
  
  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
  
  
All,  
  
  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
  
  
?  
  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
  
Male Co-Chair:  
  
Mark Dunlea  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Dawn Cronen  
  
  
  
Secretary:  
  
Mike Gamms  
  
Robin Rowe  
  
  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Ecoaction mailing list  
  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Ecoaction mailing list  
  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/e6e25a32/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 22  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:31:34 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882196AE2E031652D136BFCABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 23  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 11:47:41 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Bio for Co-chair Candidate DawnMarie  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMtGKOY72S2Xj1MHRmu==FXRpiJdLhThr\_ZZTu8FTry+gA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Thanks Dawn,  
I?ve been impressed by your contributions and now your bio. I think you?d  
make an excellent female cochair that could help lead us all together in  
the right direction.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 2:38 AM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com> wrote:

DawnMarie Cronen, also known as DawnMarie Green, is a proud advocate for  
solar and wind energy. My family and I have lived off-grid several times in  
the past decade and we are definitely looking forward to being more self  
sufficient as we explore passive solar water heater systems this winter. I  
know how to build, design and install solar energy systems.  
I opened and closed our new consulting business location in 2020 when  
Covid made it impossible for us to be open, and then a tornado damaged the  
historic building that I was leasing. I am a teacher & artist, and metal,  
wood and reclaimed building materials are a favorite as we rebuild our barn  
and farmhouse after the winds of climate change have caused our area to be  
hit by numerous tornados and heavy winds during the past 10 years.  
  
Architecture and structural engineering is a hobby. I am also an author,  
publisher, and graphic designer. I have taught jewelry design classes  
since 1992, and until last year I was a traveling artist, participating in  
various art shows and offering classes for beginner to intermediate  
students. I have been the CEO of several companies that I also designed and  
built websites for, and I designed jewelry for a local catalog company that  
employs local artists to recreate those designs and believe that being  
connected to the area you live and work in is important.  
  
I love the environment I live in, which is what prompted me to become more  
involved with the Green Party as the deliberate pollution of our local  
water supplies and rivers has surfaced in the past years. Michigan is my  
home, the highly polluted Plainwell and Kalamazoo River areas are very  
close to me, and we live near a wetland area with endangered species.  
  
I have organized artist collectives at the South Haven Center of Arts,  
taught classes there and elsewhere on Eco-friendly laundry and soap  
options, and am a gourmet cook who sold gluten free foods at local farmers  
markets for several years. I am active with the local Gem and Minerals Rock  
clubs, offering free demonstrations of jewelry making at the events.  
Teaching our children ways to work with the earth and all about organic  
farming and sustainable living is a passion of mine. I have been active  
with Allegan HeadStart since 2003, and believe that early education for our  
children is important.  
  
There is a local nuclear power plant that is/was supposed to be  
decommissioned, which I am very happy about, especially since it is located  
so close to Lake Michigan. I oppose fracking and believe that it is one of  
the causes for the new sinkholes that are occurring all over our nation. We  
have plenty of renewable energy sources that are not nuclear or so  
potentially dangerous that should be encouraged, and the Green Party  
EcoAction Committee is where I hope to be active as I offer this biography  
for the Female Co-Chair position.  
  
I was born a Green, and officially joined the Michigan Green Party last  
summer. I was elected interim Lavender Caucus Secretary December 2019 and I  
am currently Secretary of the Women?s Caucus. I am active in the Diversity  
Committee, Merchandise Committee, the ANM Committee and Media. I am a  
Michigan Delegate.  
I am good at organizing and community outreach and look forward to serving  
as Co-Chair if elected.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/7cb10801/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 24  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 11:52:58 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMvmKyshjEoyxrTMdvW0C3VwpUn+6\_+vhXD=87wB20gVew@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to  
make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender  
identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information  
should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with  
late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
 ?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 25  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 16:54:43 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23511C5B8A8E155612102D81D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 26  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 11:58:49 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMsL0MBXJXnAinTZfQRLcX+\_7KuMGQ+7o82RZArygt--\_Q@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
This needs to be extended. We did not receive information required on the  
candidates necessary to make nominations, specifically gender identity.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:24 AM Christopher Stella <  
christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:

Second Opavote use.  
  
And no, majority abstention would render the election null and spark  
another vote. A ?no candidate? victory would essentially mean the position  
would go unfilled by majority consent. This isn?t a distinction without  
difference. If you?re insisting on drawing a distinction, that is.  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:21 AM, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
  
These kinds of questions are why we desperately need a DISCUSSION period.  
This election feels very rushed. In fact I've never seen a committee with  
all such a short period for nomination and elections. No wonder there have  
been so few nominees in recent years.  
  
This needs to change.  
  
Tony Ndege  
Cochair GPUS Steering Committee  
Cochair NC Green Party  
336-577-1421 (can text)  
  
On Dec 14, 2020 at 10:18 AM, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 27  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:03:34 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, Lizzie  
Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235123AFE96D94EE62EDE036D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Lizzie  
  
The gender identities of the male and female co-chair candidates are:  
  
Mark Dunlea, male  
Stephen Verchinski, male  
Audrey Clement, female  
Dawn Cronen, female  
  
The gender identities of the Secretary candidates are not germane to the office for which they are running.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:58:49 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
This needs to be extended. We did not receive information required on the candidates necessary to make nominations, specifically gender identity.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:24 AM Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com<mailto:christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Second Opavote use.  
  
And no, majority abstention would render the election null and spark another vote. A ?no candidate? victory would essentially mean the position would go unfilled by majority consent. This isn?t a distinction without difference. If you?re insisting on drawing a distinction, that is.  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:21 AM, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org<mailto:tony@gp.org>> wrote:  
  
?  
These kinds of questions are why we desperately need a DISCUSSION period. This election feels very rushed. In fact I've never seen a committee with all such a short period for nomination and elections. No wonder there have been so few nominees in recent years.  
  
This needs to change.  
  
  
Tony Ndege  
Cochair GPUS Steering Committee  
Cochair NC Green Party  
336-577-1421 (can text)  
  
On Dec 14, 2020 at 10:18 AM, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>> wrote:  
  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
  
  
Aloha  
  
Steve Newman  
  
GPFL  
  
  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella<http://christopher.albert.stella>@gmail.com<http://gmail.com>>  
  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
  
To: dunleamark@aol.com<mailto:dunleamark@aol.com>  
  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
  
  
All,  
  
  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>> wrote:  
  
  
  
?  
  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com><mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>> wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
  
Male Co-Chair:  
  
Mark Dunlea  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Dawn Cronen  
  
  
  
Secretary:  
  
Mike Gamms  
  
Robin Rowe  
  
  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Ecoaction mailing list  
  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org><mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>>  
  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Ecoaction mailing list  
  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 28  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 12:04:27 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMsm991AufeBVQwuvOf6-TBDiTuA=oDdEkyp-1WRgR1pVg@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make  
proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request.  
Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve  
this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this  
morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to  
make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender  
identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information  
should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with  
late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
 ?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 29  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 12:05:09 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, Tony  
Ndege <tony@gp.org>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMsYvvA7vUFREbdCa0HWhtfpxz7e69gjeJR6ZepKFWyYEg@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
See my previous requests, Audrey.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:03 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Lizzie  
  
The gender identities of the male and female co-chair candidates are:  
  
Mark Dunlea, male  
Stephen Verchinski, male  
Audrey Clement, female  
Dawn Cronen, female  
  
The gender identities of the Secretary candidates are not germane to the  
office for which they are running.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 11:58:49 AM  
\*To:\* Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
This needs to be extended. We did not receive information required on the  
candidates necessary to make nominations, specifically gender identity.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:24 AM Christopher Stella <  
christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Second Opavote use.  
  
And no, majority abstention would render the election null and spark  
another vote. A ?no candidate? victory would essentially mean the position  
would go unfilled by majority consent. This isn?t a distinction without  
difference. If you?re insisting on drawing a distinction, that is.  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:21 AM, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
  
These kinds of questions are why we desperately need a DISCUSSION period.  
This election feels very rushed. In fact I've never seen a committee with  
all such a short period for nomination and elections. No wonder there have  
been so few nominees in recent years.  
  
This needs to change.  
  
Tony Ndege  
Cochair GPUS Steering Committee  
Cochair NC Green Party  
336-577-1421 (can text)  
  
On Dec 14, 2020 at 10:18 AM, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 30  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 16:51:32 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235107B501F5C42108CAC1D4D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 31  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:22:38 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351A95B63EAAB811B6085A9D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request. Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 32  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:22:52 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>, Audrey Clement  
<aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882DB10A717AD9E8C359820ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Without having the roll call include one's pronouns, it leaves the nomination process for male and female Co-Chairs lacking. I didn't understand this before.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman (he, him, his)  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:05 AM  
To: Audrey Clement  
Cc: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
See my previous requests, Audrey.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:03 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The gender identities of the male and female co-chair candidates are:  
  
Mark Dunlea, male  
Stephen Verchinski, male  
Audrey Clement, female  
Dawn Cronen, female  
  
The gender identities of the Secretary candidates are not germane to the office for which they are running.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:58:49 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com<mailto:christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>>  
Cc: Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org<mailto:tony@gp.org>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
This needs to be extended. We did not receive information required on the candidates necessary to make nominations, specifically gender identity.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:24 AM Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com<mailto:christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Second Opavote use.  
  
And no, majority abstention would render the election null and spark another vote. A ?no candidate? victory would essentially mean the position would go unfilled by majority consent. This isn?t a distinction without difference. If you?re insisting on drawing a distinction, that is.  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:21 AM, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org<mailto:tony@gp.org>> wrote:  
  
?  
These kinds of questions are why we desperately need a DISCUSSION period. This election feels very rushed. In fact I've never seen a committee with all such a short period for nomination and elections. No wonder there have been so few nominees in recent years.  
  
This needs to change.  
  
  
Tony Ndege  
Cochair GPUS Steering Committee  
Cochair NC Green Party  
336-577-1421 (can text)  
  
On Dec 14, 2020 at 10:18 AM, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>> wrote:  
  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
  
  
Aloha  
  
Steve Newman  
  
GPFL  
  
  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella<http://christopher.albert.stella>@gmail.com<http://gmail.com>>  
  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
  
To: dunleamark@aol.com<mailto:dunleamark@aol.com>  
  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
  
  
All,  
  
  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>> wrote:  
  
  
  
?  
  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com><mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>> wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
  
Male Co-Chair:  
  
Mark Dunlea  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Dawn Cronen  
  
  
  
Secretary:  
  
Mike Gamms  
  
Robin Rowe  
  
  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Ecoaction mailing list  
  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org><mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>>  
  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Ecoaction mailing list  
  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 33  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:28:31 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351865BD11576B2CE4E6B37D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/a508db86/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 34  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:32:34 +0000 (UTC)  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>,  Audrey Clement  
<aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID: <1070045198.394090.1607967154725@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
5 days starting when?  
Tim  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:    
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/631f441b/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 35  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:39:17 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Mike Gamms  
<gamms4ny@gmail.com>,  ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882E5FE4A1943A764805CCDABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
I see where a 5-day minimum is specified, but that is different from a deadline. Can you please help guide me to the rule you're citing, Audrey?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 6:51 AM  
To: Mike Gamms; ecoaction; Steve Newman  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 36  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:41:07 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Tim Willard  
<dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351586A9783BA41D7F0AECED5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/7c025890/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 37  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:41:54 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235121E6CE44192A6C58CD57D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
That is the rule to which I am referring, Steve.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:39:17 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I see where a 5-day minimum is specified, but that is different from a deadline. Can you please help guide me to the rule you're citing, Audrey?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 6:51 AM  
To: Mike Gamms; ecoaction; Steve Newman  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/f4232ef3/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 38  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:04:54 +0000 (UTC)  
From: stephen verchinski <sverchinski@yahoo.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>,  "Mary L. Sanders"  
<marymaryssc@yahoo.com>,  "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin  
Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID: <791300477.315422.1607969094829@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I signed onto the OSU Scientists Call for a Climate Emergency over a year ago. Everyone with science credentials should have signed on from all the GPUS delegates.  
The overall question is will this emergency be addressed by participatory democracy localized and individualized, the social justice that requires an energy-carbon fee and dividend, the teaching of options for ecological wise choices for a household and the community, and the disempowerment of illegal? unconstitutional undeclared wars and the use of the majority of public discretionary funds or will it result in carbon taxes administered by a Czar??A Czar empowered like John Kerry?  
  
A question for this man. Who will be the last soldier to die in your financed Wars, Undeclared??  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.?  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,? and it is in dire straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
???    
  
 On Sat, Dec 12, 2020 at 10:13 PM, Audrey Clement<aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:   \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/3316e26c/attachment-0001.html>>  
-------------- next part --------------  
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...  
Name: 20201208\_112823.jpg  
Type: image/jpeg  
Size: 3167432 bytes  
Desc: not available  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/3316e26c/attachment-0001.jpg>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 39  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 13:14:57 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Tim Willard  
<dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWOSELowc0ixO0H7dyeX+wwsiEJpGg8267Ng+QCmxD=B5Fw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know  
of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days  
ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and  
ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious  
decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December  
14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an  
established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other  
reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was  
unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way  
to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
\*To:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>;  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't  
be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day  
decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such  
an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
\*To:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days  
dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <  
gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee  
secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/d121117f/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 40  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:27:33 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Tim Willard  
<dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235123D0EFC39DA9158FCC59D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/af2bddd4/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 41  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 12:31:41 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: dunleamark@aol.com, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>,  
ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <22594214-A196-4855-AC00-67B5E074756A@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Co-chair Clement,  
  
I don?t think Steven was suggesting that the program be used for tabulating ballots after being sent via email, but rather that it be used as the voting mechanism, entirely. Which is something I?m for. The odds of discrepancies arising would diminish substantially.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 11:28 AM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
   
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/fa19fd3c/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 42  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:35:58 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: "dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23519D3875914A9CCE3CB2BED5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Okay, Chris. Do you volunteer to set up the election via OpaVote.com?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:31:41 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Co-chair Clement,  
  
I don?t think Steven was suggesting that the program be used for tabulating ballots after being sent via email, but rather that it be used as the voting mechanism, entirely. Which is something I?m for. The odds of discrepancies arising would diminish substantially.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 11:28 AM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/e7b86c3c/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 43  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 12:37:08 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: dunleamark@aol.com, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>,  
ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <3E8C6B08-FCD3-4CA2-8659-006ABFD40C3A@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Sure thing! I?ll take care of that tonight.  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 12:35 PM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Okay, Chris. Do you volunteer to set up the election via OpaVote.com?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:31:41 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
   
Co-chair Clement,  
  
I don?t think Steven was suggesting that the program be used for tabulating ballots after being sent via email, but rather that it be used as the voting mechanism, entirely. Which is something I?m for. The odds of discrepancies arising would diminish substantially.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 11:28 AM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:

?  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
   
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/5df6dfd4/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 44  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:39:07 +0000 (UTC)  
From: stephen verchinski <sverchinski@yahoo.com>  
To: christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com,  Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  Audrey Clement  
<aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <1007679970.355952.1607971147303@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Did you all get the bio info I sent yesterday??  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.?  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,? and it is in dire straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
???    
  
 On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:37 AM, Christopher Stella<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:   \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/66b323ca/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 45  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:42:06 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: "christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com"  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, stephen verchinski  
<sverchinski@yahoo.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351AC2F75A8D72DAAB29EF9D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Stephen  
  
You can verify what was sent to the committee via the listserv archive.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: stephen verchinski <sverchinski@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:39:07 PM  
To: christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Did you all get the bio info I sent yesterday?  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,  and it is in dire straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:37 AM, Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/00511998/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 46  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:42:56 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Tony Ndege NC Greens  
Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB5821808503E0757626121601E6C70@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Audrey,  
According to your own email and the fact that the nomination thread started approximately at 11:48pm, it stands to reason that the nomination period ends today, December 14 at midnight.  
That is the only way that a full 5 day minimum nomination period can be achieved.  
I must ask how votes are being collected and I also assume that we should send them to 2 people that are not candidates for transparency sake. Can you please let us know when the ballots are ready, and when the specific voting period is, since it either starts at 12:01 am on Tuesday morning, but what time zone is that determined by.  
Thank you,  
DawnMarie Cronen  
Co-chair Candidate  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:27:33 PM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/b571fced/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 47  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:57:22 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, Dawn  
Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23513E350C17BCD215AC6685D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Dawn  
  
My call for nominations on December 9 stipulated:  
  
"I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules."  
  
However since there has been a call for an  OpaVote.com election and since Chris Stella has volunteered to run it, it would be necessary to halt the email election. If that happens it would be possible to extend the nomination period another day. However I need to know precisely when Chris is going to have the election set up and running.  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:42:56 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Audrey,  
According to your own email and the fact that the nomination thread started approximately at 11:48pm, it stands to reason that the nomination period ends today, December 14 at midnight.  
That is the only way that a full 5 day minimum nomination period can be achieved.  
I must ask how votes are being collected and I also assume that we should send them to 2 people that are not candidates for transparency sake. Can you please let us know when the ballots are ready, and when the specific voting period is, since it either starts at 12:01 am on Tuesday morning, but what time zone is that determined by.  
Thank you,  
DawnMarie Cronen  
Co-chair Candidate  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:27:33 PM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/7f627d6a/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 48  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 13:35:08 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, Dawn  
Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID: <FA47A0C4-A2E0-4A89-9D50-68C461AF2CAD@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
No sooner than 8pm CST tonight. I?d propose that nominations run the /full/ 5 days as required and not arbitrarily close at 10am today, and that there be a five day discussion period prior to the actual start of the election.  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 12:57 PM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Dawn  
  
My call for nominations on December 9 stipulated:  
  
"I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules."  
  
However since there has been a call for an  OpaVote.com election and since Chris Stella has volunteered to run it, it would be necessary to halt the email election. If that happens it would be possible to extend the nomination period another day. However I need to know precisely when Chris is going to have the election set up and running.  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:42:56 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
   
Audrey,  
According to your own email and the fact that the nomination thread started approximately at 11:48pm, it stands to reason that the nomination period ends today, December 14 at midnight.  
That is the only way that a full 5 day minimum nomination period can be achieved.  
I must ask how votes are being collected and I also assume that we should send them to 2 people that are not candidates for transparency sake. Can you please let us know when the ballots are ready, and when the specific voting period is, since it either starts at 12:01 am on Tuesday morning, but what time zone is that determined by.  
Thank you,  
DawnMarie Cronen  
Co-chair Candidate  
  
Get Outlook for iOS  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:27:33 PM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
   
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
   
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
   
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
   
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/9f10c385/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 49  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 14:51:14 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMuK1FTQZs-ZREi-4cMWqwn9NX\_Nqhn6cLtCWBNaiWVL5A@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware of  
the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my request  
from last week for this information prevented it from being included before  
your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed as deliberate  
and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well  
versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority  
understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs  
to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that  
oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing  
election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make  
proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request.  
Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve  
this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this  
morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to  
make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender  
identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information  
should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with  
late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
 ?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/ea2231b5/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 50  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:04:05 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Tim Willard  
<dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWOQaihM3iXxZVjoYJ-M4k+XwtbG=aOrXa8Oa7dxpgYe2=g@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Audrey I only see a MINIMUM of five days. Where do you see five days only?  
As a former Bylaws Rules Polices and Procedures member perhaps you can help  
me find the quote where it says no longer than five days.  
  
I'm being serious. Perhaps I'm missing something in the Rules.  
  
To be honest I don't see anything specifically pertaining to Officer  
Elections process.  
  
All I see is this:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
3-1.1 Before making a formal decision, the EcoAction Committee shall  
publish the resolution on its email list noting that a decision will be  
made. The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the  
resolution.  
  
Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the  
committee shall be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active  
members shall be required for passage. Each member of the committee shall  
have one vote. All votes will be conducted by open ballot.  
  
The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes.  
When the committee makes a decision by consensus, a shorter decision-making  
process is allowed as long as sufficient time for comments and concerns, at  
least 5 days, is given.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 1:27 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:

Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days  
duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on  
BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently  
stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>;  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know  
of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days  
ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and  
ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious  
decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December  
14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an  
established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other  
reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was  
unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way  
to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
\*To:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>;  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't  
be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day  
decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such  
an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
\*To:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days  
dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <  
gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee  
secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction>  
<<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/76d2e52b/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 51  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:12:12 +0000 (UTC)  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>,  Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID: <1374066433.445090.1607976732673@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
The five day minimum mentioned in the bylaws in reference to proposals.? The section on election of officers is silent about time limits.? Also, five days is the minimum not the maximum.  
  
Tim  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 2:35:14 PM EST, Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
No sooner than 8pm CST tonight. I?d propose that nominations run the /full/ 5 days as required and not arbitrarily close at 10am today, and that there be a five day discussion period prior to the actual start of the election.?  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 12:57 PM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
  
?  
Dawn  
  
My call for nominations on December 9 stipulated:  
  
"I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules."  
  
However since there has been a call for an? OpaVote.com election and since Chris Stella has volunteered to run it, it would be necessary to halt the email election. If that happens it would be possible to extend the nomination period another day. However I need to know precisely when Chris is going to have the election set up and running.  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:42:56 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?Audrey,According to your own email and the fact that the nomination thread started approximately at 11:48pm, it stands to reason that the nomination period ends today, December 14 at midnight.That is the only way that a full 5 day minimum nomination period can be achieved.I must ask how votes are being collected and I also assume that we should send them to 2 people that are not candidates for transparency sake. Can you please let us know when the ballots are ready, and when the specific voting period is, since it either starts at 12:01 am on Tuesday morning, but what time zone is that determined by.Thank you,DawnMarie Cronen Co-chair Candidate  
Get Outlook for iOSFrom: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:27:33 PM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.?  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.?  
Tony Ndege?NCGP?  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are? in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?5 days starting when?  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/d02b8776/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 52  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:09:13 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, "dunleamark@aol.com"  
<dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB28823863FAC99C6E858CA32CABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 53  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:42:00 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Tim Willard  
<dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351D83279503A557980D135D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
By that interpretation of the rule I can call for a 50 day vote, which is clearly arbitrary. Any other number I choose is also going to be arbitrary.  
  
In a case where the rules are non-specific precedent is generally invoked. This committee has operated on a 5 day decision making rule for at least 10 years, which has always been sufficient to conduct an election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:04:05 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Audrey I only see a MINIMUM of five days. Where do you see five days only? As a former Bylaws Rules Polices and Procedures member perhaps you can help me find the quote where it says no longer than five days.  
  
I'm being serious. Perhaps I'm missing something in the Rules.  
  
To be honest I don't see anything specifically pertaining to Officer Elections process.  
  
All I see is this:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
3-1.1 Before making a formal decision, the EcoAction Committee shall publish the resolution on its email list noting that a decision will be made. The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution.  
  
Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage. Each member of the committee shall have one vote. All votes will be conducted by open ballot.  
  
The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes. When the committee makes a decision by consensus, a shorter decision-making process is allowed as long as sufficient time for comments and concerns, at least 5 days, is given.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 1:27 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
[https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction](https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction%3Chttps:/pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction)>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/6f0ee26e/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 54  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:45:30 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Cc: Ecoaction List <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] 2020 voting process questions  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB5821AE26B7532B4497DADA23E6CC0@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Question: What was the process and time period for the vote collecting, when email votes were tallied?  
This year will be different, as we are setting this up for Opavote.  
Will we have a discussion period as is usually done with other Green Party elections, allowing time to setup the ballot and making sure the biography information is available for all candidates?  
Are we waiting a full 5 days to start the election voting period after Saturday? Should we schedule it for Sunday or Monday?  
It is important for us to know our candidate choices, I believe that we have more than one person nominated for each position.  
  
-I do not know who knows the answer to these questions, so I address the entire Committee so we can come to a consensus on how best to proceed? We can use the past as an example, but together we are the ones drafting the future processes as we enter into 2021.  
  
What is the customary time period for the votes to be collected?  
I only know that we are changing from the previous schedule used for EcoAction in the past to something that is a longer time period. With the winter holidays it is important for us all to know dates and deadlines, that way no dangerous assumptions are made.  
Respectfully,  
DawnMarie Cronen  
  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/dbb0a125/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 55  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:48:05 +0000 (UTC)  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>,  Audrey  
Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>,  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID: <732117617.377864.1607978885874@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
We're supposed to be governed by consensus as much as possible.  
"The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution. Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall be empowered to vote"  
The five day minimum mentioned refers to the period (again, minimum period) when consensus is sought.  
Tim  
  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:42:01 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:    
  
By that interpretation of the rule I can call for a 50 day vote, which is clearly arbitrary. Any other number I choose is also going to be arbitrary.  
  
In a case where the rules are non-specific precedent is generally invoked. This committee has operated on a 5 day decision making rule for at least 10 years, which has always been sufficient to conduct an election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:04:05 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?Audrey I only see a MINIMUM of five days. Where do you see five days only? As a former Bylaws Rules Polices and Procedures member perhaps you can help me find the quote where it says no longer than five days.?  
I'm being serious. Perhaps I'm missing something in the Rules.?  
To be honest I don't see anything specifically pertaining to Officer Elections process.?  
All I see is this:  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
3-1.1 Before making a formal decision, the EcoAction Committee shall publish the resolution on its email list noting that a decision will be made. The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution.?  
Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage. Each member of the committee shall have one vote. All votes will be conducted by open ballot.  
The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes. When the committee makes a decision by consensus, a shorter decision-making process is allowed as long as sufficient time for comments and concerns, at least 5 days, is given.  
Tony Ndege?NCGP?  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 1:27 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.?  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.?  
Tony Ndege?NCGP?  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are? in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?5 days starting when?  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS?In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction>  
  
  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/201233bc/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 56  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:48:21 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMv+8Dkadx4i2Y-NN1DkqeSpg69SLTYJXkE0N0mpUx-Q0Q@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Voter suppression tactics or Green democratic procedures? Which way will we  
go?  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 3:42 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

By that interpretation of the rule I can call for a 50 day vote, which is  
clearly arbitrary. Any other number I choose is also going to be arbitrary.  
  
In a case where the rules are non-specific precedent is generally invoked.  
This committee has operated on a 5 day decision making rule for at least 10  
years, which has always been sufficient to conduct an election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 3:04:05 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>;  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Audrey I only see a MINIMUM of five days. Where do you see five days only?  
As a former Bylaws Rules Polices and Procedures member perhaps you can help  
me find the quote where it says no longer than five days.  
  
I'm being serious. Perhaps I'm missing something in the Rules.  
  
To be honest I don't see anything specifically pertaining to Officer  
Elections process.  
  
All I see is this:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
3-1.1 Before making a formal decision, the EcoAction Committee shall  
publish the resolution on its email list noting that a decision will be  
made. The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the  
resolution.  
  
Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the  
committee shall be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active  
members shall be required for passage. Each member of the committee shall  
have one vote. All votes will be conducted by open ballot.  
  
The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes.  
When the committee makes a decision by consensus, a shorter decision-making  
process is allowed as long as sufficient time for comments and concerns, at  
least 5 days, is given.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 1:27 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days  
duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on  
BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently  
stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>;  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know  
of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days  
ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and  
ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious  
decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December  
14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an  
established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other  
reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was  
unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way  
to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
\*To:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>;  
Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't  
be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day  
decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such  
an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
\*To:\* Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days  
dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mike Gamms <  
gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee  
secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction>  
<<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/06b1dc44/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 57  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:52:00 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB582186B204869314BE0FC6B2E6C70@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Question: What was the process and time period for the vote collecting, when email votes were tallied?  
This year will be different, as we are setting this up for Opavote.  
Will we have a discussion period as is usually done with other Green Party elections, allowing time to setup the ballot and making sure the biography information is available for all candidates?  
Are we waiting a full 5 days to start the election voting period after Saturday<outlook-data-detector://0>? Should we schedule it for Sunday or Monday?  
It is important for us to know our candidate choices, I believe that we have more than one person nominated for each position.  
  
-I do not know who knows the answer to these questions, so I address the entire Committee so we can come to a consensus on how best to proceed? We can use the past as an example, but together we are the ones drafting the future processes as we enter into 2021.  
  
What is the customary time period for the votes to be collected?  
I only know that we are changing from the previous schedule used for EcoAction in the past to something that is a longer time period. With the winter holidays it is important for us all to know dates and deadlines, that way no dangerous assumptions are made.  
Respectfully,  
DawnMarie Cronen  
  
  
  
By that interpretation of the rule I can call for a 50 day vote, which is clearly arbitrary. Any other number I choose is also going to be arbitrary.  
  
In a case where the rules are non-specific precedent is generally invoked. This committee has operated on a 5 day decision making rule for at least 10 years, which has always been sufficient to conduct an election.  
  
Audrey  
  
  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/79fb15b6/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 58  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:53:07 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Tony Ndege NC Greens  
Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>, Tim  
Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882FED92B408C641E88304DABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Given the calls from multiple members to extend the timeline that Audrey alone, (as best I can tell), invoked, shouldn't that be heeded?  
  
Also, in reading through some of the GPUS Bylaws and Rules, if I read correctly, Committee appointments are to be processed through the GPUS Secretary. I'd be surprised that there was so much controversy on this listserv around the recent Carolina appointments, if that process had been followed. Also, did the even more recent Louisiana appointments follow that correct path?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:42 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Mike Gamms; ecoaction; Steve Newman; Tim Willard  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
By that interpretation of the rule I can call for a 50 day vote, which is clearly arbitrary. Any other number I choose is also going to be arbitrary.  
  
In a case where the rules are non-specific precedent is generally invoked. This committee has operated on a 5 day decision making rule for at least 10 years, which has always been sufficient to conduct an election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:04:05 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Audrey I only see a MINIMUM of five days. Where do you see five days only? As a former Bylaws Rules Polices and Procedures member perhaps you can help me find the quote where it says no longer than five days.  
  
I'm being serious. Perhaps I'm missing something in the Rules.  
  
To be honest I don't see anything specifically pertaining to Officer Elections process.  
  
All I see is this:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
3-1.1 Before making a formal decision, the EcoAction Committee shall publish the resolution on its email list noting that a decision will be made. The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution.  
  
Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage. Each member of the committee shall have one vote. All votes will be conducted by open ballot.  
  
The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes. When the committee makes a decision by consensus, a shorter decision-making process is allowed as long as sufficient time for comments and concerns, at least 5 days, is given.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 1:27 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December 14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
[https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction](https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction%3Chttps:/pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction)>  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 59  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:54:14 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235151A729EC86F140290377D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/e07e8a6f/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 60  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:00:01 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235176B09A98F3388C8AA249D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Steve  
  
You say:  
"It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept."  
  
Has Mary Sanders accepted the nomination for committee secretary?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/80d8337a/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 61  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:00:17 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: dunleamark@aol.com, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>,  
ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <080A726D-3003-42AE-B375-76CD75D5C480@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I?m happy to set up opavote in whatever fashion gets some semblance of consensus.  
  
Further, if there are any questions with respect to the validity of the Louisiana appointments, feel free to run us by the national secretary. This line loses the point of the conversation occurring, which would be election procedure.  
  
To address Chair Clement?s point, while this has been an inauspicious introduction for all new members, keep in mind that an open discussion period is a chance to /sell the new membership on your leadership ability and policies/?we?re relatively blank slates; win your case on the merits.

On Dec 14, 2020, at 2:54 PM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
   
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that

 right?

It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/07049816/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 62  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:02:43 +0000 (UTC)  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>,  Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>,  Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <846909981.392146.1607979763374@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Another thing--I can't remember the last time we had a contested election for Eco-Action officer.? It's great that we have more people interested this year--it shows that the committee is coming back to life.? But, while a five day time limit was fine for an uncontested election, it's too little time for a contested election.  
Tim  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:54:17 PM EST, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:    
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION?Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
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Message: 63  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:05:47 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, "dunleamark@aol.com"  
<dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB28822B2C4ECCB1AAD8DD1D6EABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Thank you for that concession on the extension, Audrey. I agree, regarding the toxicity, and hope we can discuss wearing our best diplomacy hats.  
  
My point about the rules not applying was that elections SHOULD be anonymous. Somehow, our Committee rules were approved without specifically addressing our internal elections, as required by GPUS Rules and/or Bylaws. I was specifically pointing out why they should not be considered binding on elections, in an effort to build consensus on the subject, since so much of this is just whizzing by. A lack of objection during an unspecified period of an hour or two does not, a consensus, make.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:54 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 64  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:06:19 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: "dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351B526CB6B0E5CEA364FE7D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
That sounds good to me, Chris. Are you good with setting up an election between 12/17 and 12/22? If so what is your preferred start and end time? Do you want assistance from other committee members in tabulation the vote and reporting the election?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:00:17 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>; Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I?m happy to set up opavote in whatever fashion gets some semblance of consensus.  
  
Further, if there are any questions with respect to the validity of the Louisiana appointments, feel free to run us by the national secretary. This line loses the point of the conversation occurring, which would be election procedure.  
  
To address Chair Clement?s point, while this has been an inauspicious introduction for all new members, keep in mind that an open discussion period is a chance to /sell the new membership on your leadership ability and policies/?we?re relatively blank slates; win your case on the merits.  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 2:54 PM, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
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Message: 65  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:11:35 +0000 (UTC)  
From: dunleamark@aol.com  
To: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] Green Party - carbon tax / fee and dividend  
Message-ID: <975797038.642796.1607980295356@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I first began lobbying for a carbon tax in NYS maybe 25years ago. In 2015, I helped write a state carbon tax bill in NY and have beenactive on the national level as well, though less so in the last few years. For a variety of reasons, a national tax makes more sense than a state tax, though federal action on the issue has not been realistic for the last few decades.?  
  
There seems a likelihood that some form of national carbon pricing will be adopted during Biden's term, given the support among Democrats, businesses and economists.  
At the end of this email is what the GP National Platform says on a carbon tax,which is fairly outdated, starting with the low initial charge. Most carbon taxbills now start at $40 per ton or so, and then increase a significant amount annually(the state bill I wrote adds $15 a year, up to $180 a ton).  
  
  
The national platform endorses a ?fee and dividend? system butdoes not state how big the dividend will be (e.g., 30%, 50% or 100%).  
The dividend portion of the state bill I wrote was to rebate60% of the revenues to low and moderate income individuals, with 40% being investedin renewable energy, climate resilience, mass transit, etc. The other bigcarbon bill in NY by NY Renews (seen as the voice of the EJ community) callsfor a much smaller percentage as a direct dividend with a higher percentage goingto programs that help EJ communities.  
  
How to spend the revenues is the part that the climatecoalitions debate the most. Several years ago a carbon tax initiative with ahigh rebate pushed by CCL was defeated at the polls in the state of Washington, opposed bylabor, EJ and many climate groups. Those groups then developed their own proposal withmost investments in renewable energy and green infrastructure, and that was alsodefeated. (not sure all details are exactly correct)  
Probably the biggest group working on a carbon tax with a grassrootsmembership base is Citizens Climate Lobby (CCL), which is the strongest proponent ofa fee and 100% dividend. I believe the 100% dividend goes to everyone ratherthan being income targeted. For several years we lobbied together at the statelevel since we felt are proposals were close enough that we could support eachother?s. I have always felt the biggest task was to enact a carbon tax with abig enough price per ton to make a difference, how to invest the revenues wassecondary as long as there was some mechanism to offset the regressive natureof the tax.  
Pollsshow a majority of Americans support makingpolluters pay for carbon emissions. The strongest support, especially amongRepublicans, is to use the revenuesto promote renewable energy, not for rebates. I have always felt thatgiving the importance of funding a quick transition to renewable energy, a 100%dividend should only be promoted if it makes it more likely to be passed; pollsand discussions with elected officials show that is not the case.  
  
The present 100% fee and dividend bill pushed by CCL inCongress is deeply problematic. While it claims to be bipartisan only oneRepublican has sponsored. Former senior Republicans have pushed support for acarbon tax in exchange for suspending enforcement of federal greenhouseregulations and removing legal liability for companies like Exxon for climatechange. The CCL bill has the former though not the latter. The CCL bill howeveralso provides a tax credit for plastic production (a major climate change problem),on the theory that making plastic traps carbon.  
  
  
While many groups have opposed the related cap-and-trade (which West Coastand East Coast states have) on EJ concerns, more groups have begun opposing acarbon tax as a market approach that allows polluters to still emit carbonemissions, especially in EJ communities. The groups like Food and Water Watch argueinstead for mandated emissions reductions. I agree with the concerns but would doboth at the same time. (Groups are also trying to frame it more as a corporatepolluter penalty, though I don?t think that convinces the opponents).  
  
  
?  
Memo of Support  
Enact a Carbon Tax in NYS (A39 Cahill/ S3608 Parker)  
  
Climate change is a seriousproblem in NY and worldwide, with the UN warning that the world has elevenyears left for drastic action to slash greenhouse gas emissions. A carbon taxwould greatly assist New York in meeting the ambitious goals put into law bythe recent Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act to reduce greenhouseemissions and increase renewable energy.  
  
One of the biggest obstacles totransitioning to clean energy is that the market prices of coal, oil and gasdon?t include the true costs of carbon pollution. A carbon tax encouragesalternative energy by making it cost-competitive with cheaper fuels. Theproposed carbon tax would start at $35 a ton and then increase in annualincrements of $15 a ton to $185. 60% of the revenues would be rebated to lowand moderate income consumers. The remaining forty percent will fund thetransition to one hundred percent clean energy, including supporting masstransit and climate change adaptation. Funds would go to renewable energy,energy conservation and efficiency measures, improvements in infrastructure, agriculturaladaptation and climate resiliency.  
  
"Carbon-based fuel"means coal, natural gas, renewable biomass, petroleum products, and any otherproduct that contains carbon and emits carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide,or other greenhouse gases when combusted, that are used for fuel, heating,cooling, or industrial processes including electricity generation.  
  
A carbon tax is an ?upstream? taxon the carbon contents of fossil fuels (coal, oil and natural gas) and biofuels.A carbon tax is the most efficient means to instill crucial price signals thatspur carbon-reducing investment. A carbon tax can also be used to recapture someof the costs pushed on to taxpayers and consumers from burning fossil fuels,such as the $30 billion added annual health costs in NYS to deal with airpollution and fossil fuels and the tens of billions of dollars of damage fromclimate change (e.g., severe weather)  
  
Unlike cap-and-trade, carbontaxes don?t create complex and easily-gamed ?carbon markets? with allowances,trading and offsets. An upstream carbon tax levies a tax according to theamount of carbon dioxide emitted by each fossil fuel. The cost of the tax isthen passed along to consumers and producers as fossil fuels and energyintensive goods and services become costlier. If the carbon tax is effective,goods and services which are less energy intensive will become more affordablethan those which release larger quantities of carbon dioxide into theatmosphere.?  
  
NY's present cap and tradeprogram (Regional Greenhouse Gas Emissions) only prices carbon at around $6 aton. The Congressional Research office concluded that the price of RGGI has beentoo low to reduce carbon emissions.  
  
Pollsshow a majority of Americans support makingpolluters pay for carbon emissions. The strongest support, especially amongRepublicans, is to use the revenuesto promote renewable energy. While a national carbon tax would be easier toadminister, it is unlikely that President Trump and the present Congress willagree to act in time to prevent catastrophic climate change.  
  
Other states considering similar carbon pricing legislationinclude Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Maryland, Washington, Utah,Minnesota, Hawaii, New Mexico, Oregon, Vermont, and the District ofColumbia.?The NY ISO is proposing carbon pricing for the wholesaleelectric markets and proposals are being for regional carbon pricing related totransportation.  
  
GreenEducation and Legal Fund, [http://www.gelfny.org,dunleamark@aol.com](http://www.gelfny.org,dunleamark@aol.com/), 518 860-3725  
  
  
?  
  
?  
   
  - Enact a Fee & Dividend system on fossil fuels to enable the free market to include the environmental costs of their extraction and use. These fees shall be applied as far upstream as possible, either when fuel passes from extraction to refining, distribution or consumption; or when it first enters the United States' jurisdiction. The carbon fee will initially be small, a dime per kilogram of carbon, to avoid creating a shock to the economy. The fee will be increased by 10% each year that global atmospheric carbon dioxide content is greater than 350 ppm, decreased 10% each year it's less than 300 ppm, and repealed entirely when it falls below 250 ppm.    
     
     
  
  
  - Although imported fossil fuel has no more impact on global climate change than domestic, importing petroleum and natural gas has a catastrophic impact on American foreign policy and the American economy. We will enact this same fee on imported fossil fuels a second time to give the free market an incentive to wean America off foreign oil and gas.    
     
     
  
  
  - The Green Party calls for elimination of subsidies for fossil fuels, nuclear power, biomass and waste incineration and biofuels. We must also acknowledge that the bulk of our military budget is, in fact, an indirect subsidy for oil & gas corporations.    
     
     
  
  
  - To prevent perverse incentives arising from higher carbon prices, the Green Party mandates clean fuels in addition to pricing carbon. Otherwise dirty energy sources like nuclear power, biomass and biofuels that are not subject to carbon pricing will become economically competitive.    
  
  
  
?  
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Message: 66  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:14:50 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB235130E2442F4D6E21FE1694D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Steve  
  
In cases where the rules are specific, they should be followed. Ecoaction rules say:  
  
"All votes will be conducted by open ballot." You can't get more specific than that.  
  
Also in response to Tim Willard, there have been several contested male co-chair elections and one contested secretary election this year, all of which concluded easily within 10 days.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:05:47 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Thank you for that concession on the extension, Audrey. I agree, regarding the toxicity, and hope we can discuss wearing our best diplomacy hats.  
  
My point about the rules not applying was that elections SHOULD be anonymous. Somehow, our Committee rules were approved without specifically addressing our internal elections, as required by GPUS Rules and/or Bylaws. I was specifically pointing out why they should not be considered binding on elections, in an effort to build consensus on the subject, since so much of this is just whizzing by. A lack of objection during an unspecified period of an hour or two does not, a consensus, make.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:54 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/48bfd4fd/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 67  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:19:46 -0600  
From: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>,  Tony Ndege NC Greens  
Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<CA+kAPD7w+aeSh601V\_tLq5H1AsdMoGScxwUvpMYrsnSe2Pdb3Q@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
As Secretary of Louisiana Green Party I can say yes we followed this path.  
Chris and myself have been appointed by the state Coordinating Committee  
and I contacted the GPUS Secretary.  
  
John Krause  
Secretary of the Green Party of Louisiana  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 2:53 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:

Given the calls from multiple members to extend the timeline that Audrey  
alone, (as best I can tell), invoked, shouldn't that be heeded?  
  
Also, in reading through some of the GPUS Bylaws and Rules, if I read  
correctly, Committee appointments are to be processed through the GPUS  
Secretary. I'd be surprised that there was so much controversy on this  
listserv around the recent Carolina appointments, if that process had been  
followed. Also, did the even more recent Louisiana appointments follow that  
correct path?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:42 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Mike Gamms; ecoaction; Steve Newman; Tim Willard  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
By that interpretation of the rule I can call for a 50 day vote, which is  
clearly arbitrary. Any other number I choose is also going to be arbitrary.  
  
In a case where the rules are non-specific precedent is generally invoked.  
This committee has operated on a 5 day decision making rule for at least 10  
years, which has always been sufficient to conduct an election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:04:05 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>; Steve  
Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Audrey I only see a MINIMUM of five days. Where do you see five days only?  
As a former Bylaws Rules Polices and Procedures member perhaps you can help  
me find the quote where it says no longer than five days.  
  
I'm being serious. Perhaps I'm missing something in the Rules.  
  
To be honest I don't see anything specifically pertaining to Officer  
Elections process.  
  
All I see is this:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
3-1.1 Before making a formal decision, the EcoAction Committee shall  
publish the resolution on its email list noting that a decision will be  
made. The committee shall then attempt to achieve consensus on the  
resolution.  
  
Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the  
committee shall be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active  
members shall be required for passage. Each member of the committee shall  
have one vote. All votes will be conducted by open ballot.  
  
The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes.  
When the committee makes a decision by consensus, a shorter decision-making  
process is allowed as long as sufficient time for comments and concerns, at  
least 5 days, is given.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 1:27 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com  
<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tony  
  
I used be on the BRPP Committee and I believe its elections were of 5 days  
duration. But even if I am wrong on that, I cannot run an election based on  
BRPP rules. I have to run it according to Ecoaction rules as they currently  
stand not how members want them to be.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 1:14:57 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <  
dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Tim Willard <  
dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
Cochair Clement There's nothing arbitrary about this request. I don't know  
of any other committee that has such a short process.  
  
I only wish I had more members back me up when I questioned this five days  
ago.  
  
But this is the problem - five days of nomination five days of voting and  
ZERO days of discussion is unfair to people's time. Running is a serious  
decision. Or at least it should be.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:55 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com  
<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Tim  
  
The call for nominations went out on December 9 and concluded on December  
14. These dates are  in line with all previous committee elections.  
  
It would be arbitrary and capricious to halt an election that follows an  
established practice that is consistent with committee rules for no other  
reason than members are unhappy with it.  
  
Members have good reason to be unhappy with these rules. In fact I was  
unhappy with them when they were adopted almost 15 years ago. But the way  
to remedy the situation is to overhaul them not disregard them.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tim Willard <dravidic@yahoo.com<mailto:dravidic@yahoo.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:32:34 PM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Steve Newman <  
dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>; Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
5 days starting when?  
  
Tim  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 12:06:23 PM EST, Audrey Clement <  
aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
  
  
Steve  
  
Key committee deadlines must be spelled out in committee rules. They can't  
be made up. The only deadline spelled out in Ecoaction rules is the 5 day  
decision making deadline.  
  
If you don't like that then sponsor a proposal to overhaul the rules. Such  
an overhaul is long overdue.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:34 AM  
To: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>; ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
In anticipation of extending the nomination period beyond the five days  
dictated, I nominate Mary Sanders for Secretary.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>>  
on behalf of Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com<mailto:gamms4ny@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 11:26 PM  
To: ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
I self nominate myself, Mike Gamms (NLGC) for ecoaction committee  
secretary.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/Ecoaction><  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/ae68a22d/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 68  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:30:04 +0000 (UTC)  
From: stephen verchinski <sverchinski@yahoo.com>  
To: jkrause9190@gmail.com, John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>,  Steve  
Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID: <1342624876.475523.1607981404609@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Delegate Francis Jeffers seconded my nomination yesterday.?  
Thank you delegate Jeffers.  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110505 238 2398  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.?  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,? and it is in dire straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
???    
  
 On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 1:20 PM, John Krause<jkrause9190@gmail.com> wrote:   \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/6cf6450e/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 69  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:31:32 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, "dunleamark@aol.com"  
<dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB28829786EB4353C77A888072ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Yes, under the section titled, "Regular Proposals." Elections are not "regular proposals."  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:14 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
In cases where the rules are specific, they should be followed. Ecoaction rules say:  
  
"All votes will be conducted by open ballot." You can't get more specific than that.  
  
Also in response to Tim Willard, there have been several contested male co-chair elections and one contested secretary election this year, all of which concluded easily within 10 days.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:05:47 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Thank you for that concession on the extension, Audrey. I agree, regarding the toxicity, and hope we can discuss wearing our best diplomacy hats.  
  
My point about the rules not applying was that elections SHOULD be anonymous. Somehow, our Committee rules were approved without specifically addressing our internal elections, as required by GPUS Rules and/or Bylaws. I was specifically pointing out why they should not be considered binding on elections, in an effort to build consensus on the subject, since so much of this is just whizzing by. A lack of objection during an unspecified period of an hour or two does not, a consensus, make.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:54 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 70  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 16:41:48 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Ecoaction List <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWOT2f-DWJ7=vXe6HQQ\_L+ezJj5KxyU5S+b=QKz9SAX16ZQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the get  
go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the middle  
of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering Committee  
Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote and begin  
the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon. This will  
give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah will have  
a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections on the  
listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections that  
would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that the  
legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the bylaws.  
  
\*The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST \*  
\*The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West  
Coast reps to vote by their noon time.\*  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I  
think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of  
discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see  
where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I  
have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your  
support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com> wrote:

Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two  
cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH  
seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than  
five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the  
chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two  
co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are  
there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This  
will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 71  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:46:11 -0600  
From: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Ecoaction List  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<CA+kAPD7=q-kNXVE7zudEMo\_N5Dyy+3\_=Sjtrj89V3TzzJmB5sg@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I think this is a good compromise for conducting this election. I also  
agree that we should amend the bylaws after the election to create a more  
fair election process.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:42 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the  
get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the  
middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering  
Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote  
and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon.  
This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah  
will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections  
on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections  
that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that  
the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the  
bylaws.  
  
\*The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST \*  
\*The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West  
Coast reps to vote by their noon time.\*  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I  
think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of  
discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see  
where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I  
have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your  
support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com> wrote:

Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two  
cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH  
seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than  
five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon  
the chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two  
co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are  
there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This  
will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 72  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:47:04 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 2020 voting process questions  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB28826AD8C567B36E36B05F20ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
As I understand it, we are extending the nomination period. Whether that is to tonight at midnight, tomorrow at 10 AM, or tomorrow at midnight is currently unclear. The votes will be collected from the 17th to the 22nd. Whether it is to be an open vote or not is being debated. I feel that elections are better served when voting is anonymous.  
  
The candidate list has been produced on other threads, but I've nominated Mary Sanders for Secretary. While I assume she accepts, as per her comment, "I'm kinda new but willing to learn if someone wants to nominate me," she has yet to explicitly accept.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:45 AM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Cc: Ecoaction List  
Subject: [Ecoaction] 2020 voting process questions  
  
Question: What was the process and time period for the vote collecting, when email votes were tallied?  
This year will be different, as we are setting this up for Opavote.  
Will we have a discussion period as is usually done with other Green Party elections, allowing time to setup the ballot and making sure the biography information is available for all candidates?  
Are we waiting a full 5 days to start the election voting period after Saturday? Should we schedule it for Sunday or Monday?  
It is important for us to know our candidate choices, I believe that we have more than one person nominated for each position.  
  
-I do not know who knows the answer to these questions, so I address the entire Committee so we can come to a consensus on how best to proceed? We can use the past as an example, but together we are the ones drafting the future processes as we enter into 2021.  
  
What is the customary time period for the votes to be collected?  
I only know that we are changing from the previous schedule used for EcoAction in the past to something that is a longer time period. With the winter holidays it is important for us all to know dates and deadlines, that way no dangerous assumptions are made.  
Respectfully,  
DawnMarie Cronen  
  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 73  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 16:47:14 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Green Party - carbon tax / fee and dividend  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMvpc5pao7BaoPD=Gs-UbborcwRSjBuYAOZ8q5CzH\_pY\_g@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Mark,  
  
Thanks for this post on carbon tax/fee and dividend. I only started working  
on this with CCL during Obama?s first term. I did take a turn towards  
advocating for more regulation, grassroots efforts against new fossil fuel  
infrastructure and divestment for awhile. Upon Trump?s election, I made a  
strategic decision to return to CCL lobbying locally and on the Hill. I was  
also able to attend their coursework at American University doing the  
deep-dive on this policy including trade and a host of ancillary energy  
topics. Their national conventions also provide excellent opportunities to  
get updates from military, high level government representatives and  
international groups. Lobbying on Capitol Hill is an education in itself.  
  
  
I agree that both a carbon fee and dividend in addition to regulation is  
needed. I do see the effort for bipartisanship has been legitimate and that  
the reality of the GOP being unwilling to engage since Obama?s presidency  
as an unfortunate obstruction of what could be a big piece of the puzzle to  
get us to our carbon targets. With the new administration, the possibility  
of reinvigorating bipartisan collaboration may yet happen. Something to  
push on.  
  
  
Updating our Green Party platform on carbon free and dividend is something  
I?d be interested in helping out with.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 4:11 PM Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
wrote:

I first began lobbying for a carbon tax in NYS maybe 25 years ago. In  
2015, I helped write a state carbon tax bill in NY and have been active on  
the national level as well, though less so in the last few years. For a  
variety of reasons, a national tax makes more sense than a state tax,  
though federal action on the issue has not been realistic for the last few  
decades. There seems a likelihood that some form of national carbon  
pricing will be adopted during Biden's term, given the support among  
Democrats, businesses and economists.  
  
At the end of this email is what the GP National Platform says on a carbon  
tax, which is fairly outdated, starting with the low initial charge. Most  
carbon tax bills now start at $40 per ton or so, and then increase a  
significant amount annually (the state bill I wrote adds $15 a year, up to  
$180 a ton).  
  
The national platform endorses a ?fee and dividend? system but does not  
state how big the dividend will be (e.g., 30%, 50% or 100%).  
  
The dividend portion of the state bill I wrote was to rebate 60% of the  
revenues to low and moderate income individuals, with 40% being invested in  
renewable energy, climate resilience, mass transit, etc. The other big  
carbon bill in NY by NY Renews (seen as the voice of the EJ community)  
calls for a much smaller percentage as a direct dividend with a higher  
percentage going to programs that help EJ communities.  
  
How to spend the revenues is the part that the climate coalitions debate  
the most. Several years ago a carbon tax initiative with a high rebate  
pushed by CCL was defeated at the polls in the state of Washington, opposed  
by labor, EJ and many climate groups. Those groups then developed their own  
proposal with most investments in renewable energy and green  
infrastructure, and that was also defeated. (not sure all details are  
exactly correct)  
  
Probably the biggest group working on a carbon tax with a grassroots  
membership base is Citizens Climate Lobby (CCL), which is the strongest  
proponent of a fee and 100% dividend. I believe the 100% dividend goes to  
everyone rather than being income targeted. For several years we lobbied  
together at the state level since we felt are proposals were close enough  
that we could support each other?s. I have always felt the biggest task was  
to enact a carbon tax with a big enough price per ton to make a difference,  
how to invest the revenues was secondary as long as there was some  
mechanism to offset the regressive nature of the tax.  
  
Polls  
<<http://closup.umich.edu/issues-in-energy-and-environmental-policy/13/public-views-on-a-carbon-tax-depend-on-the-proposed-use-of-revenue/>>  
show a majority of Americans support making polluters pay  
<<https://grist.org/article/voters-like-taxing-carbon-as-long-as-you-dont-say-carbon-tax/>>  
for carbon emissions. The strongest support, especially among Republicans,  
is to use the revenues to promote renewable  
<<https://climatecommunication.yale.edu/publications/americans-willing-pay-carbon-tax/>>  
energy, not for rebates. I have always felt that giving the importance of  
funding a quick transition to renewable energy, a 100% dividend should only  
be promoted if it makes it more likely to be passed; polls and discussions  
with elected officials show that is not the case.  
The present 100% fee and dividend bill  
<<https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/763>> pushed by  
CCL in Congress is deeply problematic. While it claims to be bipartisan  
only one Republican has sponsored. Former senior Republicans have pushed  
support for a carbon tax in exchange for suspending enforcement of federal  
greenhouse regulations and removing legal liability for companies like  
Exxon for climate change. The CCL bill has the former though not the  
latter. The CCL bill however also provides a tax credit for plastic  
production (a major climate change problem), on the theory that making  
plastic traps carbon.  
  
While many groups have opposed the related cap-and-trade (which West Coast  
and East Coast states have) on EJ concerns, more groups have begun opposing  
a carbon tax as a market approach that allows polluters to still emit  
carbon emissions, especially in EJ communities. The groups like Food and  
Water Watch argue instead for mandated emissions reductions. I agree with  
the concerns but would do both at the same time. (Groups are also trying to  
frame it more as a corporate polluter penalty, though I don?t think that  
convinces the opponents).  
  
  
\*Memo of Support Enact a Carbon Tax in NYS \*(A39 Cahill/ S3608 Parker)  
Climate change is a serious problem in NY and worldwide, with the UN  
warning that the world has eleven years left for drastic action to slash  
greenhouse gas emissions. A carbon tax would greatly assist New York in  
meeting the ambitious goals put into law by the recent Climate Leadership  
and Community Protection Act to reduce greenhouse emissions and increase  
renewable energy.  
One of the biggest obstacles to transitioning to clean energy is that the  
market prices of coal, oil and gas don?t include the true costs of carbon  
pollution. A carbon tax encourages alternative energy by making it  
cost-competitive with cheaper fuels. The proposed carbon tax would start at  
$35 a ton and then increase in annual increments of $15 a ton to $185. 60%  
of the revenues would be rebated to low and moderate income consumers. The  
remaining forty percent will fund the transition to one hundred percent  
clean energy, including supporting mass transit and climate change  
adaptation. Funds would go to renewable energy, energy conservation and  
efficiency measures, improvements in infrastructure, agricultural  
adaptation and climate resiliency.  
"Carbon-based fuel" means coal, natural gas, renewable biomass, petroleum  
products, and any other product that contains carbon and emits carbon  
dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, or other greenhouse gases when combusted,  
that are used for fuel, heating, cooling, or industrial processes including  
electricity generation.  
A carbon tax is an ?upstream? tax on the carbon contents of fossil fuels  
(coal, oil and natural gas) and biofuels. A carbon tax is the most  
efficient means to instill crucial price signals that spur carbon-reducing  
investment. A carbon tax can also be used to recapture some of the costs  
pushed on to taxpayers and consumers from burning fossil fuels, such as the  
$30 billion added annual health costs in NYS to deal with air pollution and  
fossil fuels and the tens of billions of dollars of damage from climate  
change (e.g., severe weather)  
Unlike cap-and-trade, carbon taxes don?t create complex and easily-gamed  
?carbon markets? with allowances, trading and offsets. An upstream carbon  
tax levies a tax according to the amount of carbon dioxide emitted by each  
fossil fuel. The cost of the tax is then passed along to consumers and  
producers as fossil fuels and energy intensive goods and services become  
costlier. If the carbon tax is effective, goods and services which are less  
energy intensive will become more affordable than those which release  
larger quantities of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere.  
NY's present cap and trade program (Regional Greenhouse Gas Emissions)  
only prices carbon at around $6 a ton. The Congressional Research office  
concluded that the price of RGGI has been too low to reduce carbon  
emissions.  
Polls  
<<http://closup.umich.edu/issues-in-energy-and-environmental-policy/13/public-views-on-a-carbon-tax-depend-on-the-proposed-use-of-revenue/>>  
show a majority of Americans support making polluters pay  
<<https://grist.org/article/voters-like-taxing-carbon-as-long-as-you-dont-say-carbon-tax/>>  
for carbon emissions. The strongest support, especially among Republicans,  
is to use the revenues to promote renewable  
<<https://climatecommunication.yale.edu/publications/americans-willing-pay-carbon-tax/>>  
energy. While a national carbon tax would be easier to administer, it is  
unlikely that President Trump and the present Congress will agree to act in  
time to prevent catastrophic climate change.  
Other states considering similar carbon pricing legislation include  
Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Maryland, Washington, Utah,  
Minnesota, Hawaii, New Mexico, Oregon, Vermont, and the District of  
Columbia. The NY ISO is proposing carbon pricing for the wholesale electric  
markets and proposals are being for regional carbon pricing related to  
transportation.  
\*Green Education and Legal Fund, [http://www.gelfny.org](http://www.gelfny.org/) <[http://www.gelfny.org](http://www.gelfny.org/)>,  
dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>, 518 860-3725\*  
  
  
  
   1. Enact a Fee & Dividend system on fossil fuels to enable the free  
   market to include the environmental costs of their extraction and use.  
   These fees shall be applied as far upstream as possible, either when fuel  
   passes from extraction to refining, distribution or consumption; or when it  
   first enters the United States' jurisdiction. The carbon fee will initially  
   be small, a dime per kilogram of carbon, to avoid creating a shock to the  
   economy. The fee will be increased by 10% each year that global atmospheric  
   carbon dioxide content is greater than 350 ppm, decreased 10% each year  
   it's less than 300 ppm, and repealed entirely when it falls below 250 ppm.  
  
   2. Although imported fossil fuel has no more impact on global climate  
   change than domestic, importing petroleum and natural gas has a  
   catastrophic impact on American foreign policy and the American economy. We  
   will enact this same fee on imported fossil fuels a second time to give the  
   free market an incentive to wean America off foreign oil and gas.  
  
   3. The Green Party calls for elimination of subsidies for fossil  
   fuels, nuclear power, biomass and waste incineration and biofuels. We must  
   also acknowledge that the bulk of our military budget is, in fact, an  
   indirect subsidy for oil & gas corporations.  
  
   4. To prevent perverse incentives arising from higher carbon prices,  
   the Green Party mandates clean fuels in addition to pricing carbon.  
   Otherwise dirty energy sources like nuclear power, biomass and biofuels  
   that are not subject to carbon pricing will become economically competitive.  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/37264ee2/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 74  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:51:56 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>, Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
<nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Ecoaction List <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882E3FC12A8110C238E43C8ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Tony et al,  
  
I think I laid out a pretty good case for why these rules regarding, "regular proposals," do not apply to our elections. Co-Chair Clement agreed to move the voting period to the 17th-22nd and extend the nomination period a day. Did you miss all that or just not agree? In a case where our rules are so clearly contradictory and inapplicable, shouldn't these issues be decided by consensus of the representatives, rather than a liaison?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:46 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Ecoaction List  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think this is a good compromise for conducting this election. I also agree that we should amend the bylaws after the election to create a more fair election process.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:42 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon. This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the bylaws.  
  
The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST  
The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West Coast reps to vote by their noon time.  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 75  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 15:56:40 -0600  
From: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<CA+kAPD5cnbJihKPHFn-LN=T7+SNYp0+mMKzx6Q3t5fnkmXiG1Q@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I think Tony is trying to build a consensus of committee members around his  
compromise proposal not dictate anything to us.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:51 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:

Tony et al,  
  
I think I laid out a pretty good case for why these rules regarding,  
"regular proposals," do not apply to our elections. Co-Chair Clement agreed  
to move the voting period to the 17th-22nd and extend the nomination period  
a day. Did you miss all that or just not agree? In a case where our rules  
are so clearly contradictory and inapplicable, shouldn't these issues be  
decided by consensus of the representatives, rather than a liaison?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of John Krause <  
jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:46 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Ecoaction List  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think this is a good compromise for conducting this election. I also  
agree that we should amend the bylaws after the election to create a more  
fair election process.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:42 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the  
get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the  
middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering  
Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote  
and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon.  
This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah  
will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections  
on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections  
that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that  
the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the  
bylaws.  
  
The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST  
The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West  
Coast reps to vote by their noon time.  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I  
think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of  
discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see  
where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I  
have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your  
support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two  
cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH  
seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than  
five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com  
<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the  
chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two  
co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are  
there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This  
will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/4def7222/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 76  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:03:46 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB28824BD6EC320940C1F6EBF0ABC70@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
I hadn't heard any objection to the compromise Audrey and I had worked out, so thought perhaps he missed it. I thought it an even better compromise than the more stringent line Tony is taking. The only details that remained, as far as I was concerned, were when the nomination period ended exactly, and whether it would be open or our votes would be private, as is more democratic, IMHO. I could get into further citations from GPUS Rules and Bylaws to support my case, if need be.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:56 AM  
To: Steve Newman; ecoaction  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think Tony is trying to build a consensus of committee members around his compromise proposal not dictate anything to us.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:51 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>> wrote:  
Tony et al,  
  
I think I laid out a pretty good case for why these rules regarding, "regular proposals," do not apply to our elections. Co-Chair Clement agreed to move the voting period to the 17th-22nd and extend the nomination period a day. Did you miss all that or just not agree? In a case where our rules are so clearly contradictory and inapplicable, shouldn't these issues be decided by consensus of the representatives, rather than a liaison?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com<mailto:jkrause9190@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:46 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Ecoaction List  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think this is a good compromise for conducting this election. I also agree that we should amend the bylaws after the election to create a more fair election process.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:42 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com><mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>> wrote:  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon. This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the bylaws.  
  
The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST  
The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West Coast reps to vote by their noon time.  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com><mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>> wrote:  
Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com><mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org><mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org><mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 77  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:13:02 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, Audrey  
Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB5821E3C9A84ACB0A93789C49E6C70@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"  
  
I second Christopher Stella?s proposal that we have this 5 day discussion period prior to the start of the election voting period.  
DawnMarie  
  
However,  
If it is agreeable to others that it is critical that the voting period be done before December 22, I am willing for the discussion period be reduced to 2 days, but I still do not understand why this is so difficult to adjust the timeline.  
Why does the election process have to be done by December 22, Audrey?  
Is is because that is how it was done in the past with the 10 day non-contested nominations?  
Would it not be possible to have the full 5 day discussion period as ?described in the rules? for the 3 positions we are serving to elect people for?  
  
On another thread there was a question in regards to Gender identity for the Committee members and a request for permission to nominate based upon gender identity. Has that question and request for each member to self-identity gender been addressed and answered?  
  
I know the goal is to prevent two co-chairs of the same gender, but I do not think it proper for every person to answer that question unless they are in a position in which gender identity determines eligibility.  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 2:35 PM  
To: Audrey Clement  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair; Dawn Cronen; ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
No sooner than 8pm CST tonight. I?d propose that nominations run the /full/ 5 days as required and not arbitrarily close at 10am today, and that there be a five day discussion period prior to the actual start of the election.  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules."  
  
So if we interpret this rule as a desire to reach consensus prior to voting on the candidates, we MUST have this discussion period as there are multiple eligible candidates.  
  
There's nothing arbitrary about this request, we are only requesting a 5 day discussion period as committee rules imply for all decision making processes. It is not a capricious request, it is a valid request for time to evaluate our candidate selection.  
  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/2ce293e9/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 78  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:15:32 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMvFTH9YObgRbY2xsqSxQQFfxcjpYksDV9kvrf2dL-4oBw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
If nominations are open, please provide gender ID of members.  
  
Also, the sheer volume to go through and not knowing if what someone says  
is the way it?s going to be done, or someone else?s way also creates a  
undue burden for working people and anyone who isn?t available 24/7 to  
attempt to make sense of all this.  
  
I say we?re should err on the side of inclusion and an understandable and  
fair concrete democratic process. Aren?t our rules created to support our  
GP values, after all?  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 5:03 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:

I hadn't heard any objection to the compromise Audrey and I had worked  
out, so thought perhaps he missed it. I thought it an even better  
compromise than the more stringent line Tony is taking. The only details  
that remained, as far as I was concerned, were when the nomination period  
ended exactly, and whether it would be open or our votes would be private,  
as is more democratic, IMHO. I could get into further citations from GPUS  
Rules and Bylaws to support my case, if need be.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:56 AM  
To: Steve Newman; ecoaction  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think Tony is trying to build a consensus of committee members around  
his compromise proposal not dictate anything to us.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:51 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:  
dakinetrim@outlook.com>> wrote:  
Tony et al,  
  
I think I laid out a pretty good case for why these rules regarding,  
"regular proposals," do not apply to our elections. Co-Chair Clement agreed  
to move the voting period to the 17th-22nd and extend the nomination period  
a day. Did you miss all that or just not agree? In a case where our rules  
are so clearly contradictory and inapplicable, shouldn't these issues be  
decided by consensus of the representatives, rather than a liaison?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>>  
on behalf of John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com<mailto:  
jkrause9190@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:46 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Ecoaction List  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think this is a good compromise for conducting this election. I also  
agree that we should amend the bylaws after the election to create a more  
fair election process.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:42 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com><mailto:  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>> wrote:  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the  
get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the  
middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering  
Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote  
and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon.  
This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah  
will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections  
on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections  
that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that  
the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the  
bylaws.  
  
The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST  
The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West  
Coast reps to vote by their noon time.  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I  
think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of  
discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see  
where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I  
have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your  
support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com><mailto:  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>>> wrote:  
Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two  
cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH  
seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than  
five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com  
<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com><mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:  
aclement65@hotmail.com>>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the  
chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two  
co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are  
there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This  
will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org><mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:  
Ecoaction@gp.org>>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org><mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:  
Ecoaction@gp.org>>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/0d9e3929/attachment-0001.html>>  
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Message: 79  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:20:06 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>,  Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMsooP0ASpxhQ-WK19WcCzaoLFCtXtkEjts50xWtkcJROw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
To address that, Dawn, perhaps those who aren?t interested in being  
nominated could respond with pass on gender ID. My intention was only for  
all of us to be able to make appropriate nominations. Thank you for  
responding to my request! I so appreciate it. This all seems confusing to  
long serving members and us newbies are even more at a disadvantage.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 5:13 PM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com> wrote:

I second Christopher Stella?s proposal that we have this 5 day discussion  
period prior to the start of the election voting period.  
DawnMarie  
  
However,  
If it is agreeable to others that it is critical that the voting period be  
done before December 22, I am willing for the discussion period be reduced  
to 2 days, but I still do not understand why this is so difficult to adjust  
the timeline.  
Why does the election process have to be done by December 22, Audrey?  
Is is because that is how it was done in the past with the 10 day  
non-contested nominations?  
Would it not be possible to have the full 5 day discussion period as  
?described in the rules? for the 3 positions we are serving to elect people  
for?  
  
On another thread there was a question in regards to Gender identity for  
the Committee members and a request for permission to nominate based upon  
gender identity. Has that question and request for each member to  
self-identity gender been addressed and answered?  
  
I know the goal is to prevent two co-chairs of the same gender, but I do  
not think it proper for every person to answer that question unless they  
are in a position in which gender identity determines eligibility.  
  
Get Outlook for iOS <<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 2:35 PM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement  
\*Cc:\* Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair; Dawn Cronen; ecoaction  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] CALL FOR 2020 NOMINATIONS  
  
No sooner than 8pm CST tonight. I?d propose that nominations run the  
/full/ 5 days as required and not arbitrarily close at 10am today, and that  
there be a five day discussion period prior to the actual start of the  
election.  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This  
will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules."  
  
So if we interpret this rule as a desire to reach consensus prior to  
voting on the candidates, we MUST have this discussion period as there are  
multiple eligible candidates.  
  
There's nothing arbitrary about this request, we are only requesting a 5  
day discussion period as committee rules imply for all decision making  
processes. It is not a capricious request, it is a valid request for time  
to evaluate our candidate selection.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/77d50546/attachment-0001.html>>  
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Message: 80  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 17:38:36 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>, Ecoaction List  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWOTDTB\_-OdzBQA+4pyvTy2kOOFwAJ7zEs1yCpCQFJa40DA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Rep Newman,  
  
Again, I think that there is too great a potential for a challenge -  
OpaVote is not an open vote. Which is clear in the EcoAction bylaws as  
necessary for proposals. There is ambiguity and incompleteness in the rules  
that need to be clarified. We've been told several conflicting things in  
one day.  
  
Trust me I am not happy in making this recommendation. I would have liked  
to discuss all of this process prior to the nomination period, which is  
what I asked five days ago. There seems to be a lot of challenges and  
bylaws discussion lately. We cannot rush into a closed vote even if one is  
preferable. The issue here is either we run OpaVote by the Committee with  
language in the proposal that makes it clear that none of us feel as though  
a closed vote is in violation of Committee bylaws which would potentially  
delay the vote, or proceed with an open vote that isn't quite as rushed.  
  
Tony Ndege  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 4:51 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:

Tony et al,  
  
I think I laid out a pretty good case for why these rules regarding,  
"regular proposals," do not apply to our elections. Co-Chair Clement agreed  
to move the voting period to the 17th-22nd and extend the nomination period  
a day. Did you miss all that or just not agree? In a case where our rules  
are so clearly contradictory and inapplicable, shouldn't these issues be  
decided by consensus of the representatives, rather than a liaison?  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of John Krause <  
jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:46 AM  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
Cc: Ecoaction List  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
I think this is a good compromise for conducting this election. I also  
agree that we should amend the bylaws after the election to create a more  
fair election process.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 3:42 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the  
get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the  
middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering  
Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote  
and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon.  
This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah  
will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections  
on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections  
that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that  
the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the  
bylaws.  
  
The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST  
The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West  
Coast reps to vote by their noon time.  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I  
think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of  
discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see  
where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I  
have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your  
support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two  
cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH  
seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than  
five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com  
<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the  
chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two  
co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are  
there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This  
will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 81  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:51:20 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>,  Christopher Stella  
<christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  "dunleamark@aol.com"  
<dunleamark@aol.com>,  Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID: <1540187294.667603.1607986280805@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Thank you Steve for the nomination, I considered self-nominating for Secretary seeing that no one had initially stepped up.? I posed several questions asking if former secretaries could share info on the workload and experiences they had.? I specifically asked Mike how this past year had gone as our secretary.? No one answered.??  
Last year I questioned whether the well versed and experienced candidates for secretary had the time to dedicate to such an important role - no answers.? This year I questioned whether they had the temperament to work with co-chairs for the benefit of the committee.? No answer.  
I don't have the bio or experience in this work that the other candidates have.? Although I always voted Green when possible, I officially enrolled in the party with the 2016 Dem-exit movement.? I have only been on this committee since Aug 2019 and have learned so much from all of you.? Frank, Audrey, Mark and a couple others have responded to my questions and comments with much patience and appreciated info. When Frank made comments that offended many I understood Mike's outrage and vocalized the official complaint that was acted upon.? Although I respect Frank and appreciate his contributions to the party I believe his comments were inappropriate.? When Dee came in with another perspective I posed a question to Mike about his take on it - perhaps that was missed in the flurry of emails as well.??  
I feel that the most important role of the secretary is to support the co-chairs and help ensure that documents are prepared and submitted as required, members are well informed and engaged in our activities, and to serve the needs of the committee in general.? It may not be as important that they have experience in the environmental work that is needed for our co-chairs.? In addition to running for state and municipal offices on an environmental and social justice platform the last 3 years I am active with BLM, Stop the Deportations, Responsible Technology the 5G, Ending the Embargo against Cuba and other groups. I worked in non-profits all my life, primarily providing social services and workforce development for adults. My last position was as the Executive Director for the Spanish Speaking Center of New Britain for 18 years.? My linked in profile can tell you more about my experience and skillset.? On a personal note, I'm about collaboration, restorative justice, and harmony.? My  
 life has been one of service, so?I am willing to learn and serve here and accept a nomination for secretary.  
peace!Mary L. SandersHartford, CT  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 04:31:37 PM EST, Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:    
  
Yes, under the section titled, "Regular Proposals." Elections are not "regular proposals."  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:14 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
In cases where the rules are specific, they should be followed. Ecoaction rules say:  
  
"All votes will be conducted by open ballot." You can't get more specific than that.  
  
Also in response to Tim Willard, there have been several contested male co-chair elections and one contested secretary election this year, all of which concluded easily within 10 days.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:05:47 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Thank you for that concession on the extension, Audrey. I agree, regarding the toxicity, and hope we can discuss wearing our best diplomacy hats.  
  
My point about the rules not applying was that elections SHOULD be anonymous. Somehow, our Committee rules were approved without specifically addressing our internal elections, as required by GPUS Rules and/or Bylaws. I was specifically pointing out why they should not be considered binding on elections, in an effort to build consensus on the subject, since so much of this is just whizzing by. A lack of objection during an unspecified period of an hour or two does not, a consensus, make.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:54 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
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Message: 82  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:09:37 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>,  
"dunleamark@aol.com" <dunleamark@aol.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351FF5A4E48987E706727BAD5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Stephen  
  
The rules also include the cochair monthly report requirement in a different section than Cochair responsibilities. Yet it's still a requirement.  
  
The vote has to be open ballot and OpaVote.com provides that option.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:31:32 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Yes, under the section titled, "Regular Proposals." Elections are not "regular proposals."  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:14 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
In cases where the rules are specific, they should be followed. Ecoaction rules say:  
  
"All votes will be conducted by open ballot." You can't get more specific than that.  
  
Also in response to Tim Willard, there have been several contested male co-chair elections and one contested secretary election this year, all of which concluded easily within 10 days.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:05:47 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Thank you for that concession on the extension, Audrey. I agree, regarding the toxicity, and hope we can discuss wearing our best diplomacy hats.  
  
My point about the rules not applying was that elections SHOULD be anonymous. Somehow, our Committee rules were approved without specifically addressing our internal elections, as required by GPUS Rules and/or Bylaws. I was specifically pointing out why they should not be considered binding on elections, in an effort to build consensus on the subject, since so much of this is just whizzing by. A lack of objection during an unspecified period of an hour or two does not, a consensus, make.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
Da Kine Trim (808) 469-6048  
GPFL Secretary  
BCGP Co-Chair (321) 255-4657  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:54 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steve  
  
Just because we have consensed to conduct the election via OpaVote.com doesn't mean we have dispensed with the rules. If the rules call for an open ballot. I'm sure OpaVote.com can accommodate that.  
  
Finally given the toxic nature of the discussion on this list, the extensive discussion that has already occurred, and the need to conclude this election before Christmas holidays, I believe it is imperative that the OpaVote.com election end no later than COB on 12/22/20.  
  
If Chris agrees to set up an OpaVote.com election between COB 12/17/20 and 12/22/20, that will provide more time for discussion.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:09:13 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Audrey  
  
Does GPUS provide an Opavote account for such use? Regardless, as Christopher pointed out, using such software to "tabulate the email election ballots," defeats the purpose of anonymizing the vote. It seems you have conceded that point by having him set it up. Thanks to both of you!  
  
There doesn't seem to be anything specific regarding elections in our Committee Rules. Presumably then, we refer to 3-1 Regular Proposals, which states that, "All votes will be conducted by open ballot." Seems rather inappropriate for an election, for what should be obvious reasons, as well as a time-honored democratic tradition and principle. Additionally, it seems like a conflict of interest for anyone to be in charge of counting the votes for their own race. Nevertheless, 3-1.1 specifies that, "The co-chairs shall conduct, certify and record the tally of the votes." It also says, "The committee shall...attempt to achieve consensus on the resolution."  
  
As is probably obvious, there are numerous problems with applying these rules, that were designed for proposals, to an election. Seems highly optimistic that consensus could be achieved when there are several nominees for the same position. I feel that this rule, along with the open ballot and counting votes for one's own election, are contradictory to the principles of conducting an election and therefore, negate their applicability to such. You're right that these rules need an overhaul, Audrey. I'm surprised they were ever even approved by the NC. I assume, by assigning Christopher to set up the election on Opavote, that we are all in agreement that these rules do not apply.  
  
3-1.1 goes on to state that, "Following a minimum of five days, if no consensus is achieved, the committee shall [website typo] be empowered to vote, and a 2/3 vote of the voting active members shall be required for passage." Also, "One half of the active membership shall constitute a quorum for formal decision making." Now I'm not trying to decry the fact that proposals can pass with 1/3 of the representatives voting for it, (1/2 x 2/3 = 1/3), but I would like to clarify that we are running IRV/RCV, and as someone that is fairly new to this, please allow me to further clarify my understanding of this. We can rank candidates in order of preference, including a "None of the above," option. If a simple majority of votes is not achieved, the option with the lowest vote total is dropped and the ballots with that option as their first choice goes to their second choice, until a majority is tallied for the winner. I also want to clarify that we will have a five-day voting period. Is that r  
ight?  
  
It also appears that you have consented to extend the nominating period by one day. I assume then, that my nomination of Mary Sanders, made 31 minutes past the originally specified deadline, will count. That is, if Mary accepts, and she did seem to preemptively accept.  
  
I second Chris' proposal that we add a five-day discussion period between the six-day nomination period and the voting period.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 7:28 AM  
To: Christopher Stella; dunleamark@aol.com; Steve Newman  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
Steven  
  
That is an excellent suggestion. However members have already been instructed to submit ballots via email.  
  
OpaVote.com could be used to tabulate the email election ballots. Do you volunteer to do that?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:18:03 AM  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>; dunleamark@aol.com <dunleamark@aol.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I read, in one of these threads, that we would be using, even required to use, Opavote. I respectfully request that that be arranged, rather than an email vote.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:07 AM  
To: dunleamark@aol.com  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
All,  
  
Wouldn?t an abstention from choosing a candidate function identically to ?no candidate? as an option? Perhaps this is being overthought.  
  
-Chris Stella  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
On Dec 14, 2020, at 8:58 AM, Mark Dunlea via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
?  
I think no candidates or none of the above would be a good option  
  
At least one person also mentioned whether it was possible to have more than 2 co-chairs, since the bylaws don't seem to fix the number at 2. Personally, I would be more interested in participating in a team approach, especially since I would like to focus on climate action, including working with other coalitions.  
  
  
-----Original Message-----  
From: Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Mon, Dec 14, 2020 9:40 am  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] ECOACTION COMMITTEE ELECTION  
  
I would like to ask that for each seat that "no candidate" also be an option. Which is a common option in many GPUS elections. It is not yet 10amEST so the nomination period is still open. I think it is only fair that people have the option to rank "no candidate" in their vote as well.  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
The call for Ecoaction Committee officer nominations having concluded, the 2020 election is now underway.  
  
The nominees are as follows;  
Male Co-Chair:  
Mark Dunlea  
Stephen Verchinski  
  
Female Co-Chair:  
Audrey Clement  
Dawn Cronen  
  
Secretary:  
Mike Gamms  
Robin Rowe  
  
Please rank your candidate preferences separately for each office in a single reply to this email by Saturday, December 19, 2020, 10 a.m. EST.  
  
Thank you.  
  
  
Audrey Clement  
Ecoaction Co-Chair  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
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Message: 83  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:12:51 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Ecoaction List <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections  
12-15  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351990EA161439E2024BA55D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
  
Tony  
  
I already agreed to move vote back to  begin on the 17th and end on the 22nd but am good with the dates you propose.  
  
However I see no bar to conducting the vote on OpaVote.com  because there us no specific requirement that the election be on the listserv, and OpaVote.com has a non-anonymous voting option.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 4:41:48 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Ecoaction List <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATION: EcoAction Elections 12-15  
  
Dear Committee Members,  
  
Unfortunately I wish the election process was better described from the get go however we are here.  
  
We unfortunately cannot go about changing the election format in the middle of an election. However due to the confusion today, as Steering Committee Liaison I am asking that we have an extra day to start the vote and begin the election tomorrow at noon and end on Monday the 21 at noon. This will give us the entire weekend to vote and those celebrating Hanukkah will have a few days after to vote. Unfortunately we need to hold elections on the listserv until we actually create some rules for officer elections that would allow for OpaVote. While I support Opavote I am concerned that the legitimacy of this election will be challenged without reviewing the bylaws.  
  
The vote will begin: TUESDAY DEC 15 at 12PM EST  
The vote will end: MONDAY DEC 21 at 12 PM PST (3PM EST) to allow West Coast reps to vote by their noon time.  
  
This is the best compromise I think we can reasonably do. In the future I think we need to have at least a week of nominations and five days of discussion before voting. Let's discuss this after the elections and see where bylaws may need to be changed, or not. Cochairs and Secretary can I have your support on this recommendation? Candidates can I have your support? Any members wish to chime in with support?  
  
Tony Ndege  
Steering Committee Liaison  
336-577-1421  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 10:58 AM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com<mailto:nc4jillstein@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Cochair Clement - what is not clear is how the nominations for two cochairs will be handled.  
  
I assume there will be a separate vote for either chair seat.  
  
I think it makes sense to either stagger the vote or make it clear WHICH seat someone is nominating for.  
  
Also I would like to request that we have more time for nomination than five days.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Wed, Dec 9, 2020, 9:42 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
The roster of the committee having been updated, it is incumbent upon the chairs to call for nominations for committee officers, including two co-chairs and a secretary, pursuant to Ecoaction Committee RP&Ps:  
  
<https://gpus.org/committees/eco-action/ecoaction-committee-rules/>  
  
and GPUS Bylaws, Article III:  
  
<https://gpus.org/bylaws/#03>  
  
I nominate myself for another term as Ecoaction Committee Co-Chair. Are there any other nominations?  
  
If so, please respond by Monday, December 14, 2020 at 10 a.m. EST. This will give committee members five days to respond as per committee rules.  
  
Audrey Clement  
Co-Chair, GPUS Ecoaction Committee  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/eb9f5eda/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 84  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:21:26 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351F13957BCED78A5A0549ED5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Lizzie  
  
The gender of a committee secretary is no one's business but the secretary. The gender identities of the co-chair candidates are known and were announced on the ballot that went out today.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 2:51:14 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware of the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my request from last week for this information prevented it from being included before your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed as deliberate and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request. Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 85  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:27:25 -0500  
From: Maureen Doyle <doylemaureen3@gmail.com>  
To: stephen verchinski <sverchinski@yahoo.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Bio and more Co-chair Candidate - Stephen  
Verchinski  
Message-ID:  
<CAGKgPcm2nzpO9tazDeTHpxEPmz+qnZcw7J973Uexd7aPVTmToA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Dear Stephen,Glad to hear you mention "xericscaping for low water  
consumption and my local wildlife (support habitat for two roadrunners  
(beep beep) and currently developing a new plan for passive solar hot  
water. Own three bicycles including a foldable used for years in a 14 mile  
a day commute.". I rarely hear people mention xeroscaping- we have to live  
within our ecosystems water availability.  
You have probably gathered that i am not into solar -it is not a solution-  
it just uses more carbon in  mining of it's components, construction,  
transport around the world, and disposal. (No one wants to admit that...) I  
am encouraged that you have education around ag, forestry, and soil. I have  
a BS in agroecology and am a big supporter of trees, which are being cut  
way too much to make way for solar!) What is your take on regenerative ag?  
since it is relatively new, how should it be promoted? What do you think  
about invasives plant species? (I am in the middle of \*the New Wild\*, which  
hasn't changed my mind about the dangers of invasive plants but it is an  
interesting argument.)  
  
As i'm sure you've gathered being on any committee, a lot is leadership,  
negotiating, conversation skills (my other degree is in psychology). None  
of that is addressed in your bio but is necessary. How do you deal with,  
handle disagreement, back and forth email (since this group exists on  
email), and including folks?  
  
Thanks for your interest. Thanks in advance for your answers!  
Peace, maureen  
  
  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 11:26 PM stephen verchinski via Ecoaction <  
ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:

Sorry for the delay but I have been on the road and computer and internet  
access has been difficult. And realized my other computer has my bio on it.  
  
So, here goes a quick narrative.  
  
Stephen Verchinski was born in Hartford,  Connecticut. He earned a  
bachelor's degree from the University of Connecticut College of  
Agriculture, Health, and Natural Resources, and master's degrees from SUNY  
College of Environmental Science and Forestry and Syracuse University.  
  
Verchinski's career experience includes early working in land use planning  
for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, teaching Cooperative Extension for  
land use planning in NE NE Connecticut, doing Natural Resources and Lands  
and Realty work with the Bureau of Land Management, working with farmers  
and ranchers with the Soil Conservation Service NRDA, being a regionally  
recognized contract Forester for USDA Forest Service, a coal mine Surface  
Mining Reclamation Biologist, a Law Enforcement and Resource Management  
National Park Service Ranger, a State Parks New Mexico on Staff coordinator  
for state water safety and boating. Worked as  Project Manager for my  
state's water reservoir planning. Went for post graduate work in Community  
and Regional Planning and have done work also on the NM state water plan,  
and worked on two committees for the upcoming Rio Grande 500 mile trail.  
  
Locally, was three time President and founder of my neighborhood  
association and was Albuquerque Group Chairperson of the Sierra Club where  
we did a County Open Space plan (30,000 acres protected), a region wide  
Bicycle Plan, a major National Monument, stopped sewage sludge irradiation,  
and even shut down hazardous waste incinerators in the city. Worked to get  
the Rio Railrunner, Belen-Albuquerque-Santa Fe Commuter rail done which was  
the fastest new rail start in the nation at the time.  
  
The last item, done by our GPAMA local as I worked as their chair, was  
working with indigenous groups and local communities for the successful  
shutdown of a permitting process ordinance for Sandoval County oil and gas  
fracking in the Rio Grande aquifer zone.  
  
Owned my own successful solar electric design and sales business early on  
throughout the 80's, gave my first greenhouse effect panel talk with other  
scientists at UNM in 1990 where I ran the numbers for dropping enough  
carbon to eliminate the domestic household demand for new nuclear power  
plants. Gave the main climate talk at the Green Party US convention in Salt  
Lake City calling for individual and community actions for drawdown of over  
300 gigatons globally before 2050, went to Katowice for COP24, Earth's Call  
summit in Aspen, was signatory to Scientists Call for a Climate Emergency,  
and with Tom Bailey reviewed, appended, and delivered with him the letter  
to the UNESCO on Fukushima for the Green Party US.  
  
I support and have participated in the non partisan local and regional  
Citizens Climate Lobby promoting climate fee and dividend national  
legislation which fits our work with participatory democracy.  
  
I practice what I preach. My home is energy wise with an almost 30 year  
old solar hot air collector system, radiant barrier roofing, solar electric  
for 14 years, xericscaping for low water consumption and my local wildlife  
(support habitat for two roadrunners (beep beep) and curently developing a  
new plan for passive solar hot water. Own three bicycles including a  
foldable used for years in a 14 mile a day commute.  
  
I believe in the Green Party and it's principles and pillars and believe  
with that focus we can shed the excesses of command and control economies  
both left and right and still do good things both as a movement and a party.  
  
Would be proud to serve if elected and will expand the committee and get  
the word out to the public.  
  
  
Thank you.  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
505 238 2398  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our  
individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,  and it is in dire  
straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 3:43 PM, Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair  
<nc4jillstein@gmail.com> wrote:  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 86  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:38:49 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMv1WQKc\_LYn=M5ka8jCfaUwEXAFYzuzZdXFDSGWwQqQsQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I wasn?t referring the the secretary position. And I ask asking for all  
members, but just myself. How many days have gone by that my request for  
all information needed be available to all members? It would be great to  
provide it in the best possible way.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:21 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Lizzie  
  
The gender of a committee secretary is no one's business but the  
secretary. The gender identities of the co-chair candidates are known and  
were announced on the ballot that went out today.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 2:51:14 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware of  
the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my request  
from last week for this information prevented it from being included before  
your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed as deliberate  
and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well  
versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority  
understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs  
to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that  
oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing  
election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make  
proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request.  
Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve  
this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this  
morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to  
make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender  
identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information  
should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with  
late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
 ?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/ab8357da/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 87  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:41:30 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351DE9DD0E186BB3656D388D5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Lizzie  
  
I think that the information you want is privileged.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 6:38:49 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
I wasn?t referring the the secretary position. And I ask asking for all members, but just myself. How many days have gone by that my request for all information needed be available to all members? It would be great to provide it in the best possible way.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:21 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The gender of a committee secretary is no one's business but the secretary. The gender identities of the co-chair candidates are known and were announced on the ballot that went out today.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 2:51:14 PM  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware of the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my request from last week for this information prevented it from being included before your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed as deliberate and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request. Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/cef8a29d/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 88  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 18:49:12 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMtCs+wYN+GJa9=OxN36gOMF8C6=r61e9KOT7wB5zhFxPw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Well then, I guess our requirement, when possible, for cochairs to be of  
different gender identities is problematic and inappropriate even though I  
personally subscribe to it to raise females in leadership roles  
historically underrepresented.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:41 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Lizzie  
  
I think that the information you want is privileged.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 6:38:49 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
I wasn?t referring the the secretary position. And I ask asking for all  
members, but just myself. How many days have gone by that my request for  
all information needed be available to all members? It would be great to  
provide it in the best possible way.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:21 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
The gender of a committee secretary is no one's business but the  
secretary. The gender identities of the co-chair candidates are known and  
were announced on the ballot that went out today.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 2:51:14 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware of  
the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my request  
from last week for this information prevented it from being included before  
your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed as deliberate  
and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well  
versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority  
understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs  
to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that  
oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing  
election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make  
proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request.  
Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve  
this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this  
morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to  
make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender  
identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information  
should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with  
late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
 ?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/53a0450e/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 89  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 19:00:20 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMt3DP2T=Fm++68icbNaDSXBLkFtkiBtqrPKrZjrWKqSvQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Privacy is an important right.  
Diversity is a noble value.  
A conundrum.  
Additionally, other questions regarding nominations haven?t been answered  
fully.  
Example: when I nominated Audrey for Secretary, even though she self  
nominated for Cochair, was that not allowed? Do I have the ability to  
nominate more than one person to a position? If I nominate someone to a  
position they aren?t qualified for, what happens then?  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:49 PM Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
wrote:

Well then, I guess our requirement, when possible, for cochairs to be of  
different gender identities is problematic and inappropriate even though I  
personally subscribe to it to raise females in leadership roles  
historically underrepresented.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:41 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Lizzie  
  
I think that the information you want is privileged.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 6:38:49 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
I wasn?t referring the the secretary position. And I ask asking for all  
members, but just myself. How many days have gone by that my request for  
all information needed be available to all members? It would be great to  
provide it in the best possible way.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:21 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
The gender of a committee secretary is no one's business but the  
secretary. The gender identities of the co-chair candidates are known and  
were announced on the ballot that went out today.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 2:51:14 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware  
of the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my  
request from last week for this information prevented it from being  
included before your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed  
as deliberate and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well  
versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority  
understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the  
co-chairs to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to  
correct that oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt  
an ongoing election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make  
proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request.  
Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve  
this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this  
morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need  
to make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender  
identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information  
should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with  
late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
 ?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/e29ea7af/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 90  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 00:04:27 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL  
CALL  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351452893CCF040A0F6649FD5C70@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Lizzie  
  
Information about a  person's gender is almost always available in the person's birth name. So collecting this information is superfluous. In the rare case where a male and female birth name is both gendered and you need to know to nominate them for a gendered office, simply ask.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 6:49:12 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
Well then, I guess our requirement, when possible, for cochairs to be of different gender identities is problematic and inappropriate even though I personally subscribe to it to raise females in leadership roles historically underrepresented.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:41 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
I think that the information you want is privileged.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 6:38:49 PM  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
I wasn?t referring the the secretary position. And I ask asking for all members, but just myself. How many days have gone by that my request for all information needed be available to all members? It would be great to provide it in the best possible way.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 6:21 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The gender of a committee secretary is no one's business but the secretary. The gender identities of the co-chair candidates are known and were announced on the ballot that went out today.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 2:51:14 PM  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
The election would be illegitimate disenfranchising all who are unaware of the gender of members who qualify for nomination. Your ignoring my request from last week for this information prevented it from being included before your fuzzy time frame ended. To me, that could be construed as deliberate and since you are running, that appears to me to be improper.  
  
There is a difference between using the rule of law as one that is well versed interprets it and upholding democratic proceedings as the majority understand uphold the right to participation by all.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:22 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
Your point is well taken but committee rules do not require the co-chairs to stipulate the gender identity of the candidates. The way to correct that oversight is to amend committee rules going forward not halt an ongoing election.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:04:27 PM  
  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
After you closed the elections?  
  
My request last week was for the gender ID of all members so I could make proper nominations as is my right. You did not provide for that request. Providing gender of the nominated only is insufficient. Newcomers deserve this information as all members do.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:54 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Lizzie  
  
The candidates were listed by office in an email sent out earlier this morning.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:52:58 AM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] SECOND AMENDED FINAL Ecoaction Committee ROLL CALL  
  
This list still does not contain the necessary information members need to make for informed decisions on nominations as it fails to include gender identity. Since we must have a female and a male cochair, that information should be included. Sorry if it causes anyone additional work.  
  
- Lizzie Adams (she/her/One) Florida  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:05 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Dear Ecoaction Committee Members:  
  
Attached is the Ecoaction Committee roster as of December 14, 2020, with late additions for new members from Louisiana.  
  
Dave, please update the roster on the committee website.  
  
Thanks,?  
Audrey Clement?  
Co-Chair, Ecoaction Committee?  
?  
\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*?  
ECOACTION COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP  
  
Black Caucus  
Dee Murphy, January, 2020  
  
California  
Robin Rowe, June, 2020  
  
Connecticut  
Mary L.  Sanders, August, 2019  
  
Florida  
Lizzie Adams, June, 2020  
Joel Fears, June, 2020  
Steve Newman, June 2020  
Joshua Pritchett, Observer, June 2020  
  
Lavender Caucus  
Colin Bartlett, October, 2020  
Mike Gamms, Secretary, April, 2020  
  
Maine  
Nancy Allen, August, 2020  
  
Louisiana  
John Krause  
Chris Stella  
  
Maryland  
Tim Willard, 2020  
  
Massachusetts?  
Frank Jeffers, Co-Chair, October, 2019  
?Maureen Doyle, June, 2020  
  
Michigan  
James Bronke, March, 2020  
Dawn Cronen, March, 2020  
  
New Jersey?  
Denise Brush, July, 2020?  
Tim Worts, December, 2020  
  
New Mexico  
Stephen Verchinski, 2020  
  
New York?  
David Doonan, Observer?  
Mark Dunlea, 2020  
Gloria Mattera, 2019  
  
North Carolina  
Joshua Bradley, December, 2020  
Tony Ndege, SC Liaison, December, 2020  
Jacob Samuels, December, 2020  
  
South Carolina  
Michael Stewart, Date Unknown  
Jessica Lazenby, Date Unknown  
  
Ohio  
Rebecca Calhoun, November, 2020  
  
Pennsylvania  
Thomas Murphy, October, 2020  
  
?Virginia?  
Audrey Clement, Co-Chair?, January, 2019  
  
?Inactive Members  
Lou Novak, Michigan Observer, March, 2020  
Ligia Grandys, Michigan, March, 2020  
Neal Gale, Pennsylvania  
Sue Peters, Women's Caucus  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
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Message: 91  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 19:29:28 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Lizzie Adams  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+N68wdwPdHGRFF5UuD7kTuZfTDyfKiiUgkzxaEwu+=Gww@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
" To moderate the list you need to lighten up.  You'll likely kill the list  
if you continue on this path"  
  
One has to wonder if that's truly the goal.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 7:18 AM Tim Willard via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
wrote:

Robin, you are getting very heavy handed in your attempt to moderate the  
list.  You're replies are as bad as the persons who criticize you.  Not all  
criticism is personal attack.  If you're going to moderate the list you  
need to lighten up.  You'll likely kill the list if you continue on this  
path.  
  
Tim Willard  
Maryland  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:35:18 AM EST, Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Lizzie,  
  
> It is unfortunate that you can reread all those members responses to  
> your actions and then your own without introspection and...  
  
Stop saying on this forum you know what I'm thinking and making catty  
personal remarks about me. It is unprofessional. This is your third  
7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
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Message: 92  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 19:32:49 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+O-2VHzTWjz-dpguPw-en4SKGQax+UM2aLUJTHKDwUw9g@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing  
much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green  
Party Platform \*know the real reason WHY\*, and in my book, the persistence  
and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves  
nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the  
advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to  
representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not  
changed.  
  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would  
help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very  
stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  \*Create targeted sub-committees.\*  
  
  
  
\*Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and  
expertise\* here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is  
all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing  
organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of  
people devoted to researching further information on which the point people  
of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive  
(NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking, renewable  
energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water (would be  
interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is now being  
traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore  
surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact,  
etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by  
members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for \*monthly statements from the point people on each  
sub-committee\* to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       \*Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are  
knowledgeable\* \*and well versed\* on topics regarding the area that they  
lead. As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner  
setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we  
have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action  
Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we  
want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see  
new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in  
sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking  
votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\*  
leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue their  
efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS real, and  
has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code already.  The  
Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How  
Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can  
resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through constant  
infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed by those  
who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible, intelligent  
people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do this-Together-  
If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/095fcfca/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 93  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 19:48:12 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Nancy Allen <nallen11@myfairpoint.net>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] BIO: Ecoaction Committee Election  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+N8qwYxESg2vMCmQoXaC7CMSb5kEE\_7Sfz3AYGw\_NC6Pw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Excellent CV.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 12:01 PM Nancy Allen <nallen11@myfairpoint.net>  
wrote:

I second Audrey's nomination.  
  
Nancy Allen  
  
Maine  
  
Dear Ecoaction Members:  
  
I'm running for reelection as female Co-Chair of the GPUS Ecoaction  
Committee. Below is my bio.  
  
Audrey Clement  
  
\*\*\*  
BIO.  
  
Ecoaction Committee Leadership. I've been Co-Chair of the Ecoaction  
Committee since 2011.  In that capacity I've promoted the Green Pary's  
Ecological Sustainability Platform by keeping committee discussion focused  
on ecological issues and by promoting environmental awareness through  
sign-on letters and endorsements of key environmental legislation, position  
papers and reports.  
  
Local Political Leadership. I've run for local political office in  
Arlington, VA eleven times since 2011 promoting an environmental agenda. In  
2020 I got over 30,000 votes in a two-way race for Arlington County Board  
or 27 percent of the vote in an election dominated by Blue Wave, straight  
ticket [read undemocratic] voters.  
  
I currently serve on the Arlington County Transportation Commission.  
  
National Green Party Leadership.  
Served on the GPUS Steering Committee from March, 2011 to July, 2012 and  
July, 2014 to July, 2016.  
  
During most recent term on the SC, the party eliminated its debt and  
joined two lawsuits against the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD)  
for unfairly excluding minor party presidential candidates from the  
presidential debates.  
  
Petitioned to get the Green Party presidential candidate on the ballot in  
eight states over the past ten years--Arizona, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois,  
Maryland, Missouri, Pennsylvania and Virginia.  
  
Between December 2015 and May, 2016, made hundreds of fundraising calls on  
behalf of GPUS, helping the party raise thousands of dollars to fund  
operations and ballot access drives around the country.  
  
State Green Party Leadership.  
Served as Co-Chair of the Green Party of Virginia (GPVA) from 2006 through  
2014. Also led successful petitioning efforts to get the GPUS presidential  
candidate on the ballot in Virginia in 2008, 2012 and 2016.  
  
In 2013 lobbied successfully with a state Libertarian Party leader to  
reduce Virginia's presidential petitioning requirement from 10,000 to 5,000  
signatures--greatly reducing the amount of effort needed for independent  
presidential candidates to make ballot.  
  
Local Green Party Leadership.  
Served as treasurer of the Arlington Green Party from 2009 through 2014,  
filing regular, timely, quarterly financial reports to the State Board of  
Elections.  
  
Educational Background.  
Ph.D. in Political Science from Temple University, 1993 with an emphasis  
on American voting behavior.  
  
Successfully defended a dissertation on "The Congressional Black Caucus:  
It's Representational Role" and served as a fellow for the Congressional  
Black Caucus Foundation in the 100th Congress.  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* gpva-business-bounces@vagreenparty.org  
<gpva-business-bounces@vagreenparty.org>  
<gpva-business-bounces@vagreenparty.org> on behalf of Wave Rider via  
gpva-business <gpva-business@vagreenparty.org>  
<gpva-business@vagreenparty.org>  
\*Sent:\* Wednesday, February 6, 2019 1:38 AM  
\*To:\* The Green Party gives Virginia the business.  
\*Subject:\* Re: [gpva-business] GPVA State Meeting (Teleconference)  
  
I hereby nominate Audrey Clement for the co-chair position.  
  
Audrey performed the job competently and admirably in the past. Her  
knowledge and experience are hard to match.  
  
Respectfully submitted,  
Charlie Jordan  
  
  
On 1/10/19, Tamar Yager via gpva-business  
<gpva-business@vagreenparty.org> <gpva-business@vagreenparty.org> wrote:  
  
>  5. ELECTIONS (60 min): (Note: officer and delegate elections are a  
>     "major decision" under bylaw Para. 10.3. Voting members will cast  
>     their vote online using OpaVote.com.)  
>      1. List-serve Moderator  
>      2. Webmaster  
>      3. Treasurer  
>      4. Co-Chair (nominally ?female? or ?not male?; see bylaws Para.  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
gpva-business mailing list  
gpva-business@vagreenparty.org  
<http://vagreenparty.org/mailman/listinfo/gpva-business> to change settings  
or unsubscribe.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing listEcoaction@gp.org<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
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Ecoaction mailing list  
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Message: 94  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:16:56 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe  
<robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+M-vR250MbmFmJJaf4WM3nuQAvN82Wrha6cx82H5fR+oQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
So, who is Mary Sanders?  
  
I was planning to advocate within my Caucus for a No Candidate vote for  
Secretary, but now there may be an option.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Sorry. Robin. I meant to say Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency  
(DARPA) located in Arlington, VA.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Sunday, December 13, 2020 7:48:16 AM  
\*To:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Robin  
I see from your CV that you are a vegetarian and have run several times  
for political office against a machine. We've got something in common.  
  
You also mention employment by the Defense Advanced Projects Research  
Agency (DARPA). Do you know if DARPA has done any research on advanced  
nuclear technology and carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) and what if  
any recommendations it has made to the U.S. Defense Department about these  
technologies?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
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\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Sunday, December 13, 2020 4:19:28 AM  
\*To:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Currently a member of the City of Beverly Hills Technology Committee,  
appointed 2016. In 2019, asked to run for office by City Council members  
not running in 2020 election. Ran in the March 2020 Beverly Hills City  
Council election. In 2020, asked to run for office by former Beverly  
Hills mayor. Ran in the November 2020 School Board election. My first  
experiences running for government office.  
  
Beverly Hills City Council election in March. I ran on the issue of the  
environment. Specifically, to mitigate air pollution in Beverly Hills by  
building two 80-story residential towers on city property. That will  
have 3,000 affordable apartments to be made available exclusively to  
city employees, teachers and retail workers employed in Beverly Hills  
who otherwise cannot afford to live here. To reduce air pollution from  
commuters.  
  
Beverly Hills School Board election in November. I ran on the issue of  
education excellence. Beverly Hills schools have fallen from ranking #1  
worldwide to being rated #54 among local schools. A reason is the School  
Board is diverting taxpayer funds from education.  
  
The School Board diverted $16 million in education funds earmarked for  
school building construction into mounting frivolous lawsuits to delay  
construction of public transportation. The School Board hired the U.S.  
President's attorneys, who blew $16 million dollars losing lawsuit after  
lawsuit challenging the right of Los Angeles County to build a subway  
through Beverly Hills. After the many lawsuits failed, the School Board  
appealed to the President. Asked that the President send the National  
Guard to prevent the subway being built.  
  
Despite having the largest school budget of any public school in the  
world, most Beverly Hills teachers aren't paid enough to afford to live  
in Beverly Hills. They commute. In the School Board election, I was the  
only candidate to promise teachers a living wage. Despite admitting  
during our debate that he underpaid teachers, the Incumbent was  
reelected. The Teachers Union endorsed him despite his record.  
  
Beverly Hills is one of the most expensive local elections in the world.  
The Incumbents are funded by a hedge fund and luxury real estate  
developers. To get the Incumbents reelected to City Council, their  
campaign spent more than $20 per voter.  
  
With no hope of outspending the Incumbents, I took the opposite approach  
by announcing I would accept no donations. Running a zero-budget  
campaign, I came in 4th place in a 6-candidate race. I achieved this  
while also boycotting Facebook, for their role in 2016 election  
manipulation and the Cambridge Analytica scandal.  
  
I got twice the votes expected for an unknown first-timer with no  
experience running an election campaign. I got 5% of the vote in my  
first election, 8% in my second. Both this year. To win a Beverly Hills  
City Council seat in 2022, I will need about 20% of the vote.  
  
Outside of politics. I'm an innovation engineering manager and C++  
software architect. I chair or am a member of many technology innovation  
and motion picture committees. I've won multiple awards for innovation.  
  
Leadership. As chief technologist and enterprise manager at the  
multi-billion dollar defense company SAIC, I created a real-time AI  
crisis detection and management system installed at NORAD and SPAWAR  
military command centers and integrated with the President's Daily  
Briefing System at the White House. Sailed on an aircraft carrier to  
test the system at sea. At SAIC I founded two divisions and their AI  
research lab. I was responsible for recruiting, management, operations  
and P&L. I led my group to have the highest profit margin of any  
division in the company.  
  
Research scientist and professor. As a DARPA principal investigator, I  
pioneered research in speech recognition and digital video streaming. As  
a navy research scientist, I created VR war gaming software used to  
train Special Forces. As a professor, I've taught computer science at  
the Naval Postgraduate School and at the University of Washington.  
  
Moved to Beverly Hills, California, in 2004, after the CTO of DreamWorks  
Animation recruited me to work in R&D on their supercomputer renderfarm.  
I've created innovation at GoPro, AT&T DirecTV, Lenovo and other high  
tech companies.  
  
Innovation. Created software used in making visual effects for major  
motion pictures and to animate a hit cartoon series. Created software  
that controls U.S. traffic lights, is national critical infrastructure.  
As a broadcast engineer, built the robotic television studios at NBC-TV  
Chicago. Won Novartis Biome innovation prize 2019 for AI computer vision  
analysis of disease.  
  
Journalism. As an NBC technical director of broadcast news, produced  
live nightly newscasts. As a journalist, I've written for Popular  
Science, Popular Photography and many other magazines and newspapers.  
  
Family. During the American Revolutionary War, many of my ancestors  
served in the Continental Army. My parents were newspaper journalists  
and organic farmers. Inspired by Rachel Carson, they were first  
generation leaders in the organic foods movement. My family still owns  
and operates the largest organic farm in Illinois. I'm a vegetarian.  
  
My website is robinsrowe.com.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 95  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 02:23:06 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe  
<robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB2351249E6A56439FA2D22C3ED5C60@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
  
She filed the initial complaint against Jeffers, but she says likes him anyhow.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 9:16 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
So, who is Mary Sanders?  
  
I was planning to advocate within my Caucus for a No Candidate vote for Secretary, but now there may be an option.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Sorry. Robin. I meant to say Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) located in Arlington, VA.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com<mailto:aclement65@hotmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 7:48:16 AM  
To: ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org> <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com<mailto:robinsrowe@gmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Robin  
I see from your CV that you are a vegetarian and have run several times for political office against a machine. We've got something in common.  
  
You also mention employment by the Defense Advanced Projects Research Agency (DARPA). Do you know if DARPA has done any research on advanced nuclear technology and carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) and what if any recommendations it has made to the U.S. Defense Department about these technologies?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android<<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com<mailto:robinsrowe@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 4:19:28 AM  
To: ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org> <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com<mailto:robinsrowe@gmail.com>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Currently a member of the City of Beverly Hills Technology Committee,  
appointed 2016. In 2019, asked to run for office by City Council members  
not running in 2020 election. Ran in the March 2020 Beverly Hills City  
Council election. In 2020, asked to run for office by former Beverly  
Hills mayor. Ran in the November 2020 School Board election. My first  
experiences running for government office.  
  
Beverly Hills City Council election in March. I ran on the issue of the  
environment. Specifically, to mitigate air pollution in Beverly Hills by  
building two 80-story residential towers on city property. That will  
have 3,000 affordable apartments to be made available exclusively to  
city employees, teachers and retail workers employed in Beverly Hills  
who otherwise cannot afford to live here. To reduce air pollution from  
commuters.  
  
Beverly Hills School Board election in November. I ran on the issue of  
education excellence. Beverly Hills schools have fallen from ranking #1  
worldwide to being rated #54 among local schools. A reason is the School  
Board is diverting taxpayer funds from education.  
  
The School Board diverted $16 million in education funds earmarked for  
school building construction into mounting frivolous lawsuits to delay  
construction of public transportation. The School Board hired the U.S.  
President's attorneys, who blew $16 million dollars losing lawsuit after  
lawsuit challenging the right of Los Angeles County to build a subway  
through Beverly Hills. After the many lawsuits failed, the School Board  
appealed to the President. Asked that the President send the National  
Guard to prevent the subway being built.  
  
Despite having the largest school budget of any public school in the  
world, most Beverly Hills teachers aren't paid enough to afford to live  
in Beverly Hills. They commute. In the School Board election, I was the  
only candidate to promise teachers a living wage. Despite admitting  
during our debate that he underpaid teachers, the Incumbent was  
reelected. The Teachers Union endorsed him despite his record.  
  
Beverly Hills is one of the most expensive local elections in the world.  
The Incumbents are funded by a hedge fund and luxury real estate  
developers. To get the Incumbents reelected to City Council, their  
campaign spent more than $20 per voter.  
  
With no hope of outspending the Incumbents, I took the opposite approach  
by announcing I would accept no donations. Running a zero-budget  
campaign, I came in 4th place in a 6-candidate race. I achieved this  
while also boycotting Facebook, for their role in 2016 election  
manipulation and the Cambridge Analytica scandal.  
  
I got twice the votes expected for an unknown first-timer with no  
experience running an election campaign. I got 5% of the vote in my  
first election, 8% in my second. Both this year. To win a Beverly Hills  
City Council seat in 2022, I will need about 20% of the vote.  
  
Outside of politics. I'm an innovation engineering manager and C++  
software architect. I chair or am a member of many technology innovation  
and motion picture committees. I've won multiple awards for innovation.  
  
Leadership. As chief technologist and enterprise manager at the  
multi-billion dollar defense company SAIC, I created a real-time AI  
crisis detection and management system installed at NORAD and SPAWAR  
military command centers and integrated with the President's Daily  
Briefing System at the White House. Sailed on an aircraft carrier to  
test the system at sea. At SAIC I founded two divisions and their AI  
research lab. I was responsible for recruiting, management, operations  
and P&L. I led my group to have the highest profit margin of any  
division in the company.  
  
Research scientist and professor. As a DARPA principal investigator, I  
pioneered research in speech recognition and digital video streaming. As  
a navy research scientist, I created VR war gaming software used to  
train Special Forces. As a professor, I've taught computer science at  
the Naval Postgraduate School and at the University of Washington.  
  
Moved to Beverly Hills, California, in 2004, after the CTO of DreamWorks  
Animation recruited me to work in R&D on their supercomputer renderfarm.  
I've created innovation at GoPro, AT&T DirecTV, Lenovo and other high  
tech companies.  
  
Innovation. Created software used in making visual effects for major  
motion pictures and to animate a hit cartoon series. Created software  
that controls U.S. traffic lights, is national critical infrastructure.  
As a broadcast engineer, built the robotic television studios at NBC-TV  
Chicago. Won Novartis Biome innovation prize 2019 for AI computer vision  
analysis of disease.  
  
Journalism. As an NBC technical director of broadcast news, produced  
live nightly newscasts. As a journalist, I've written for Popular  
Science, Popular Photography and many other magazines and newspapers.  
  
Family. During the American Revolutionary War, many of my ancestors  
served in the Continental Army. My parents were newspaper journalists  
and organic farmers. Inspired by Rachel Carson, they were first  
generation leaders in the organic foods movement. My family still owns  
and operates the largest organic farm in Illinois. I'm a vegetarian.  
  
My website is robinsrowe.com<[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)>.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com<mailto:robinsrowe@gmail.com>>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 96  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:25:38 -0500  
From: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Lizzie Adams  
Message-ID:  
<CALT\_aMvY27vtwdnMDc3ZO9pbrSeyvfg6ojb2vs+dCORCD9s9og@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
What she said. @Dee <3  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:29 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

" To moderate the list you need to lighten up.  You'll likely kill the  
list if you continue on this path"  
  
One has to wonder if that's truly the goal.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 7:18 AM Tim Willard via Ecoaction <  
ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:

Robin, you are getting very heavy handed in your attempt to moderate the  
list.  You're replies are as bad as the persons who criticize you.  Not all  
criticism is personal attack.  If you're going to moderate the list you  
need to lighten up.  You'll likely kill the list if you continue on this  
path.  
  
Tim Willard  
Maryland  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:35:18 AM EST, Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Lizzie,  
  
> It is unfortunate that you can reread all those members responses to  
> your actions and then your own without introspection and...  
  
Stop saying on this forum you know what I'm thinking and making catty  
personal remarks about me. It is unprofessional. This is your third  
7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 97  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 20:32:59 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>, Robin Rowe  
<robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+Mmid8EsJ6-3z+EROPDON8oJMZaswAR8chWnDGAqp9Xcg@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Oh, ok. Would like to see more from her. Has she accepted and posted her  
bio?  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:23 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

She filed the initial complaint against Jeffers, but she says likes him  
anyhow.  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 9:16 PM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
So, who is Mary Sanders?  
  
I was planning to advocate within my Caucus for a No Candidate vote for  
Secretary, but now there may be an option.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Sorry. Robin. I meant to say Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency  
(DARPA) located in Arlington, VA.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Sunday, December 13, 2020 7:48:16 AM  
\*To:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Robin  
I see from your CV that you are a vegetarian and have run several times  
for political office against a machine. We've got something in common.  
  
You also mention employment by the Defense Advanced Projects Research  
Agency (DARPA). Do you know if DARPA has done any research on advanced  
nuclear technology and carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) and what if  
any recommendations it has made to the U.S. Defense Department about these  
technologies?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Sunday, December 13, 2020 4:19:28 AM  
\*To:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Currently a member of the City of Beverly Hills Technology Committee,  
appointed 2016. In 2019, asked to run for office by City Council members  
not running in 2020 election. Ran in the March 2020 Beverly Hills City  
Council election. In 2020, asked to run for office by former Beverly  
Hills mayor. Ran in the November 2020 School Board election. My first  
experiences running for government office.  
  
Beverly Hills City Council election in March. I ran on the issue of the  
environment. Specifically, to mitigate air pollution in Beverly Hills by  
building two 80-story residential towers on city property. That will  
have 3,000 affordable apartments to be made available exclusively to  
city employees, teachers and retail workers employed in Beverly Hills  
who otherwise cannot afford to live here. To reduce air pollution from  
commuters.  
  
Beverly Hills School Board election in November. I ran on the issue of  
education excellence. Beverly Hills schools have fallen from ranking #1  
worldwide to being rated #54 among local schools. A reason is the School  
Board is diverting taxpayer funds from education.  
  
The School Board diverted $16 million in education funds earmarked for  
school building construction into mounting frivolous lawsuits to delay  
construction of public transportation. The School Board hired the U.S.  
President's attorneys, who blew $16 million dollars losing lawsuit after  
lawsuit challenging the right of Los Angeles County to build a subway  
through Beverly Hills. After the many lawsuits failed, the School Board  
appealed to the President. Asked that the President send the National  
Guard to prevent the subway being built.  
  
Despite having the largest school budget of any public school in the  
world, most Beverly Hills teachers aren't paid enough to afford to live  
in Beverly Hills. They commute. In the School Board election, I was the  
only candidate to promise teachers a living wage. Despite admitting  
during our debate that he underpaid teachers, the Incumbent was  
reelected. The Teachers Union endorsed him despite his record.  
  
Beverly Hills is one of the most expensive local elections in the world.  
The Incumbents are funded by a hedge fund and luxury real estate  
developers. To get the Incumbents reelected to City Council, their  
campaign spent more than $20 per voter.  
  
With no hope of outspending the Incumbents, I took the opposite approach  
by announcing I would accept no donations. Running a zero-budget  
campaign, I came in 4th place in a 6-candidate race. I achieved this  
while also boycotting Facebook, for their role in 2016 election  
manipulation and the Cambridge Analytica scandal.  
  
I got twice the votes expected for an unknown first-timer with no  
experience running an election campaign. I got 5% of the vote in my  
first election, 8% in my second. Both this year. To win a Beverly Hills  
City Council seat in 2022, I will need about 20% of the vote.  
  
Outside of politics. I'm an innovation engineering manager and C++  
software architect. I chair or am a member of many technology innovation  
and motion picture committees. I've won multiple awards for innovation.  
  
Leadership. As chief technologist and enterprise manager at the  
multi-billion dollar defense company SAIC, I created a real-time AI  
crisis detection and management system installed at NORAD and SPAWAR  
military command centers and integrated with the President's Daily  
Briefing System at the White House. Sailed on an aircraft carrier to  
test the system at sea. At SAIC I founded two divisions and their AI  
research lab. I was responsible for recruiting, management, operations  
and P&L. I led my group to have the highest profit margin of any  
division in the company.  
  
Research scientist and professor. As a DARPA principal investigator, I  
pioneered research in speech recognition and digital video streaming. As  
a navy research scientist, I created VR war gaming software used to  
train Special Forces. As a professor, I've taught computer science at  
the Naval Postgraduate School and at the University of Washington.  
  
Moved to Beverly Hills, California, in 2004, after the CTO of DreamWorks  
Animation recruited me to work in R&D on their supercomputer renderfarm.  
I've created innovation at GoPro, AT&T DirecTV, Lenovo and other high  
tech companies.  
  
Innovation. Created software used in making visual effects for major  
motion pictures and to animate a hit cartoon series. Created software  
that controls U.S. traffic lights, is national critical infrastructure.  
As a broadcast engineer, built the robotic television studios at NBC-TV  
Chicago. Won Novartis Biome innovation prize 2019 for AI computer vision  
analysis of disease.  
  
Journalism. As an NBC technical director of broadcast news, produced  
live nightly newscasts. As a journalist, I've written for Popular  
Science, Popular Photography and many other magazines and newspapers.  
  
Family. During the American Revolutionary War, many of my ancestors  
served in the Continental Army. My parents were newspaper journalists  
and organic farmers. Inspired by Rachel Carson, they were first  
generation leaders in the organic foods movement. My family still owns  
and operates the largest organic farm in Illinois. I'm a vegetarian.  
  
My website is robinsrowe.com.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 98  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:04:54 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
Cc: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>, Audrey Clement  
<aclement65@hotmail.com>, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>, Mike Gamms  
<gamms4ny@gmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+P+LztsTve2UWc0AM5mX+9+LODaJ49CGtogooiSSTYqTA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Well, that's all I need to see.  
  
Advocating for Mary Sanders for Secretary within my Caucus (if she will  
accept Steve Newman's Nomination).  
  
Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears that  
she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also, I  
have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with  
trolls...)  
  
Still advocating for Stephen Verchinski, due to his tireless on the ground  
work and knowledge of environmental issues, respect for diversity, and  
general love for peace (disclosure, have worked with him before at the  
Green Party Convention in 2018).  
  
  
Mark is not out of the running. I have to go with the recommendations of  
the Caucus that I am appointed from, although they will respect my input  
from having been a member of this committee for 2 years and having paid  
attention, although not always vocal. I am  
unsure about how Mark would handle troll onslaughts and/or people who seem  
to make it their business to disrupt and object to everything the committee  
could be doing.

From what I have witnessed, it is not uncommon for those attempting to

stack votes in Green Party committees to use the chairs to do their  
bidding, then go after the chairs. This is something that everyone voting  
should be aware of.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M. MN  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 1:20 AM Mary L. Sanders via Ecoaction <  
ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:

"According to former Ecoaction Co-Chair LuAnne Kozma, she and her husband  
spent weeks at Standing Rock, and her husband, a lawyer, represented the  
Sioux tribe. Committee members should remember this because she and I had a  
back and forth circa 2017 about violence at the protest site."  
  
When I read these words I interpreted them as a nonjudgmental statement.  
It really didn't sound like Audrey voiced blame or even stated who was  
committing the violence, only stated it existed.  Tony, then Mike made it  
sound like she was pointing fingers, so everyone else jumped in the brawl.  
  
Robin, you've been nitpicking at Audrey for a while and I'm wondering how  
you plan to work together should she be reelected co-chair and you  
secretary.  And that's sad, because you have so much experience and so many  
talents that could benefit this committee.  I remember last election  
thinking that neither of the candidates for secretary might have time for  
such an important position. Mike I asked you a couple days ago, how your  
year as secretary has been; perhaps you missed that.  
  
Anyways, this year I'm wondering who has the temperament.  A secretary  
should be willing to serve the needs of the co-chairs and committee, not  
determine them.  The co-chairs and secretary have to be able to work  
together harmoniously.  
  
Robin, are you able to do that or is it going to be an ongoing power  
struggle?  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Sunday, December 13, 2020, 11:21:27 PM EST, stephen verchinski via  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
  
Went to Standing Rock with a group of us Greens from NM  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our  
individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,  and it is in dire  
straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 7:30 PM, Lizzie Adams  
<lizziemariadams@gmail.com> wrote:  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/00da8d38/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 99  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 03:20:10 +0000  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>, "Mary L. Sanders"  
<marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
Cc: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>, Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>, Mike  
Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR20MB23511E4E5E2368FD337E4324D5C60@MN2PR20MB2351.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
  
Dee,  
  
Mary has accepted the nomination for committee Secretary.  
  
Audrey  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:04 PM  
To: Mary L. Sanders <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
Cc: Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>; Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>; Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>; Mike Gamms <gamms4ny@gmail.com>; GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
  
Well, that's all I need to see.  
  
Advocating for Mary Sanders for Secretary within my Caucus (if she will accept Steve Newman's Nomination).  
  
Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears that she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also, I have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with trolls...)  
  
Still advocating for Stephen Verchinski, due to his tireless on the ground work and knowledge of environmental issues, respect for diversity, and general love for peace (disclosure, have worked with him before at the Green Party Convention in 2018).  
  
  
Mark is not out of the running. I have to go with the recommendations of the Caucus that I am appointed from, although they will respect my input from having been a member of this committee for 2 years and having paid attention, although not always vocal. I am  
unsure about how Mark would handle troll onslaughts and/or people who seem to make it their business to disrupt and object to everything the committee could be doing.

From what I have witnessed, it is not uncommon for those attempting to stack votes in Green Party committees to use the chairs to do their bidding, then go after the chairs. This is something that everyone voting should be aware of.

Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M. MN  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 1:20 AM Mary L. Sanders via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>> wrote:  
  
"According to former Ecoaction Co-Chair LuAnne Kozma, she and her husband spent weeks at Standing Rock, and her husband, a lawyer, represented the Sioux tribe. Committee members should remember this because she and I had a back and forth circa 2017 about violence at the protest site."  
  
When I read these words I interpreted them as a nonjudgmental statement.  It really didn't sound like Audrey voiced blame or even stated who was committing the violence, only stated it existed.  Tony, then Mike made it sound like she was pointing fingers, so everyone else jumped in the brawl.  
  
Robin, you've been nitpicking at Audrey for a while and I'm wondering how you plan to work together should she be reelected co-chair and you secretary.  And that's sad, because you have so much experience and so many talents that could benefit this committee.  I remember last election thinking that neither of the candidates for secretary might have time for such an important position. Mike I asked you a couple days ago, how your year as secretary has been; perhaps you missed that.  
  
Anyways, this year I'm wondering who has the temperament.  A secretary should be willing to serve the needs of the co-chairs and committee, not determine them.  The co-chairs and secretary have to be able to work together harmoniously.  
  
Robin, are you able to do that or is it going to be an ongoing power struggle?  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.  
  
  
On Sunday, December 13, 2020, 11:21:27 PM EST, stephen verchinski via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>> wrote:  
  
  
Went to Standing Rock with a group of us Greens from NM  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,  and it is in dire straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 7:30 PM, Lizzie Adams  
<lizziemariadams@gmail.com<mailto:lizziemariadams@gmail.com>> wrote:  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
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Message: 100  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:22:43 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWORYPRcvrH+Tj4owBqpMAAxZmTRqJeLOo0Qh0Xen4JwuJQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Rep Murphy says:"From what I have witnessed, it is not uncommon for those  
attempting to stack votes in Green Party committees to use the chairs to do  
their bidding, then go after the chairs. This is something that everyone  
voting should be aware of."  
  
This sounds a lot like slander again.  
  
How does this quote pertain to Eco-Action?  
  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 10:05 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Well, that's all I need to see.  
  
Advocating for Mary Sanders for Secretary within my Caucus (if she will  
accept Steve Newman's Nomination).  
  
Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears that  
she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also, I  
have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with  
trolls...)  
  
Still advocating for Stephen Verchinski, due to his tireless on the ground  
work and knowledge of environmental issues, respect for diversity, and  
general love for peace (disclosure, have worked with him before at the  
Green Party Convention in 2018).  
  
  
Mark is not out of the running. I have to go with the recommendations of  
the Caucus that I am appointed from, although they will respect my input  
from having been a member of this committee for 2 years and having paid  
attention, although not always vocal. I am  
unsure about how Mark would handle troll onslaughts and/or people who seem  
to make it their business to disrupt and object to everything the committee  
could be doing.  
  
From what I have witnessed, it is not uncommon for those attempting to  
stack votes in Green Party committees to use the chairs to do their  
bidding, then go after the chairs. This is something that everyone voting  
should be aware of.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M. MN  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 1:20 AM Mary L. Sanders via Ecoaction <  
ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:

"According to former Ecoaction Co-Chair LuAnne Kozma, she and her husband  
spent weeks at Standing Rock, and her husband, a lawyer, represented the  
Sioux tribe. Committee members should remember this because she and I had a  
back and forth circa 2017 about violence at the protest site."  
  
When I read these words I interpreted them as a nonjudgmental statement.  
It really didn't sound like Audrey voiced blame or even stated who was  
committing the violence, only stated it existed.  Tony, then Mike made it  
sound like she was pointing fingers, so everyone else jumped in the brawl.  
  
Robin, you've been nitpicking at Audrey for a while and I'm wondering  
how you plan to work together should she be reelected co-chair and you  
secretary.  And that's sad, because you have so much experience and so many  
talents that could benefit this committee.  I remember last election  
thinking that neither of the candidates for secretary might have time for  
such an important position. Mike I asked you a couple days ago, how your  
year as secretary has been; perhaps you missed that.  
  
Anyways, this year I'm wondering who has the temperament.  A secretary  
should be willing to serve the needs of the co-chairs and committee, not  
determine them.  The co-chairs and secretary have to be able to work  
together harmoniously.  
  
Robin, are you able to do that or is it going to be an ongoing power  
struggle?  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Sunday, December 13, 2020, 11:21:27 PM EST, stephen verchinski via  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
  
Went to Standing Rock with a group of us Greens from NM  
  
Stephen Verchinski  
Green Party US  
New Mexico Delegate  
Eco-Action Committee  
International Committee  
2700 Espanola St. NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110  
  
<https://vimeo.com/302202951>  
  
  
"It is a climate emergency. Just as on a boat sinking we have to don our  
individual life jackets and help the young and the less able to don theirs.  
  
It is one boat, similarly called our spaceship earth,  and it is in dire  
straits."  
  
  
Stephen  
  
  
  
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android  
  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 7:30 PM, Lizzie Adams  
<lizziemariadams@gmail.com> wrote:  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 101  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:22:54 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, Robin Rowe  
<robinsrowe@gmail.com>,  Tony Ndege <tony@gp.org>, Mike Gamms  
<gamms4ny@gmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+N+n1SJuh\_g9CfGUEXeDqa05EinEay5OJOLdxu6Q=TX\_A@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Thank you, Audrey.  
  
Drafting a letter to the Caucus.  
  
  
Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/4152b0a6/attachment-0001.html>>  
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Message: 102  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 03:23:25 +0000  
From: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<BN8PR20MB2882FE2EA6C13C6A75D07050ABC60@BN8PR20MB2882.namprd20.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="Windows-1252"  
  
Awesome, Dee!  Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of people devoted to researching further information on which the point people of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive (NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking, renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water (would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact, etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead. As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\* leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code already.  The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through constant  infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible, intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN  
  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 103  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 03:26:03 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Dee MFernando  
<nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
Message-ID: <418851292.765683.1608002763773@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Hey all, I responded in another thread earlier this evening.? I haven't been around long but if you search my name on the listserv you'll have a good idea what I'm about.? Yes, I'm about providing options which is why I've run for state/municipal office the last 3 years.? Whether any candidate wins a campaign or not, it's good to provide options for folks tired of the status quo.? And yes, I was a huge fan of Frank and so disappointed with his comments.? Nevertheless I like many things about him and appreciate what I learned from him the past year and a half.? Please find my earlier comments to see why I decided to accept Steve's nomination and let me know what you need from me.? I'm here to learn and serve.  
Mary L. SandersHartford, CT  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 09:33:14 PM EST, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
Oh, ok. Would like to see more from her. Has she accepted and posted her bio?  
  
Dee M.MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:23 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
She filed the initial complaint against Jeffers, but she says likes him anyhow.  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 9:16 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe?So, who is Mary Sanders?  
  
I was planning to advocate within my Caucus for a No Candidate vote for Secretary, but now there may be an option.  
Dee M.MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Sorry. Robin. I meant to say Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) located in Arlington, VA.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 7:48:16 AM  
To: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe?Robin  
I see from your CV that you are a vegetarian and have run several times for political office against a machine.We've got something in common.  
  
You also mention employment by the Defense Advanced Projects Research Agency (DARPA). Do you know if DARPA has done any research on advanced nuclear technology and carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) and what if any recommendations it has made to the U.S. Defense Department about these technologies?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 4:19:28 AM  
To: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe?What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Currently a member of the City of Beverly Hills Technology Committee,  
appointed 2016. In 2019, asked to run for office by City Council members  
not running in 2020 election. Ran in the March 2020 Beverly Hills City  
Council election. In 2020, asked to run for office by former Beverly  
Hills mayor. Ran in the November 2020 School Board election. My first  
experiences running for government office.  
  
Beverly Hills City Council election in March. I ran on the issue of the  
environment. Specifically, to mitigate air pollution in Beverly Hills by  
building two 80-story residential towers on city property. That will  
have 3,000 affordable apartments to be made available exclusively to  
city employees, teachers and retail workers employed in Beverly Hills  
who otherwise cannot afford to live here. To reduce air pollution from  
commuters.  
  
Beverly Hills School Board election in November. I ran on the issue of  
education excellence. Beverly Hills schools have fallen from ranking #1  
worldwide to being rated #54 among local schools. A reason is the School  
Board is diverting taxpayer funds from education.  
  
The School Board diverted $16 million in education funds earmarked for  
school building construction into mounting frivolous lawsuits to delay  
construction of public transportation. The School Board hired the U.S.  
President's attorneys, who blew $16 million dollars losing lawsuit after  
lawsuit challenging the right of Los Angeles County to build a subway  
through Beverly Hills. After the many lawsuits failed, the School Board  
appealed to the President. Asked that the President send the National  
Guard to prevent the subway being built.  
  
Despite having the largest school budget of any public school in the  
world, most Beverly Hills teachers aren't paid enough to afford to live  
in Beverly Hills. They commute. In the School Board election, I was the  
only candidate to promise teachers a living wage. Despite admitting  
during our debate that he underpaid teachers, the Incumbent was  
reelected. The Teachers Union endorsed him despite his record.  
  
Beverly Hills is one of the most expensive local elections in the world.  
The Incumbents are funded by a hedge fund and luxury real estate  
developers. To get the Incumbents reelected to City Council, their  
campaign spent more than $20 per voter.  
  
With no hope of outspending the Incumbents, I took the opposite approach  
by announcing I would accept no donations. Running a zero-budget  
campaign, I came in 4th place in a 6-candidate race. I achieved this  
while also boycotting Facebook, for their role in 2016 election  
manipulation and the Cambridge Analytica scandal.  
  
I got twice the votes expected for an unknown first-timer with no  
experience running an election campaign. I got 5% of the vote in my  
first election, 8% in my second. Both this year. To win a Beverly Hills  
City Council seat in 2022, I will need about 20% of the vote.  
  
Outside of politics. I'm an innovation engineering manager and C++  
software architect. I chair or am a member of many technology innovation  
and motion picture committees. I've won multiple awards for innovation.  
  
Leadership. As chief technologist and enterprise manager at the  
multi-billion dollar defense company SAIC, I created a real-time AI  
crisis detection and management system installed at NORAD and SPAWAR  
military command centers and integrated with the President's Daily  
Briefing System at the White House. Sailed on an aircraft carrier to  
test the system at sea. At SAIC I founded two divisions and their AI  
research lab. I was responsible for recruiting, management, operations  
and P&L. I led my group to have the highest profit margin of any  
division in the company.  
  
Research scientist and professor. As a DARPA principal investigator, I  
pioneered research in speech recognition and digital video streaming. As  
a navy research scientist, I created VR war gaming software used to  
train Special Forces. As a professor, I've taught computer science at  
the Naval Postgraduate School and at the University of Washington.  
  
Moved to Beverly Hills, California, in 2004, after the CTO of DreamWorks  
Animation recruited me to work in R&D on their supercomputer renderfarm.  
I've created innovation at GoPro, AT&T DirecTV, Lenovo and other high  
tech companies.  
  
Innovation. Created software used in making visual effects for major  
motion pictures and to animate a hit cartoon series. Created software  
that controls U.S. traffic lights, is national critical infrastructure.  
As a broadcast engineer, built the robotic television studios at NBC-TV  
Chicago. Won Novartis Biome innovation prize 2019 for AI computer vision  
analysis of disease.  
  
Journalism. As an NBC technical director of broadcast news, produced  
live nightly newscasts. As a journalist, I've written for Popular  
Science, Popular Photography and many other magazines and newspapers.  
  
Family. During the American Revolutionary War, many of my ancestors  
served in the Continental Army. My parents were newspaper journalists  
and organic farmers. Inspired by Rachel Carson, they were first  
generation leaders in the organic foods movement. My family still owns  
and operates the largest organic farm in Illinois. I'm a vegetarian.  
  
My website is robinsrowe.com.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
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Message: 104  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:27:26 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+OfoDt4DQPUJSL4NffT7cVvA+EGL=ywp5fmUkbBYzKy-w@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how  
your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to  
stack the committee?  
  
If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 105  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:29:12 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID: <B8B64726-9998-4DD6-A6FA-EF8EF7A06323@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
  
I?m failing to understand, at a very basic level, why there?s so much hostility in this group, both from leadership and membership. There have been numerous emails in the last hour sent out that seem to be directly targeting individuals who would receive these emails.  
  
I?m not as naive as to believe that any political organization is going to run without conflict, but I believe, as I said earlier today, that this type of thing is grossly inappropriate. If you?re going to engage in hyper-partisan personality bickering, please do so off thread.

On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:22 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Thank you, Audrey.  
  
Drafting a letter to the Caucus.  
  
  
Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 106  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:31:07 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID: <E01AB567-0C22-42E4-9B35-9798A9388652@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
  
One of the key elements of slander is that the information must be false. So, in that sense, an accusation of slander would necessarily mean that the accuser believes the information to be targeted at them, and also false.

On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:27 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to stack the committee?  
  
If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 107  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:37:06 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+OO2gQa5A6V94O5wb6n=gAoFRpg4adTOi\_vMXp\_Fa8gAA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Hi Chris,  
  
I don't like the vitriol either. It really disturbs me to see people  
harassed and stalked- even down to their private facebook posts. I don't  
think this belongs here, and would like to see a team environment as well.  
  
You must be one of our newer members. Please read my post regarding a  
simple proposal. Should I bump it? There's nothing I would rather see more  
than a cooperative environment geared towards re-building the Green Party  
from the ashes created by those that come here to attack, disrupt, stalk,  
harass and troll.  
  
If you like my post, what is your area of specialty and where would your  
interest be in contributing?  
  
Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN

-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 108  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:37:47 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+NLDKdJ7qE7aRZs=3F69B5m53kZ3kRU\_\_8bu5DUYFdsDg@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I agree with you.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:30 PM Christopher Stella <  
christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:

One of the key elements of slander is that the information must be false.  
So, in that sense, an accusation of slander would necessarily mean that the  
accuser believes the information to be targeted at them, and also false.  
  
> On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:27 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
>  
> Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how  
your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to  
stack the committee?  
>  
> If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
>  
>  
> Dee M.  
> MN  
>  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
> Ecoaction mailing list  
> Ecoaction@gp.org  
> <https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 109  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:39:16 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
Cc: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org"  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+NufGJVF-aKRSxWXUJoratAqr\_JPEscj-wooDmL42qGOQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Sweet. Letter sent to the Caucus am appointed from.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:31 PM Mary L. Sanders <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
wrote:

By the way, Frank has no idea how I feel - I'm afraid he may have blocked  
my emails because when I respond to the group this month his bounces back  
to me, [image: Emoji]  
  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 10:26:13 PM EST, Mary L. Sanders via  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
  
Hey all, I responded in another thread earlier this evening.  I haven't  
been around long but if you search my name on the listserv you'll have a  
good idea what I'm about.  Yes, I'm about providing options which is why  
I've run for state/municipal office the last 3 years.  Whether any  
candidate wins a campaign or not, it's good to provide options for folks  
tired of the status quo.  And yes, I was a huge fan of Frank and so  
disappointed with his comments.  Nevertheless I like many things about him  
and appreciate what I learned from him the past year and a half.  Please  
find my earlier comments to see why I decided to accept Steve's nomination  
and let me know what you need from me.  I'm here to learn and serve.  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 09:33:14 PM EST, Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Oh, ok. Would like to see more from her. Has she accepted and posted her  
bio?  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:23 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
She filed the initial complaint against Jeffers, but she says likes him  
anyhow.  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 9:16 PM  
\*To:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
So, who is Mary Sanders?  
  
I was planning to advocate within my Caucus for a No Candidate vote for  
Secretary, but now there may be an option.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
wrote:  
  
Sorry. Robin. I meant to say Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency  
(DARPA) located in Arlington, VA.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Sunday, December 13, 2020 7:48:16 AM  
\*To:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Robin  
I see from your CV that you are a vegetarian and have run several times  
for political office against a machine. We've got something in common.  
  
You also mention employment by the Defense Advanced Projects Research  
Agency (DARPA). Do you know if DARPA has done any research on advanced  
nuclear technology and carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) and what if  
any recommendations it has made to the U.S. Defense Department about these  
technologies?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android <<https://aka.ms/ghei36>>  
  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Sunday, December 13, 2020 4:19:28 AM  
\*To:\* ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Currently a member of the City of Beverly Hills Technology Committee,  
appointed 2016. In 2019, asked to run for office by City Council members  
not running in 2020 election. Ran in the March 2020 Beverly Hills City  
Council election. In 2020, asked to run for office by former Beverly  
Hills mayor. Ran in the November 2020 School Board election. My first  
experiences running for government office.  
  
Beverly Hills City Council election in March. I ran on the issue of the  
environment. Specifically, to mitigate air pollution in Beverly Hills by  
building two 80-story residential towers on city property. That will  
have 3,000 affordable apartments to be made available exclusively to  
city employees, teachers and retail workers employed in Beverly Hills  
who otherwise cannot afford to live here. To reduce air pollution from  
commuters.  
  
Beverly Hills School Board election in November. I ran on the issue of  
education excellence. Beverly Hills schools have fallen from ranking #1  
worldwide to being rated #54 among local schools. A reason is the School  
Board is diverting taxpayer funds from education.  
  
The School Board diverted $16 million in education funds earmarked for  
school building construction into mounting frivolous lawsuits to delay  
construction of public transportation. The School Board hired the U.S.  
President's attorneys, who blew $16 million dollars losing lawsuit after  
lawsuit challenging the right of Los Angeles County to build a subway  
through Beverly Hills. After the many lawsuits failed, the School Board  
appealed to the President. Asked that the President send the National  
Guard to prevent the subway being built.  
  
Despite having the largest school budget of any public school in the  
world, most Beverly Hills teachers aren't paid enough to afford to live  
in Beverly Hills. They commute. In the School Board election, I was the  
only candidate to promise teachers a living wage. Despite admitting  
during our debate that he underpaid teachers, the Incumbent was  
reelected. The Teachers Union endorsed him despite his record.  
  
Beverly Hills is one of the most expensive local elections in the world.  
The Incumbents are funded by a hedge fund and luxury real estate  
developers. To get the Incumbents reelected to City Council, their  
campaign spent more than $20 per voter.  
  
With no hope of outspending the Incumbents, I took the opposite approach  
by announcing I would accept no donations. Running a zero-budget  
campaign, I came in 4th place in a 6-candidate race. I achieved this  
while also boycotting Facebook, for their role in 2016 election  
manipulation and the Cambridge Analytica scandal.  
  
I got twice the votes expected for an unknown first-timer with no  
experience running an election campaign. I got 5% of the vote in my  
first election, 8% in my second. Both this year. To win a Beverly Hills  
City Council seat in 2022, I will need about 20% of the vote.  
  
Outside of politics. I'm an innovation engineering manager and C++  
software architect. I chair or am a member of many technology innovation  
and motion picture committees. I've won multiple awards for innovation.  
  
Leadership. As chief technologist and enterprise manager at the  
multi-billion dollar defense company SAIC, I created a real-time AI  
crisis detection and management system installed at NORAD and SPAWAR  
military command centers and integrated with the President's Daily  
Briefing System at the White House. Sailed on an aircraft carrier to  
test the system at sea. At SAIC I founded two divisions and their AI  
research lab. I was responsible for recruiting, management, operations  
and P&L. I led my group to have the highest profit margin of any  
division in the company.  
  
Research scientist and professor. As a DARPA principal investigator, I  
pioneered research in speech recognition and digital video streaming. As  
a navy research scientist, I created VR war gaming software used to  
train Special Forces. As a professor, I've taught computer science at  
the Naval Postgraduate School and at the University of Washington.  
  
Moved to Beverly Hills, California, in 2004, after the CTO of DreamWorks  
Animation recruited me to work in R&D on their supercomputer renderfarm.  
I've created innovation at GoPro, AT&T DirecTV, Lenovo and other high  
tech companies.  
  
Innovation. Created software used in making visual effects for major  
motion pictures and to animate a hit cartoon series. Created software  
that controls U.S. traffic lights, is national critical infrastructure.  
As a broadcast engineer, built the robotic television studios at NBC-TV  
Chicago. Won Novartis Biome innovation prize 2019 for AI computer vision  
analysis of disease.  
  
Journalism. As an NBC technical director of broadcast news, produced  
live nightly newscasts. As a journalist, I've written for Popular  
Science, Popular Photography and many other magazines and newspapers.  
  
Family. During the American Revolutionary War, many of my ancestors  
served in the Continental Army. My parents were newspaper journalists  
and organic farmers. Inspired by Rachel Carson, they were first  
generation leaders in the organic foods movement. My family still owns  
and operates the largest organic farm in Illinois. I'm a vegetarian.  
  
My website is robinsrowe.com.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 110  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:39:59 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+M+6hwGtp3Es+T-zVaTQE4dteJvFf0J+Y5fUexE8CBY=Q@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Very Awesome concept, Steve.  
  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:23 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:

Awesome, Dee!  Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing  
much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green  
Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence  
and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves  
nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the  
advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to  
representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not  
changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would  
help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very  
stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and  
expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is  
all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing  
organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of  
people devoted to researching further information on which the point people  
of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive  
(NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking,  
renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water  
(would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is  
now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore  
surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact,  
etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each  
sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are  
knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead.  
As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner  
setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we  
have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action  
Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we  
want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see  
new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in  
sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking  
votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\*  
leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue  
their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS  
real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code  
already.  The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How  
Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can  
resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through  
constant  infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed  
by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible,  
intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do  
this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN
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Message: 111  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 21:41:22 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+OaEwLHv80G4N5BD+2FPU3QZwX-yr0LSOrsikjSoKusQw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Bumping for Chris Stella.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:39 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Very Awesome concept, Steve.  
  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:23 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
wrote:

Awesome, Dee!  Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing  
much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green  
Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence  
and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves  
nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the  
advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to  
representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not  
changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would  
help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very  
stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and  
expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is  
all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing  
organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of  
people devoted to researching further information on which the point people  
of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive  
(NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking,  
renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water  
(would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is  
now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore  
surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact,  
etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each  
sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are  
knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead.  
As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner  
setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we  
have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action  
Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we  
want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see  
new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in  
sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking  
votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\*  
leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue  
their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS  
real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code  
already.  The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How  
Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can  
resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through  
constant  infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed  
by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible,  
intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do  
this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN
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Message: 112  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 03:31:50 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>, Dee MFernando  
<nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
Message-ID: <1074394373.758272.1608003110771@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
By the way, Frank has no idea how I feel - I'm afraid he may have blocked my emails because when I respond to the group this month his bounces back to me,?? ?  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 10:26:13 PM EST, Mary L. Sanders via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:    
  
Hey all, I responded in another thread earlier this evening.? I haven't been around long but if you search my name on the listserv you'll have a good idea what I'm about.? Yes, I'm about providing options which is why I've run for state/municipal office the last 3 years.? Whether any candidate wins a campaign or not, it's good to provide options for folks tired of the status quo.? And yes, I was a huge fan of Frank and so disappointed with his comments.? Nevertheless I like many things about him and appreciate what I learned from him the past year and a half.? Please find my earlier comments to see why I decided to accept Steve's nomination and let me know what you need from me.? I'm here to learn and serve.  
Mary L. SandersHartford, CT  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 09:33:14 PM EST, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
Oh, ok. Would like to see more from her. Has she accepted and posted her bio?  
  
Dee M.MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:23 PM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
She filed the initial complaint against Jeffers, but she says likes him anyhow.  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 9:16 PM  
To: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Cc: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe?So, who is Mary Sanders?  
  
I was planning to advocate within my Caucus for a No Candidate vote for Secretary, but now there may be an option.  
Dee M.MN  
  
On Sun, Dec 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com> wrote:  
  
Sorry. Robin. I meant to say Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) located in Arlington, VA.  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Audrey Clement <aclement65@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 7:48:16 AM  
To: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe?Robin  
I see from your CV that you are a vegetarian and have run several times for political office against a machine.We've got something in common.  
  
You also mention employment by the Defense Advanced Projects Research Agency (DARPA). Do you know if DARPA has done any research on advanced nuclear technology and carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) and what if any recommendations it has made to the U.S. Defense Department about these technologies?  
  
Audrey  
  
Get Outlook for Android  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2020 4:19:28 AM  
To: ecoaction@gp.org <ecoaction@gp.org>; Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Secretary Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe?What I expect to accomplish as EcoAction Secretary:  
  
1. Make this listserv a civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1  
2. Conduct all correspondence, agenda requirements and other clerical  
duties per Rule 2-2.1  
3. Submit minority reports per Rule 3-1.3  
4. Submit monthly NC reports per Rule 3-2.5  
5. Be innovative  
  
Candidate Bio - Robin S. Rowe  
  
Currently a member of the City of Beverly Hills Technology Committee,  
appointed 2016. In 2019, asked to run for office by City Council members  
not running in 2020 election. Ran in the March 2020 Beverly Hills City  
Council election. In 2020, asked to run for office by former Beverly  
Hills mayor. Ran in the November 2020 School Board election. My first  
experiences running for government office.  
  
Beverly Hills City Council election in March. I ran on the issue of the  
environment. Specifically, to mitigate air pollution in Beverly Hills by  
building two 80-story residential towers on city property. That will  
have 3,000 affordable apartments to be made available exclusively to  
city employees, teachers and retail workers employed in Beverly Hills  
who otherwise cannot afford to live here. To reduce air pollution from  
commuters.  
  
Beverly Hills School Board election in November. I ran on the issue of  
education excellence. Beverly Hills schools have fallen from ranking #1  
worldwide to being rated #54 among local schools. A reason is the School  
Board is diverting taxpayer funds from education.  
  
The School Board diverted $16 million in education funds earmarked for  
school building construction into mounting frivolous lawsuits to delay  
construction of public transportation. The School Board hired the U.S.  
President's attorneys, who blew $16 million dollars losing lawsuit after  
lawsuit challenging the right of Los Angeles County to build a subway  
through Beverly Hills. After the many lawsuits failed, the School Board  
appealed to the President. Asked that the President send the National  
Guard to prevent the subway being built.  
  
Despite having the largest school budget of any public school in the  
world, most Beverly Hills teachers aren't paid enough to afford to live  
in Beverly Hills. They commute. In the School Board election, I was the  
only candidate to promise teachers a living wage. Despite admitting  
during our debate that he underpaid teachers, the Incumbent was  
reelected. The Teachers Union endorsed him despite his record.  
  
Beverly Hills is one of the most expensive local elections in the world.  
The Incumbents are funded by a hedge fund and luxury real estate  
developers. To get the Incumbents reelected to City Council, their  
campaign spent more than $20 per voter.  
  
With no hope of outspending the Incumbents, I took the opposite approach  
by announcing I would accept no donations. Running a zero-budget  
campaign, I came in 4th place in a 6-candidate race. I achieved this  
while also boycotting Facebook, for their role in 2016 election  
manipulation and the Cambridge Analytica scandal.  
  
I got twice the votes expected for an unknown first-timer with no  
experience running an election campaign. I got 5% of the vote in my  
first election, 8% in my second. Both this year. To win a Beverly Hills  
City Council seat in 2022, I will need about 20% of the vote.  
  
Outside of politics. I'm an innovation engineering manager and C++  
software architect. I chair or am a member of many technology innovation  
and motion picture committees. I've won multiple awards for innovation.  
  
Leadership. As chief technologist and enterprise manager at the  
multi-billion dollar defense company SAIC, I created a real-time AI  
crisis detection and management system installed at NORAD and SPAWAR  
military command centers and integrated with the President's Daily  
Briefing System at the White House. Sailed on an aircraft carrier to  
test the system at sea. At SAIC I founded two divisions and their AI  
research lab. I was responsible for recruiting, management, operations  
and P&L. I led my group to have the highest profit margin of any  
division in the company.  
  
Research scientist and professor. As a DARPA principal investigator, I  
pioneered research in speech recognition and digital video streaming. As  
a navy research scientist, I created VR war gaming software used to  
train Special Forces. As a professor, I've taught computer science at  
the Naval Postgraduate School and at the University of Washington.  
  
Moved to Beverly Hills, California, in 2004, after the CTO of DreamWorks  
Animation recruited me to work in R&D on their supercomputer renderfarm.  
I've created innovation at GoPro, AT&T DirecTV, Lenovo and other high  
tech companies.  
  
Innovation. Created software used in making visual effects for major  
motion pictures and to animate a hit cartoon series. Created software  
that controls U.S. traffic lights, is national critical infrastructure.  
As a broadcast engineer, built the robotic television studios at NBC-TV  
Chicago. Won Novartis Biome innovation prize 2019 for AI computer vision  
analysis of disease.  
  
Journalism. As an NBC technical director of broadcast news, produced  
live nightly newscasts. As a journalist, I've written for Popular  
Science, Popular Photography and many other magazines and newspapers.  
  
Family. During the American Revolutionary War, many of my ancestors  
served in the Continental Army. My parents were newspaper journalists  
and organic farmers. Inspired by Rachel Carson, they were first  
generation leaders in the organic foods movement. My family still owns  
and operates the largest organic farm in Illinois. I'm a vegetarian.  
  
My website is robinsrowe.com.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 113  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 03:49:21 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Dee MFernando  
<nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID: <2095787605.750610.1608004161646@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Yes - subcommittees definitely needed!? I would love to work on implementing some of these proposals.  
Mary L. SandersHartford, CT  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 10:41:38 PM EST, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
Bumping for Chris Stella.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:39 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Very Awesome concept, Steve.  
  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:23 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com> wrote:  
  
Awesome, Dee!? Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence and patience of people? who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.? ? ? ?(and the most glaringly obvious)? Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of people devoted to researching further information on which the point people of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive (NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking, renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water (would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact, etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.? ? ? ?Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.? ? ? ?Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead. As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.? I am happy to see new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking votes based on hearsay.? We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\* leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code already.? The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How Can We Help??? and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through constant? infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends? witnessed by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible, intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
   
-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201215/6f14df0a/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 114  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 03:59:53 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: [Ecoaction] Question candidates before making assumptions,  
please!  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB58217E5EE0134C4A335DC429E6C60@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"  
  
Should  this be considered slander or just an personal opinion & assumption of a person who likes to share their opinions without restraint?  
I prefer to think it was a personal opinion, but I feel it needs to be addressed immediately.

From Dee Murphy?s post earlier tonight:

?Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears that she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also, I have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with trolls...)?  
  
Question: Dee M., I respectfully ask what hats (?) you refer to in this post. You are a representative from the National Women?s Caucus, where I was recently elected Secretary, right?  
  
That is the only officer position I currently hold, despite being a representative for many Committees, if that is your concern....I am working on some webinars for future events, but that is the only thing taking my time up beyond my daily life, especially since all art shows, gatherings and events are canceled indefinitely until Covid quarantine restrictions end!  
  
Please help me understand your rationale or method of thinking. It confuses me and many others, I am sure, especially when a single question to myself either here or on the Women?s Caucus could have allowed you to avoid inappropriate assumptions.  
Does anyone have any questions for me that I can answer? I would love to engage in discussion, especially in regards to the direction of our focus for the next year.  
DawnMarie  
  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:07 PM  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
  
One of the key elements of slander is that the information must be false. So, in that sense, an accusation of slander would necessarily mean that the accuser believes the information to be targeted at them, and also false.

On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:27 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to stack the committee?  
  
If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
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Message: 115  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 04:03:45 +0000 (UTC)  
From: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>,  Lizzie Adams  
<lizziemariadams@gmail.com>,  Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
Cc: "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Lizzie Adams  
Message-ID: <556170472.778747.1608005025453@mail.yahoo.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I hesitated to accept the nomination to run for secretary against 2 people with such impressive backgrounds with my limited related experience but then I thought about some of the other candidates recent comments and also reread this:  
"I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop."  
and thought - geez Robin, you can't "make this listserv" anything by barraging us with objections every time someone says something you may interpret as "catty".? ?What I feel committee officers can do, is model the behavior we wish to see in the committee and gently remind folks that we are all on the same team and hopefully, doing the best we can.? Sometimes more is needed, but usually not.  
peace!  
Mary L. SandersHartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.????  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 09:25:54 PM EST, Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
What she said. @Dee <3  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:29 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
"To moderate the list you need to lighten up.? You'll likely kill the list if you continue on this path"  
One has to wonder if that's truly the goal.  
Dee M.MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 7:18 AM Tim Willard via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
Robin, you are getting very heavy handed in your attempt to moderate the list.? You're replies are as bad as the persons who criticize you.? Not all criticism is personal attack.? If you're going to moderate the list you need to lighten up.? You'll likely kill the list if you continue on this path.  
Tim WillardMaryland  
  
   On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:35:18 AM EST, Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com> wrote:    
  
Lizzie,  
  
> It is unfortunate that you can reread all those members responses to  
> your actions and then your own without introspection and...  
  
Stop saying on this forum you know what I'm thinking and making catty  
personal remarks about me. It is unprofessional. This is your third  
7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 116  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:09:17 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWORBW-J\_+ZF3msLm5ipgSzhbMqCQaHigxKJS1nsZFj0YrQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Rep Murphy AGAIN I am saying that it's weird that you would post this:

From what I have witnessed, it is not uncommon for those attempting to

stack votes in Green Party committees to use the chairs to do their  
bidding, then go after the chairs. This is something that everyone voting  
should be aware of.  
  
This is a very specific set of actions. I am concerned that you would be  
insinuating that could be anyone in this committee  
  
The statement has three layers of very specific innuendo:  
  
Layer 1 ? that there is stacking going on, (assumedly in a Committee or  
this committee) as if stacking is possible in a committee. (what is the  
grand prize - the Ecoaction Committee?)  
  
Layer 2? That there is intent to influence a potential Cochair (who only  
has one Committee vote) to do someone's "bidding". This insinuates that  
there is clearly hierarchical and/or deeply manipulative behavior  
happening.  
  
Layer 3 ? That the person or people behind such behavior are treacherous  
and seeking to backstab chairs who have "done their bidding". There's no  
gray area here - you gave a clear and oddly specific scenario.  
  
Murphy says:  
"If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander? ?  
  
This is doublespeak. I asked you if you were referring to this committee or  
not. I am asking for clarification. It's a very divisive and unprofessional  
thing to write if you have zero proof of the allegation or innuendo.  
  
I am assuming that you must be referring to this committee since you posted  
it here. If not please offer a retraction.  
  
If you are referring to this committee then you must specify your  
allegation with irrefutable EVIDENCE of ALL THREE layers of what you said.  
  
Generalized yet potentially toxic statements made without specific  
allegations are even more prohibitive to a Committee functioning properly  
because they COULD apply to anyone in entire committee.  
Why? An unspecific but very serious allegation can be more injurious than  
specific accusation because creates an atmosphere of conspiracy. A  
committee cannot function with representative members continually dropping  
conspiratorial statements then acting as if they may or may not have  
anything to do with the committee.  
  
Please clarify whether or not you are referring to this committee. If you  
are then this needs to be addressed with proof.  
  
If not, then we are clearly owed a retraction as a committee. This is not  
fair to Committee participants to have to deal with reading through these  
kinds of allegations.  
  
If this level of toxicity is not cleared up after the election then we will  
have no choice but to go to the Steering Committee to pursue full listserv  
moderation.  
  
I really don't want to request this. I think we deserve better. We  
absolutely can have at least ONE discussion without personal attacks,  
loaded statements and conspiratorial innuendos.  
  
I have faith that we can do better.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 10:27 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how  
your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to  
stack the committee?  
  
If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN
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Message: 117  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:09:35 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Question candidates before making  
assumptions, please!  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+MXZWCaT4vBjOYACkVC0Gt0DXH23iWCR3DCOO-NROds0g@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Hi Dawn,  
  
Thanks for asking. A  
  
I am a representative from the Black Caucus. This is the only position that  
I currently hold after taking on way too much on national and state levels  
and navigating the onslaught of attacks on Greens that do not ascribe to  
the idea of turning this party Socialist in each of these positions. I  
don't have a problem with Socialism, it's just that I chose to join the  
Green party and not a Socialist party.  
  
I read your CV and it appears that you have a lot of roles in the Party.  
This was the only source of my comment. I do not know enough about you to  
have any issue if you are elected as co-chair. I only know what I have  
witnessed within the past two years from Audrey. I like her work, her  
dedication to this committee and her ability to navigate those who troll  
with facts as opposed to simply blacklisting people. I think that Frank is  
a great person and hope that he continues to contribute his knowledge and  
experience to this committee without being discriminated against or  
censorship, seeing that under the category of Respect for Diversity should  
come respect for those dedicating their time and energy to the Green Party  
mission, even if they are from a different era.  
  
My advocacy for Audrey is that she is tried and true. It is based on how  
she treats people. It is based on the fact that whenever challenged, she  
knows the bylaws of the Green Party, the history behind them, and how and  
why they should be applied.  
  
I appreciate all of the work that you are doing for the Green Party. Hoping  
to get to know you more over time.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:59 PM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com> wrote:

Should  this be considered slander or just an personal opinion &  
assumption of a person who likes to share their opinions without restraint?  
I prefer to think it was a personal opinion, but I feel it needs to be  
addressed immediately.  
  
From Dee Murphy?s post earlier tonight:  
  
?Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears that  
she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also, I  
have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with  
trolls...)?  
  
Question: Dee M., I respectfully ask what hats (?) you refer to in this  
post. You are a representative from the National Women?s Caucus, where I  
was recently elected Secretary, right?  
  
That is the only officer position I currently hold, despite being a  
representative for many Committees, if that is your concern....I am working  
on some webinars for future events, but that is the only thing taking my  
time up beyond my daily life, especially since all art shows, gatherings  
and events are canceled indefinitely until Covid quarantine restrictions  
end!  
  
Please help me understand your rationale or method of thinking. It  
confuses me and many others, I am sure, especially when a single question  
to myself either here or on the Women?s Caucus could have allowed you to  
avoid inappropriate assumptions.  
Does anyone have any questions for me that I can answer? I would love to  
engage in discussion, especially in regards to the direction of our focus  
for the next year.  
DawnMarie  
  
  
Get Outlook for iOS <<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher  
Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:07 PM  
\*To:\* Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
  
One of the key elements of slander is that the information must be false.  
So, in that sense, an accusation of slander would necessarily mean that the  
accuser believes the information to be targeted at them, and also false.  
  
> On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:27 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
>  
> Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how  
your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to  
stack the committee?  
>  
> If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
>  
>  
> Dee M.  
> MN  
>  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
> Ecoaction mailing list  
> Ecoaction@gp.org  
> <https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 118  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 04:12:05 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB5821D1901798F739729DEE13E6C60@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"  
  
  
Creating subcommittees and interest groups will definitely help us be more productive.  
  
I think that monthly status reports and updates will help us stay focused and that it is definitely a good idea to try to revive Zoom calls, even if it is only for a great way for the subcommittees and officers to communicate.  
  
Thank you for also pointing out that our point person needs to be knowledgeable and ready for interviews or questions on a press release.  
I definitely think these are all things that could happen as we move into 2021.  
DawnMarie  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mary L. Sanders via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:49:21 PM  
To: Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Yes - subcommittees definitely needed!  I would love to work on implementing some of these proposals.  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of trees.  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 10:41:38 PM EST, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Bumping for Chris Stella.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:39 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com<mailto:nuggims@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Very Awesome concept, Steve.  
  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:23 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com<mailto:dakinetrim@outlook.com>> wrote:  
Awesome, Dee!  Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com<mailto:nuggims@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of people devoted to researching further information on which the point people of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive (NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking, renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water (would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact, etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead. As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\* leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code already.  The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through constant  infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible, intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
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Message: 119  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:16:23 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, GPUS Ecoaction  
<ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+OH5jj1wk1fFSBy8PZJdb\_7\_GL=UMijLWSvq59AbAyjug@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Dear Tony,  
  
Nope.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:09 PM Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <  
nc4jillstein@gmail.com> wrote:

Rep Murphy AGAIN I am saying that it's weird that you would post this:  
From what I have witnessed, it is not uncommon for those attempting to  
stack votes in Green Party committees to use the chairs to do their  
bidding, then go after the chairs. This is something that everyone voting  
should be aware of.  
  
This is a very specific set of actions. I am concerned that you would be  
insinuating that could be anyone in this committee  
  
The statement has three layers of very specific innuendo:  
  
Layer 1 ? that there is stacking going on, (assumedly in a Committee or  
this committee) as if stacking is possible in a committee. (what is the  
grand prize - the Ecoaction Committee?)  
  
Layer 2? That there is intent to influence a potential Cochair (who only  
has one Committee vote) to do someone's "bidding". This insinuates that  
there is clearly hierarchical and/or deeply manipulative behavior  
happening.  
  
Layer 3 ? That the person or people behind such behavior are treacherous  
and seeking to backstab chairs who have "done their bidding". There's no  
gray area here - you gave a clear and oddly specific scenario.  
  
Murphy says:  
"If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander? ?  
  
This is doublespeak. I asked you if you were referring to this committee  
or not. I am asking for clarification. It's a very divisive and  
unprofessional thing to write if you have zero proof of the allegation or  
innuendo.  
  
I am assuming that you must be referring to this committee since you  
posted it here. If not please offer a retraction.  
  
If you are referring to this committee then you must specify your  
allegation with irrefutable EVIDENCE of ALL THREE layers of what you said.  
  
Generalized yet potentially toxic statements made without specific  
allegations are even more prohibitive to a Committee functioning properly  
because they COULD apply to anyone in entire committee.  
Why? An unspecific but very serious allegation can be more injurious than  
specific accusation because creates an atmosphere of conspiracy. A  
committee cannot function with representative members continually dropping  
conspiratorial statements then acting as if they may or may not have  
anything to do with the committee.  
  
Please clarify whether or not you are referring to this committee. If you  
are then this needs to be addressed with proof.  
  
If not, then we are clearly owed a retraction as a committee. This is not  
fair to Committee participants to have to deal with reading through these  
kinds of allegations.  
  
If this level of toxicity is not cleared up after the election then we  
will have no choice but to go to the Steering Committee to pursue full  
listserv moderation.  
  
I really don't want to request this. I think we deserve better. We  
absolutely can have at least ONE discussion without personal attacks,  
loaded statements and conspiratorial innuendos.  
  
I have faith that we can do better.  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 10:27 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how  
your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to  
stack the committee?  
  
If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN
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Message: 120  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:18:05 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
Cc: Lizzie Adams <lizziemariadams@gmail.com>, Robin Rowe  
<robinsrowe@gmail.com>, "ecoaction@gp.org" <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] 7-1.1 Ethics Violation by Lizzie Adams  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+PA9kQF7z7hDvquYmCk+nv2x1vf9D677=JWpT3ApgotVA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Mary,  
  
I am glad that you spoke up.  
  
We \*CAN\* do this and be productive if all voices are heard.  
  
We \*CANNOT \*do this with strict authoritarian censorship.  
  
Regards,  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:03 PM Mary L. Sanders <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>  
wrote:

I hesitated to accept the nomination to run for secretary against 2 people  
with such impressive backgrounds with my limited related experience but  
then I thought about some of the other candidates recent comments and also  
reread this:  
  
"I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop."  
  
and thought - geez Robin, you can't "make this listserv" anything by  
barraging us with objections every time someone says something you may  
interpret as "catty".   What I feel committee officers can do, is model the  
behavior we wish to see in the committee and gently remind folks that we  
are all on the same team and hopefully, doing the best we can.  Sometimes  
more is needed, but usually not.  
  
peace!  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 09:25:54 PM EST, Lizzie Adams <  
lizziemariadams@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
What she said. @Dee <3  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 8:29 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
" To moderate the list you need to lighten up.  You'll likely kill the  
list if you continue on this path"  
  
One has to wonder if that's truly the goal.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 7:18 AM Tim Willard via Ecoaction <  
ecoaction@gp.org> wrote:  
  
Robin, you are getting very heavy handed in your attempt to moderate the  
list.  You're replies are as bad as the persons who criticize you.  Not all  
criticism is personal attack.  If you're going to moderate the list you  
need to lighten up.  You'll likely kill the list if you continue on this  
path.  
  
Tim Willard  
Maryland  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 3:35:18 AM EST, Robin Rowe <  
robinsrowe@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Lizzie,  
  
> It is unfortunate that you can reread all those members responses to  
> your actions and then your own without introspection and...  
  
Stop saying on this forum you know what I'm thinking and making catty  
personal remarks about me. It is unprofessional. This is your third  
7-1.1 violation.  
  
I have made it my #1 campaign promise that I will make this listserv a  
civil and productive forum per Rule 7-1.1.  
  
It is my plan to post an objection every time a 7-1.1 violation is made  
on this forum until the individuals responsible tire of making catty  
personal remarks here. The ad hominem attacks will stop.  
  
I do not like to criticize anyone. I am sorry to do so with you. Please  
  
stop.  
  
Robin  
--  
Robin Rowe <robinsrowe@gmail.com>  
323-535-0952 mobile  
Beverly Hills, California  
Scientist Candidate for Beverly Hills Mayor 2022  
[http://robinsrowe.com](http://robinsrowe.com/)  
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Message: 121  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:19:33 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>, GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+OUQLA2b=BstpXZC23VgLyLpS1agaLJOQcrSfNBNWKdzA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Happy to see that no matter what happens, we will move forward as a  
committee.  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:12 PM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Creating subcommittees and interest groups will definitely help us be more  
productive.  
  
I think that monthly status reports and updates will help us stay focused  
and that it is definitely a good idea to try to revive Zoom calls, even if  
it is only for a great way for the subcommittees and officers to  
communicate.  
  
Thank you for also pointing out that our point person needs to be  
knowledgeable and ready for interviews or questions on a press release.  
I definitely think these are all things that could happen as we move into  
2021.  
DawnMarie  
  
Get Outlook for iOS <<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mary L. Sanders  
via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:49:21 PM  
\*To:\* Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Yes - subcommittees definitely needed!  I would love to work on  
implementing some of these proposals.  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 10:41:38 PM EST, Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Bumping for Chris Stella.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:39 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Very Awesome concept, Steve.  
  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:23 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
wrote:  
  
Awesome, Dee!  Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing  
much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green  
Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence  
and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves  
nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the  
advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to  
representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not  
changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would  
help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very  
stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and  
expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is  
all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing  
organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of  
people devoted to researching further information on which the point people  
of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive  
(NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking,  
renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water  
(would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is  
now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore  
surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact,  
etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each  
sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are  
knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead.  
As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner  
setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we  
have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action  
Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we  
want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see  
new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in  
sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking  
votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\*  
leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue  
their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS  
real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code  
already.  The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How  
Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can  
resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through  
constant  infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed  
by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible,  
intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do  
this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 122  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:21:32 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Question candidates before making  
assumptions, please!  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+NAiVWCW4Chf2=ndzNj6W7cW\_UA3f40NWV54tuZo26ZMA@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Apologies for the typos. Getting tired and should log off soon. Am hoping  
that you understand that this is not personal. It is based on my  
perceptions of things, which some may or may not agree with.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:09 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Dawn,  
  
Thanks for asking. A  
  
I am a representative from the Black Caucus. This is the only position  
that I currently hold after taking on way too much on national and state  
levels and navigating the onslaught of attacks on Greens that do not  
ascribe to the idea of turning this party Socialist in each of these  
positions. I don't have a problem with Socialism, it's just that I chose to  
join the Green party and not a Socialist party.  
  
I read your CV and it appears that you have a lot of roles in the Party.  
This was the only source of my comment. I do not know enough about you to  
have any issue if you are elected as co-chair. I only know what I have  
witnessed within the past two years from Audrey. I like her work, her  
dedication to this committee and her ability to navigate those who troll  
with facts as opposed to simply blacklisting people. I think that Frank is  
a great person and hope that he continues to contribute his knowledge and  
experience to this committee without being discriminated against or  
censorship, seeing that under the category of Respect for Diversity should  
come respect for those dedicating their time and energy to the Green Party  
mission, even if they are from a different era.  
  
My advocacy for Audrey is that she is tried and true. It is based on how  
she treats people. It is based on the fact that whenever challenged, she  
knows the bylaws of the Green Party, the history behind them, and how and  
why they should be applied.  
  
I appreciate all of the work that you are doing for the Green Party.  
Hoping to get to know you more over time.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:59 PM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
wrote:

Should  this be considered slander or just an personal opinion &  
assumption of a person who likes to share their opinions without restraint?  
I prefer to think it was a personal opinion, but I feel it needs to be  
addressed immediately.  
  
From Dee Murphy?s post earlier tonight:  
  
?Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears  
that she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also,  
I have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with  
trolls...)?  
  
Question: Dee M., I respectfully ask what hats (?) you refer to in this  
post. You are a representative from the National Women?s Caucus, where I  
was recently elected Secretary, right?  
  
That is the only officer position I currently hold, despite being a  
representative for many Committees, if that is your concern....I am working  
on some webinars for future events, but that is the only thing taking my  
time up beyond my daily life, especially since all art shows, gatherings  
and events are canceled indefinitely until Covid quarantine restrictions  
end!  
  
Please help me understand your rationale or method of thinking. It  
confuses me and many others, I am sure, especially when a single question  
to myself either here or on the Women?s Caucus could have allowed you to  
avoid inappropriate assumptions.  
Does anyone have any questions for me that I can answer? I would love to  
engage in discussion, especially in regards to the direction of our focus  
for the next year.  
DawnMarie  
  
  
Get Outlook for iOS <<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Christopher  
Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:07 PM  
\*To:\* Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
  
One of the key elements of slander is that the information must be false.  
So, in that sense, an accusation of slander would necessarily mean that the  
accuser believes the information to be targeted at them, and also false.  
  
> On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:27 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
>  
> Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to  
how your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting  
to stack the committee?  
>  
> If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
>  
>  
> Dee M.  
> MN  
>  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
> Ecoaction mailing list  
> Ecoaction@gp.org  
> <https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 123  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:28:47 -0500  
From: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: "Mary L. Sanders" <marymaryssc@yahoo.com>, Steve Newman  
<dakinetrim@outlook.com>,  Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CAJhjWOSM9pW3fRZ2UKru=Zs6AUXrn0g5bf2wt9ZvX-XoN7BtvQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
I am glad that we are i agreement that there should be working groups  
(subcommittee kind of sounds like another layer of bureaucracy but same  
thing) . We discussed this during our open Zoom call earlier this year.  
  
This is why it's important that we recruit enough members. We can't  
accomplish much more specific work without at least 30-40 regular members  
put to work.  
  
Part of this requires a collaborative atmosphere that is conducive to  
effective work - a collaborative environment based on assumption of good  
intent and where clearly oppressive behavior is not tolerated.  
  
Perhaps that is why there is renewed interest in this committee. Many  
Greens agree should have a committee that is one that we would feel proud  
of potentially new party members joining.  
  
I am glad that you are indeed committed to building such a welcoming  
committee.  
  
  
Tony Ndege  
NCGP  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 11:12 PM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com> wrote:

Creating subcommittees and interest groups will definitely help us be more  
productive.  
  
I think that monthly status reports and updates will help us stay focused  
and that it is definitely a good idea to try to revive Zoom calls, even if  
it is only for a great way for the subcommittees and officers to  
communicate.  
  
Thank you for also pointing out that our point person needs to be  
knowledgeable and ready for interviews or questions on a press release.  
I definitely think these are all things that could happen as we move into  
2021.  
DawnMarie  
  
Get Outlook for iOS <<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
------------------------------  
\*From:\* Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Mary L. Sanders  
via Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Sent:\* Monday, December 14, 2020 10:49:21 PM  
\*To:\* Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>; Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com>  
\*Cc:\* GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
\*Subject:\* Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Yes - subcommittees definitely needed!  I would love to work on  
implementing some of these proposals.  
  
Mary L. Sanders  
Hartford, CT  
  
  
By not printing this email you've helped save paper, ink, and millions of  
trees.  
  
  
On Monday, December 14, 2020, 10:41:38 PM EST, Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
  
Bumping for Chris Stella.  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:39 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
Very Awesome concept, Steve.  
  
  
Dee  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:23 PM Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>  
wrote:  
  
Awesome, Dee!  Rights of Nature legislation might be one.  
  
Aloha  
Steve Newman  
GPFL  
  
"Trees can save humanity."  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org> on behalf of Dee MFernando <  
nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 3:32 PM  
To: GPUS Ecoaction  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
  
Simple and Basic Plan (No Matter Who the Chairs Are)  
So, it is said that the Eco-Action Committee is immobile and ?not doing  
much? within Green Circles. Those who are who are committed to the Green  
Party Platform know the real reason WHY, and in my book, the persistence  
and patience of people  who are truly committed to the Green Party leaves  
nothing less than a tremendous sense of admiration and respect.  
When I joined this committee through my Caucus, my interests were in the  
advancement and education of myself as well as others, in addition to  
representing the committee that appointed me. These interests have not  
changed.  
Keeping this in mind, I would like to submit some basic ideas that would  
help this committee along, as apparently ?proposals? require a very  
stressful process at this point in time.  
  
1.       (and the most glaringly obvious)  Create targeted sub-committees.  
  
  
  
Have learned so much from so many with knowledge, advanced education and  
expertise here. And yet, this highly advanced knowledge and expertise is  
all over the place, everywhere, and scattered amongst differing  
organizations. Why not lead sub-committees here? Can we have teams of  
people devoted to researching further information on which the point people  
of each committee can assist in utilizing team efforts towards an assertive  
(NOT AGGRESSIVE) approach to informing others?  
  
  
  
Sub Committees can consist of groups devoted to oil/gas/fracking,  
renewable energy, water conservation and other issues surrounding water  
(would be interested in joining this one myself, as I hear that water is  
now being traded as a ?commodity? and there are a host of issues to explore  
surrounding this), climate change (natural, eco, global/human impact,  
etc.), and other topics as decided by the committee or suggested by members.  
  
  
  
2.       Allow for monthly statements from the point people on each  
sub-committee to our Green Publications and other media statements.  
  
  
  
3.       Ensure that the point people on each sub-committee are  
knowledgeable and well versed on topics regarding the area that they lead.  
As a Party, there will be interviews (as well as interview questioner  
setups) if we are able, as Greens, to regain our momentum. Never should we  
have people that are unprepared in interviews or speak on Eco-Action  
Committee movements as a representative of the Green Party. Bad idea if we  
want to regain public support for the party.  
  
  
  
These are some basic ideas, and there are plenty more.  I am happy to see  
new people joining, as more people mean more people interested in  
sub-committees devoted to advancing mutual interests and not only stacking  
votes based on hearsay.  We \*all\* have a lot to learn and we are \*all\*  
leaders.  
  
  
  
Those who are interested in obstructing and destructing will continue  
their efforts to do just that. I no longer worry about this?as karma IS  
real, and has apparently caught up with some who lived by this code  
already.  The Universe handles things in her own way.  
  
  
  
Those who are truly interested in ?growing the Green Party? will ask ?How  
Can We Help??  and it is with this spirit that the Green Party can  
resurrect itself from the damage that has and is being done through  
constant  infighting and bullying by our more aggressive friends  witnessed  
by those who join combined with public messaging that turns sensible,  
intelligent people who would otherwise consider joining off.. We CAN do  
this-Together- If advancing the Green Party mission is genuinely the goal.  
  
  
  
  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
  
MN  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 124  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:44:30 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>  
Cc: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>, "Mary L. Sanders"  
<marymaryssc@yahoo.com>,  Steve Newman <dakinetrim@outlook.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+MV7F84qhuKJAM1AwXs1WV2xCU4JgGEetEkaesnUy3cOw@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Tony, please come up with your own ideas and stop piggybacking. This goes  
back a long way, doesn't it? As in "Like Dee said..." :)  
  
Your cooperation in this is greatly appreciated.  
  
Sincerely,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
-------------- next part --------------  
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Message: 125  
Date: Tue, 15 Dec 2020 04:45:21 +0000  
From: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Question candidates before making  
assumptions, please!  
Message-ID:  
<MN2PR02MB5821AD70727A2CB279F1575DE6C60@MN2PR02MB5821.namprd02.prod.outlook.com>  
  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"  
  
Dee M.,  
I Understood your comment better now, but I still think it would have been better to ask me a few questions before your declaration that ?I wear too many hats.? Ironically I love hats as I am sun-sensitive,     which is frustrating for a person who loves to be outside. This means my hat collection is much larger than my shoe selection. ;)  
I have been teaching, organizing and delegating tasks for years. I prefer to stay busy, and even if I am not elected co-chair I hope to be very active in the next year helping to implement some of your suggestions for subcommittees, regular monthly reports and education on topics for our media spokesperson(s).  
  
I make more typos when I am tired too. Just so you know, I go by DawnMarie, with or without a space but not Dawn. When I say ?Dawn, folks often think I say ?Jean? or  ?John? because of my accent.  
DawnMarie  
  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 11:21:32 PM  
To: Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: Question candidates before making assumptions, please!  
  
Apologies for the typos. Getting tired and should log off soon. Am hoping that you understand that this is not personal. It is based on my perceptions of things, which some may or may not agree with.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:09 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com<mailto:nuggims@gmail.com>> wrote:  
Hi Dawn,  
  
Thanks for asking. A  
  
I am a representative from the Black Caucus. This is the only position that I currently hold after taking on way too much on national and state levels and navigating the onslaught of attacks on Greens that do not ascribe to the idea of turning this party Socialist in each of these positions. I don't have a problem with Socialism, it's just that I chose to join the Green party and not a Socialist party.  
  
I read your CV and it appears that you have a lot of roles in the Party. This was the only source of my comment. I do not know enough about you to have any issue if you are elected as co-chair. I only know what I have witnessed within the past two years from Audrey. I like her work, her dedication to this committee and her ability to navigate those who troll with facts as opposed to simply blacklisting people. I think that Frank is a great person and hope that he continues to contribute his knowledge and experience to this committee without being discriminated against or censorship, seeing that under the category of Respect for Diversity should come respect for those dedicating their time and energy to the Green Party mission, even if they are from a different era.  
  
My advocacy for Audrey is that she is tried and true. It is based on how she treats people. It is based on the fact that whenever challenged, she knows the bylaws of the Green Party, the history behind them, and how and why they should be applied.  
  
I appreciate all of the work that you are doing for the Green Party. Hoping to get to know you more over time.  
  
Sincere Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 9:59 PM Dawn Cronen <dawn.cronen@hotmail.com<mailto:dawn.cronen@hotmail.com>> wrote:  
Should  this be considered slander or just an personal opinion & assumption of a person who likes to share their opinions without restraint?  
I prefer to think it was a personal opinion, but I feel it needs to be addressed immediately.

From Dee Murphy?s post earlier tonight:

?Audrey Clement for Co-chair (no offense against Dawn, but it appears that she is spread very thin with so many hats within the Committee, also, I have seen Audrey's work, and appreciate her limitless patience with trolls...)?  
  
Question: Dee M., I respectfully ask what hats (?) you refer to in this post. You are a representative from the National Women?s Caucus, where I was recently elected Secretary, right?  
  
That is the only officer position I currently hold, despite being a representative for many Committees, if that is your concern....I am working on some webinars for future events, but that is the only thing taking my time up beyond my daily life, especially since all art shows, gatherings and events are canceled indefinitely until Covid quarantine restrictions end!  
  
Please help me understand your rationale or method of thinking. It confuses me and many others, I am sure, especially when a single question to myself either here or on the Women?s Caucus could have allowed you to avoid inappropriate assumptions.  
Does anyone have any questions for me that I can answer? I would love to engage in discussion, especially in regards to the direction of our focus for the next year.  
DawnMarie  
  
  
Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
From: Ecoaction <ecoaction-bounces@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction-bounces@gp.org>> on behalf of Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com<mailto:christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 10:31:07 PM  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com<mailto:nuggims@gmail.com>>  
Cc: GPUS Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:ecoaction@gp.org>>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Proposal: Eco-Action protests  
  
One of the key elements of slander is that the information must be false. So, in that sense, an accusation of slander would necessarily mean that the accuser believes the information to be targeted at them, and also false.

On Dec 14, 2020, at 9:27 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com<mailto:nuggims@gmail.com>> wrote:  
  
Apologies for not bothering, for now, Tony. But I \*am\* curious as to how your remarks about stacking committees as slander. Are you attempting to stack the committee?  
  
If not, where is the slander? If so, where is the slander?  
  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org<mailto:Ecoaction@gp.org>  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
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Message: 126  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 22:54:16 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID: <736E9249-99CB-47C6-B68D-00A276907C52@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
  
He was simply agreeing with you...  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 10:44 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Tony, please come up with your own ideas and stop piggybacking. This goes back a long way, doesn't it? As in "Like Dee said..." :)  
  
Your cooperation in this is greatly appreciated.  
  
Sincerely,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
   
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>
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Message: 127  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:02:23 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+MLkLcLM1SVhHZTgLUSN8Tfk7Jst-0Qm+MP8bTqJHFpaQ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Yes he was. He always does that, then does other things. Can't say more or  
there will be another demand for silence or sanctioning.  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:54 PM Christopher Stella <  
christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:

He was simply agreeing with you...  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
> On Dec 14, 2020, at 10:44 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
>  
> ?  
> Tony, please come up with your own ideas and stop piggybacking. This  
goes back a long way, doesn't it? As in "Like Dee said..." :)  
>  
> Your cooperation in this is greatly appreciated.  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
> Dee M.  
> MN  
>  
>  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
> Ecoaction mailing list  
> Ecoaction@gp.org  
> <https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/0cb59aa3/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 128  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:07:07 -0600  
From: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID: <8B91E3F5-32BA-4919-9116-5CA4DE1E57B5@gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
This isn?t in line with your request for a level of decorum.  
  
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 14, 2020, at 11:02 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
?  
Yes he was. He always does that, then does other things. Can't say more or there will be another demand for silence or sanctioning.  
  
Dee M.  
MN

On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 10:54 PM Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com> wrote:  
He was simply agreeing with you...  
  
Sent from my iPhone  
  
> On Dec 14, 2020, at 10:44 PM, Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:  
>  
> ?  
> Tony, please come up with your own ideas and stop piggybacking. This goes back a long way, doesn't it? As in "Like Dee said..." :)  
>  
> Your cooperation in this is greatly appreciated.  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
> Dee M.  
> MN  
>  
>    
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
> Ecoaction mailing list  
> Ecoaction@gp.org  
> <https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/04a9e620/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 129  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:12:58 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+MzC1aUirF2dsmrdhSFgYBjnB=YpDEwQ\_jVy3NHBRDE4w@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
Hi Christopher,  
  
I never requested a level of decorum. That is Authoritarian.  
  
I submitted a basic plan for cooperation from people of differing  
backgrounds and vantage points that are in the Green Party towards positive  
momentum in this Committee.  
  
Am going to bed now. No more energy for this tonight. Lots to do tomorrow.  
Hope you have a good night as well. :)  
  
Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/1e547698/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 130  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:15:03 -0600  
From: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>  
To: Christopher Stella <christopher.albert.stella@gmail.com>  
Cc: Tony Ndege NC Greens Co-Chair <nc4jillstein@gmail.com>, GPUS  
Ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CANAEB+MXQW+qZnPv9fQ=1UF0FKYvPc+Aef1-gnAhHQNgcm9q0A@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
P,S, Before anyone goes for the "level of decorum" thing, that much is  
implied. Those of us who had parents that taught us basic respect for other  
human beings know this already.  
  
~Dee M.~  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:12 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Christopher,  
  
I never requested a level of decorum. That is Authoritarian.  
  
I submitted a basic plan for cooperation from people of differing  
backgrounds and vantage points that are in the Green Party towards positive  
momentum in this Committee.  
  
Am going to bed now. No more energy for this tonight. Lots to do tomorrow.  
Hope you have a good night as well. :)  
  
Regards,  
  
Dee M.  
MN

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/9d018086/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Message: 131  
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2020 23:24:59 -0600  
From: John Krause <jkrause9190@gmail.com>  
To: Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com>, ecoaction <ecoaction@gp.org>  
Subject: Re: [Ecoaction] Simple and Basic Plan  
Message-ID:  
<CA+kAPD4cTWJfHdQU9PHgQyRHESrniNnHOOWxrarups=venN0xg@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
  
It seems like you made a good proposal and consensus was building in the  
committee. Why follow it up with vitriol and take all the momentum out of  
it. We have to stop bickering if we want to accomplish anything. But anyway  
subcommittees and working groups are an awesome idea and I would love to be  
involved.  
  
John Krause  
GPLA Secretary  
Alternate Delegate  
  
  
On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 10:44 PM Dee MFernando <nuggims@gmail.com> wrote:

Tony, please come up with your own ideas and stop piggybacking. This goes  
back a long way, doesn't it? As in "Like Dee said..." :)  
  
Your cooperation in this is greatly appreciated.  
  
Sincerely,  
  
Dee M.  
MN  
  
  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>

-------------- next part --------------  
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...  
URL: <<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/private/ecoaction/attachments/20201214/10652205/attachment-0001.html>>  
  
------------------------------  
  
Subject: Digest Footer  
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Ecoaction mailing list  
Ecoaction@gp.org  
<https://pairlist7.pair.net/mailman/listinfo/ecoaction>  
  
  
------------------------------  
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